Paper 61

Entered: July 2, 2014

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NUVASIVE, INC. Petitioner

V.

WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC.
Patent Owner

Cases IPR2013-00206 and IPR2013-00208 Patent 8,251,997 B2

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

Held: June 5, 2014

Before: SALLY MEDLEY, LORA M. GREEN, STEPHEN SIU, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, June 5, 2014 at 1:00 p.m, in Courtroom A at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



1	APPEARANCES:
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:
3	FRANK SCHERKENBACH, ESQ.
4	Fish & Richardson P.C.
5	One Marina Park Drive
6	Boston, Massachusetts 02210
7	617-542-5070
8	
9	STEPHEN R. SCHAEFER, ESQ.
10	Fish & Richardson P.C.
11	3200 RBC Plaza
12	60 South Sixth Street
13	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
14	
15	ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:
16	LUKE L. DAUCHOT, ESQ.
17	NIMALKA WICKRAMASEKERA, ESQ
18	Kirkland & Ellis LLP
19	333 South Hope Street
20	Los Angeles, California 90071
21	
22	THOMAS H. MARTIN, ESQ.
23	Martin & Ferraro LLP
24	1557 Lake O'Pines Street, N.E.
25	Hartville Ohio 1/1632



Cases IPR2013-00206 and IPR2013-00208 Patent 8,251,997 B2

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(1:00 p.m.)
3	JUDGE MEDLEY: Good afternoon.
4	This is the hearing for IPR2013-00206 and 00208
5	between Petitioner, NuVasive, and Patent Owner, Warsaw
6	Orthopedic.
7	Before we proceed, we would like to memorialize on
8	the record that a conference call was held on June 4th
9	between counsel for the respective parties and the Panel.
10	The purpose of the conference call was to discuss
11	certain objections the Petitioner had with respect to Patent
12	Owner's demonstratives that were filed on June 3rd.
13	During the call the Panel ruled that the Patent
14	Owner could not rely on slides 12 and 14 of its June 3rd
15	demonstrative, and we further encouraged the parties to work
16	out their differences regarding Petitioner's remaining
17	objections to Patent Owner's June 3rd demonstrative.
18	Just prior to noon today the Board received
19	another set of Patent Owner's demonstratives. We assume that
20	this set replaces the June 3rd demonstratives and we plan to
21	exclude the June 3rd demonstratives, if that is amenable to
22	everyone.
23	MR. DAUCHOT: That's fine, Your Honor.
24	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Are there any remaining
2.5	issues regarding the demonstratives?



Cases IPR2013-00206 and IPR2013-00208 Patent 8,251,997 B2

1	MR. SCHERKENBACH: No, Your Honor.
2	MR. DAUCHOT: No.
3	JUDGE MEDLEY: We appreciate the parties willing
4	to work it out and not to involve us beyond what was
5	necessary.
6	At this time we would like the parties to please
7	introduce counsel, beginning with Petitioner.
8	MR. SCHERKENBACH: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	Good afternoon. Frank Scherkenbach of Fish &
10	Richardson on behalf of Petitioner, NuVasive.
11	Also, Stephen Schaefer is with me and may address
12	certain discrete issues depending on whether they come up.
13	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Counsel for Patent Owner.
14	MR. DAUCHOT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Luke
15	Dauchot on behalf of the Patent Owner.
16	And with me here today is Nimalka Wickramasekera,
17	who will be sharing the argument. And with us as well today
18	is Tom Martin, lead trial or lead counsel.
19	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you.
20	As you know, per our May 9 order from the Board
21	each party will have 60 minutes of total time to present
22	arguments for the two cases.
23	Because the two cases involve the same patent with
24	similar issues, Petitioner, you will proceed first to state
25	your case with respect to all of the challenged claims and



Cases IPR2013-00206 and IPR2013-00208 Patent 8,251,997 B2

1	grounds for which the Board instituted trial for both cases.
2	And thereafter, Patent Owner, you may have time to
3	respond to the presentation for both cases.
4	Petitioner, you may reserve rebuttal time if you
5	would like.
6	So we will begin with the Petitioner. And would
7	you like to reserve rebuttal time?
8	MR. SCHERKENBACH: I would, Your Honor. I would
9	like to reserve 20 minutes.
10	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you.
11	MR. SCHERKENBACH: Thank you.
12	I structured my remarks today around what
13	Petitioner sees is three primary points of dispute or
14	assumptions that underlie many of the discrete issues that
15	remain between the parties.
16	One of those is regarding Jacobson and whether it
17	teaches lateral or posterolateral approach in either
18	discectomy or fusion.
19	That issue underlies many of Warsaw's other
20	arguments. So I will deal with that one first.
21	Just by way of a brief introduction, obviously in
22	its decisions instituting this proceeding, the Board, of
23	course, agreed preliminarily that Jacobson was lateral and
24	not posterolateral. We think the plain teaching of Jacobson
2.5	is clear on this issue



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

