

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NUVASIVE, INC.
Petitioner

v.

WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00208 (SCM)
Patent 8,251,997 B2

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, LORA M. GREEN, and STEPHEN C. SIU,
Administrative Patent Judges.

MEDLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION
Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc. (“Warsaw”) filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Luke L. Dauchot. Paper 8.¹ The motion is unopposed. The motion

¹ The parties are reminded of the general format requirements for papers filed with

is *granted*.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause. In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice*, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in this proceeding. “Notice”; Paper 3.

In its motion, Warsaw states that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Dauchot *pro hac vice* during this proceeding, because Mr. Dauchot is an experienced litigating attorney with an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding. In addition, the motion states that Mr. Dauchot is counsel for Warsaw in related litigation between Warsaw and Nuvasive. Mr. Dauchot made a declaration attesting to, and explaining, these facts. Exhibit 1001.² The declaration complies with the requirements set forth in the Notice.

Upon consideration, Warsaw has demonstrated that Mr. Dauchot has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Warsaw in this proceeding. Moreover, the Board recognizes that there is a need for Warsaw to have its related litigation counsel involved in this proceeding. Accordingly, Warsaw has also established that there is good cause for admitting Mr. Dauchot.

the Board. 37 C.F.R. § 42.6. Warsaw’s motion does not appear to comply with that rule. Specifically, the proportional font does not appear to be 14 point or larger.

² Although Warsaw filed the declaration as an exhibit, the exhibit numbering is incorrect. The patent owner is assigned the range 2001-2999. In addition, the

IPR2013-00208
Patent 8,251,997 B2

Attention is directed to the Office's Final Rule adopting new Rules of Professional Conduct. *See Changes to Representation of Others Before the United States Patent and Trademark Office; Final Rule, 78 Fed. Reg. 20180 (Apr. 3, 2013)*. The Final Rule also removes Part 10 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations. The changes set forth in that Final Rule including the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct took effect on May 3, 2013. Therefore, Mr. Dauchot is subject to the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct that took effect May 3, 2013.

It is

ORDERED that the Warsaw motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Luke L. Dauchot for this proceeding is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Warsaw is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Dauchot is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Dauchot is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

exhibit is missing the required label. 37 C.F.R. § 42.63.

IPR2013-00208
Patent 8,251,997 B2

PETITIONER:

Stephen Schaefer
Michael Hawkins
Fish and Richardson PC
schaefer@fr.com
hawkins@fr.com

PATENT OWNER:

Thomas Martin
Wesley Meinerding
Martin and Ferraro LLP
tmartin@martinferraro.com
wmeinerding@martinferraro.com