

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

**SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA; AXIS COMMUNICATIONS
AB; and AXIS COMMUNICATIONS INC.**

Petitioners

v.

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00092

Patent 6,218,930

Before JAMESON LEE, JONI Y. CHANG, and JUSTIN T. ARBES,
Administrative Patent Judges.

ARBES, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER

On February 11, 2013, a conference call was held between respective counsel for the parties and Judges Lee, Chang, and Arbes. The subject matter of discussion was Patent Owner Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc.'s ("Network-1") request for authorization to file a reply to Petitioners' opposition to Network-1's motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Greg

Dovel. *See* Papers 13, 16. Counsel for Network-1 argued that Network-1 should be given the opportunity to (1) submit evidence and argument regarding the language of the protective order (Ex. 1016) in *Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc. v. Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., et al.*, E.D. Tex. Case No. 6:11-cv-00492-LED-JDL, and Mr. Dovel's alleged compliance with the same, and (2) submit further evidence and argument regarding Mr. Dovel's alleged familiarity with the prior art references and other issues in this proceeding. Counsel for Petitioners opposed Network-1's request for a reply, arguing that Network-1 knew about the protective orders prior to filing its motion and should have argued its position in the motion.

Replies in connection with motions for *pro hac vice* admission are not permitted absent authorization from the Board. Paper 9 at 2 (referencing the "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission" in Case IPR2013-00010, at 3). Having heard from both parties, we do not find the additional evidence that Network-1 seeks to submit in a reply necessary at this time to decide the motion. Nor do we believe additional argument from the parties is necessary. The motion will be decided in due course.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Network-1 is not authorized to file a reply to Petitioners' opposition to Network-1's motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Greg Dovel.

Case IPR2013-00092

Patent 6,218,930

PETITIONERS:

Lionel M. Lavenue

C. Gregory Gramenopoulos

Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

lionel.lavenue@finnegan.com

gramenoc@finnegan.com

PATENT OWNER:

Robert G. Mukai

Charles F. Wieland III

Buchanan, Ingersoll & Rooney P.C.

Robert.Mukai@BIPC.com

Charles.Wieland@BIPC.com