

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 00-11851-RWZ

AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and
MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

v.

DIGITAL ISLAND, INC.

and

DIGITAL ISLAND, INC.

v.

AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and
MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

ORDER REGARDING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

NOVEMBER 8, 2001

ZOBEL, D.J.

The parties have requested the Court to construe certain claim language in U.S. Patent No. 6,108,703 ("the '703 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,003,030 ("the '030 Patent") and U.S. Patent No. 5,978,791 ("The '791 Patent"). Both parties have filed briefs offering suggested interpretations of the disputed claim terms, and they advocated their respective interpretations at a *Markman* hearing on October 25, 2001, held pursuant to the decision in Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995), aff'd 517 U.S. 370 (1996). Having reviewed the parties' arguments and considered the central claim terms at issue, I construe these terms as indicated below. In the interest of time, and given the parties' concerns regarding EXHIBIT enter this claim

construction now without an explanatory memorandum. An accompanying memorandum will follow at a later date.

Construction of Terms in the '703 Patent:

"tagging" providing a "pointer" or "hook" so that the object resolves to a domain other than the content provider domain

"to resolve to a domain other than the content provider domain" to specify a particular group of computers that does not include the content provider from which an optimal server is to be selected

"resolving the ARL to identify a content server" identifying an IP address for a specific content server in the network using one or more DNS lookups

Construction of Terms in the '030 Patent:

"network traffic test" test performed by any entity on the "client" side of the network to evaluate traffic on the network


Construction of Terms in the '791 Patent:

"substantially unique identifier" an identity for a data item generated by processing *all* of the data in the data item, and *only* the data in the data item, through an algorithm

"using the identifier" employing the unique identifier of the data item, with or without other information, to carry out the recited function

At the time of the *Markman* hearing, the parties had abandoned a number of claims relating to the '791 Patent and did not appear to have a common understanding as to which additional claim terms were still in dispute. The parties' written and oral presentations offer little assistance in this regard. I therefore limit my ruling to the terms above.

November 8, 2001
DATE



RYA W. ZOBEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT