

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re U.S. Patent No. 6,738,799

Filed: June 2, 2003

Issued: May 18, 2004

Inventor: Peter Dickenson

Assignee: Clouding IP, LLC

Title: Methods and Apparatuses for File Synchronization and Updating
Using a Signature List

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD, PTAB
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,738,799
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 ET SEQ.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
EXHIBIT LIST	iv
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES.....	3
A. Real Party-In-Interest	3
B. Related Matters.....	3
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel	4
D. Service Information	4
III. PAYMENT OF FEES.....	4
IV. REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	4
A. Grounds for Standing.....	5
B. Identification of Challenge.....	5
1. The Specific Art and Statutory Ground(s) on Which the Challenge is Based	5
2. How the Construed Claims are Unpatentable under the Statutory Grounds Identified in 37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(2) and Supporting Evidence Relied upon to Support the Challenge.....	7
V. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	7
A. Declaration Evidence	7
B. The State of the Art.....	8
C. The ‘156 Patent Application	11
D. The Prosecution History.....	12
VI. BROADEST REASONABLE CONSTRUCTION	13
A. Signature List	15
B. Without Interaction	15
VII. REPRESENTATIVE PROPOSED REJECTIONS SHOWING THAT PETITIONER HAS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING	16
Group 1: Proposed Rejections Based on the Balcha Reference	16
1-A Claims 1, 5, 9, 10, 23 and 24 Are Rendered Obvious by Balcha in view of Miller under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	16
1-B Claim 37 Is Anticipated by Balcha under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	25
1-C Claims 6-8 Are Rendered Obvious by Balcha in View of Miller and Further in View of Freivald under 35 U.S.C. § 103	27
Group 2: Proposed Rejections based on the Miller Reference	30

2-A Claims 1, 5-10, 23, 24, and 37 are Rendered Obvious by Miller in View of Freivald under 35 U.S.C. § 103	30
Group 3: Proposed Rejections based on the Freivald Reference	42
3-A Claim 37 is Anticipated by Freivald under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	42
Group 4: Proposed Rejections based on the Williams Reference	46
4-A Claims 1, 23, 24, and 37 Are Anticipated by Williams under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) .	46
4-B Claims 5-10 Are Rendered Obvious by Williams in View of Miller under 35 U.S.C. § 103	54
VIII. CONCLUSION	60
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	61

EXHIBIT LIST

- 1001 U.S. Patent No. 6,738,799 to Dickenson
- 1002 Excerpts from Prosecution history of Application No. 09/303,958, the parent application of the '799 patent
- 1003 U.S. Patent No. 6,233,589 to Balcha et al.
- 1004 U.S. Patent No. 5,832,520 to Miller
- 1005 U.S. Patent No. 5,898,836 to Freivald et al.
- 1006 U.S. Patent No. 5,990,810 to Williams
- 1007 Declaration of Dr. Andrew Grimshaw, Ph.D.

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Oracle Corporation (“Oracle” or “Petitioner”) respectfully requests *inter partes* review for claims 1, 5-10, 23, 24, and 37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,738,799 (the “’799 patent,” attached as Ex. 1001) in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

The ‘799 patent is generally directed to methods for synchronizing files between a first computer and a second computer. More particularly, the ‘799 patent is directed to a file synchronization technique wherein a first computer (such as a server) determines whether a second computer (such as a client) has the latest version of a subscription file. (*Ex. 1001 at 3:36-44*). A subscription file is a shared network document in which multiple clients are interested in keeping track of changes to the document such that the client’s local version of the file is up-to-date. (*Id. at 6:46-56; 7:56-57*). If the client’s file is out of date, the server generates a “delta” or update file by comparing the signature list of the most current version of the subscription file with an old signature list representing the version of subscription file last transmitted to the client computer. (*Id. at 3:45 - 4:1; 4:16-23*). The delta or update file is sent to the client computer, which thereafter alters the file as prescribed in the delta or update file such that the client’s file is updated to match the current version of the file stored at the server. (*Id. at 4:30-32; 3:45-49*).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.