

As of 4/19/2010

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS,  
INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., a California  
corporation; CISCO-LINKSYS, L.L.C., a  
California Limited Liability Company;  
ADTRAN, INC., a Delaware corporation;  
ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC., a  
Delaware corporation; EXTREME  
NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware corporation;  
FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware  
corporation; NETGEAR, INC., a Delaware  
corporation; 3COM CORPORATION, a  
Delaware corporation;

Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:08cv030-LED

JURY DEMANDED

**Expert Report of  
Dr. James M. Knox:  
Rebuttal Report to Report of Dr. Mercer**



**Signed**

**April 19, 2010**

**Date**

**NOTICE: THIS REPORT CONTAINS INFORMATION  
CONSIDERED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – FOR OUTSIDE  
COUNSEL ONLY**

## **Table of Contents**

1	Expertise and background.....	- 1 -
2	Background to my opinions in this report.....	- 2 -
2.1	Assignment .....	- 2 -
2.2	Approach.....	- 2 -
2.3	Materials .....	- 2 -
2.4	Understanding of the law .....	- 2 -
2.5	Person of ordinary skill in the art.....	- 3 -
3	Overview of validity findings .....	- 3 -
3.1	The '930 patent .....	- 3 -
3.2	Claim construction .....	- 3 -
3.3	General findings.....	- 3 -
4	Pervasive problems with Dr. Mercer's analysis of prior art .....	- 5 -
4.1	Failure to apply the claim language and the Court's constructions .....	- 5 -
4.2	Dr. Mercer's "to the extent" placeholders do not provide a basis for an opinion 5 -	
4.3	Undisclosed opinions .....	- 8 -
5	Low level current contrasted with data signal .....	- 9 -
5.1	"low level current" .....	- 9 -
5.2	The data signals used for detection in other art is not a powering current ...	- 11 -
5.3	Significant differences between the "low level current" approach and the prior art thinking .....	- 13 -
5.3.1	The data signal paradigm .....	- 13 -
5.3.2	Load-driving currents are not used to carry information .....	- 17 -
5.3.3	The need to avoid power.....	- 17 -
6	Introduction to analysis of references .....	- 18 -
7	Primary references .....	- 18 -
7.1	Chang (5,991,885) .....	- 18 -
7.1.1	Overview of Chang .....	- 18 -
7.1.2	Significant aspects of Chang.....	- 21 -
7.1.3	Comparison of Chang to claim elements .....	- 30 -
7.2	Treiber (4,254,305) .....	- 37 -
7.2.1	Overview of Treiber.....	- 37 -
7.2.2	Significant aspects of Treiber .....	- 38 -
7.2.3	Comparison of Treiber to claim elements.....	- 43 -
7.3	Cafiero (6,762,675).....	- 51 -
7.3.1	Overview of Cafiero .....	- 51 -
7.3.2	Significant aspects of Cafiero .....	- 52 -
7.3.3	Comparison of Cafiero to claim elements .....	- 55 -
7.4	Rakshani (6,571,181).....	- 60 -
7.4.1	Overview of Rakshani.....	- 60 -
7.4.2	Significant aspects of Rakshani .....	- 61 -
7.4.3	Rakshani is not prior art.....	- 61 -
7.4.4	Comparison of Rakshani to claim elements.....	- 62 -
7.5	McCormack (6,535,983).....	- 67 -

7.5.1	Overview of McCormack .....	- 67 -
7.5.2	McCormack is not prior art.....	- 68 -
7.5.3	Comparison of McCormack to claim elements .....	- 69 -
8	Additional references .....	- 71 -
8.1	De Nicolo (6,115,468) .....	- 71 -
8.1.1	Overview of De Nicolo.....	- 71 -
8.1.2	Significant aspects of De Nicolo.....	- 73 -
8.1.3	Comparison of De Nicolo to claim elements .....	- 76 -
8.2	Fisher (5,994,998).....	- 82 -
8.2.1	Overview of Fisher .....	- 82 -
8.2.2	Significant aspects of Fisher .....	- 83 -
8.2.3	Comparison of Fisher to claim elements .....	- 85 -
8.3	Shibata (5,396,555).....	- 90 -
8.3.1	Overview of Shibata .....	- 90 -
8.3.2	Significant aspects of Shibata .....	- 91 -
8.3.3	Comparison of Shibata to claim elements .....	- 92 -
8.4	Potega (6,459,175).....	- 97 -
8.4.1	Overview of Potega.....	- 97 -
8.4.2	Significant aspects of Potega .....	- 98 -
8.4.3	Comparison of Potega to claim elements.....	- 99 -
8.5	Gallagher (5,396,636) .....	- 109 -
8.5.1	Overview of Gallagher.....	- 109 -
8.5.2	Significant aspects of Gallagher .....	- 110 -
8.5.3	Comparison of Gallagher to claim elements.....	- 115 -
8.6	Lupatin (4,090,228) .....	- 120 -
8.6.1	Overview of Lupatin .....	- 120 -
8.6.2	Significant aspects of Lupatin.....	- 121 -
8.6.3	Comparison of Lupatin to claim elements .....	- 122 -
8.7	Shambroom (5,368,041) .....	- 127 -
8.7.1	Overview of Shambroom.....	- 127 -
8.7.2	Significant aspects of Shambroom.....	- 129 -
8.7.3	Comparison of Shambroom to claim elements .....	- 132 -
8.7.4	main power source / secondary power source .....	- 134 -
8.8	Comparison of Jenneve to Gallagher and Lupatin.....	- 139 -
8.8.1	Overview of Jenneve.....	- 139 -
8.8.2	Response to Dr. Mercer .....	- 140 -
9	Analysis of obviousness issues .....	- 143 -
9.1	The scope and content of the prior art .....	- 143 -
9.1.1	Treiber '305, Shibata '555, and Lupatin '228 are not analogous art .....	- 144 -
9.1.2	Shambroom '041 is not analogous art .....	- 146 -
9.1.3	Potega '175 is not analogous art .....	- 147 -
9.1.4	Gallagher '636 is not analogous art .....	- 147 -
9.2	Obviousness B: Chang '885.....	- 148 -
9.2.1	Fundamental problems.....	- 148 -
9.2.2	Power and detection over the data signaling pair .....	- 149 -
9.2.3	Claim 9.....	- 151 -

...

9.3	Obviousness C: Chang ‘885 in combination with Group 1 References ....	153 -
9.3.1	Fundamental problems.....	153 -
9.3.2	Providing power over a data signaling pair .....	155 -
9.3.3	Main power source and physically separate secondary power source....	158 -
9.3.4	Low level current .....	161 -
9.3.5	Claim 9.....	164 -
9.4	Obviousness D: Treiber ‘305 in combination with Group 2 references ....	168 -
9.4.1	Fundamental problems.....	168 -
9.4.2	Main power source and physically separate secondary power source....	171 -
9.4.3	Low level current .....	173 -
9.4.4	Claim 9.....	176 -
9.5	Obviousness E: Cafiero ‘675 in combination with Group 3 references ....	182 -
9.5.1	Fundamental problems.....	182 -
9.5.2	Main power source and physically separate secondary power source....	184 -
9.5.3	Low level current .....	189 -
9.6	Obviousness F: Rakshani ‘181 and McCormack ‘983 in combination with Group 4 references.....	194 -
9.7	Obviousness G: Shambroom ‘041 in combination with Group 5 references .....	195 -
9.7.1	Fundamental problems.....	195 -
9.7.2	Main power source and secondary power source .....	196 -
9.7.3	Claim 9.....	200 -
9.8	Obviousness H: De Nicolo ‘468 or Fisher ‘998 in combination with Group 6 references .....	200 -
9.8.1	Fundamental problems.....	201 -
9.8.2	Additional problems with combining Fisher / De Nicolo with Treiber..	203 -
9.8.1	Additional problems with combining Fisher / De Nicolo with and Shambroom ‘041.....	204 -
9.8.2	Additional problems with combining Fisher / De Nicolo with Potega ‘175 ...	205 -
9.9	Unexpected result.....	206 -
9.9.1	low level current .....	206 -
9.9.2	claim 9.....	208 -
9.10	Teaching away .....	209 -
9.11	Fourth Graham Factor: objective evidence of non-obviousness.....	211 -
9.11.1	Long felt but unmet need .....	212 -
9.11.2	Failure of others. ....	215 -
9.11.3	Teaching away .....	216 -
9.11.4	Unexpected results or properties of the invention .....	216 -
9.11.5	Skepticism, misgivings, or disbelief in the industry that the invention would work .....	217 -
9.11.6	Commercial success.....	219 -
9.11.7	Licenses showing industry respect.....	222 -
10	Support for the asserted claims in the provisional application .....	222 -
10.1	Introduction.....	222 -
10.2	“secondary power source” .....	223 -

10.2.1	a source of power connected to provide power between the data node and the access device using the data signaling pair .....	- 223 -
10.2.2	secondary power source is physically separate from the main power source - 227 -	
10.3	sensing element [c] and continuing to sense element [9] .....	- 229 -
10.3.1	Introduction.....	- 229 -
10.3.2	support for the sensing voltage level on a data signaling pair elements in claims 6 and 9. ....	- 229 -
10.4	Dr. Mercer’s arguments .....	- 231 -
11	Additional enablement and written description issues.....	- 234 -
11.1	“secondary power source” .....	- 235 -
11.2	“controlling power supplied by said secondary power source to said access device in response to a preselected condition of said voltage level” .....	- 236 -
11.3	“at least one data signaling pair” .....	- 238 -
11.4	“sensing a resulting voltage level” and “continuing to sense voltage level” on the “data signaling pair.” .....	- 241 -
12	Best mode.....	- 242 -
13	Inventorship .....	- 247 -
13.1	The Merlot project. ....	- 248 -
13.2	Who should be named as inventors .....	- 248 -
13.2.1	Katzenberg and Deptula are inventors .....	- 248 -
13.2.2	Evans is not an inventor .....	- 248 -
13.2.3	Caceres is not an inventor .....	- 250 -

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.