UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 6:11cv492

VS.

Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., a Delaware corporation, et al.

Defendants.

AGREED MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DOCKET CONTROL ORDER, DISCOVERY ORDER, ORDER REGARDING E-DISCOVERY IN PATENT CASES, AND PROTECTIVE ORDER AND AGREED MOTION FOR EXTENSION REGARDING SUBMISSION OF AGREED MEDIATOR

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order dated August 9, 2012 (Dkt. No. 222), Plaintiff Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc. ("Plaintiff") files this Agreed Motion for Entry of Docket Control Order, Discovery Order, Order Regarding E-Discovery in Patent Cases, and Protective Order and Agreed Motion for Extension Regarding Submission of Agreed Mediator. The parties have met and conferred and reached an agreement as to the form and substance of the Docket Control Order, Discovery Order, Order Regarding E-Discovery in Patent Cases, and Protective Order and respectfully request that the Court enter the agreed orders attached to this motion. Because the parties have reached an agreement regarding each of these orders, the parties do not see a need for a scheduling/status conference in this case.



Additionally, although the parties have not yet agreed on a mediator in this case, they are confident that they will be able to reach an agreement with some additional discussion. Accordingly, the parties request a 10 day extension of the deadline to submit the name of an agreed mediator to the Court until September 17, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sean A. Luner w/permission T. John

Ward, Jr.

Sean A. Luner

State Bar No. 165443

Gregory S. Dovel

State Bar No. 135387

Dovel & Luner, LLP

201 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 600

Santa Monica, CA 90401

Telephone: 310-656-7066

Facsimile: 310-657-7069

Email: greg@dovellaw.com

T. John Ward, Jr.

State Bar No. 00794818

Ward & Smith Law Firm

P.O. Box 1231

Longview, Texas 75606-1231

(903) 757-6400 (telephone)

(903) 757-2323 (facsimile)

E-mail: jw@wsfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF, NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served by e-mail via the Eastern District of Texas ECF System to all counsel of record on this the 5th day of September, 2012.

/s/ T. John Ward, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I certify that I have complied with the meet and confer requirement in Local Rule CV-7(H) and this motion is unopposed.

/s/ T. John Ward, Jr.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., a Delaware corporation; Alcatel-Lucent Holdings Inc., a Delaware corporation; Allied Telesis, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Avaya Inc., a Delaware corporation; AXIS Communications AB, a Swedish corporation; AXIS Communications, Inc., a Massachusetts corporation; Dell Inc., a Delaware corporation; GarrettCom, Inc., a California corporation; Hewlett-Packard Company, a Delaware corporation; Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., a People's Republic of China corporation; Huawei Technologies USA Inc., a Texas corporation; Juniper Networks, Inc., a Delaware corporation; NEC Corporation, a Japanese corporation; NEC Corporation of America, a Delaware corporation; Polycom, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., a South Korean corporation, Samsung Electronics America, Inc., a New York corporation; Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; ShoreTel, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Sony Corporation, a Japanese corporation, Sony Corporation of America, a New York corporation; Sony Electronics Inc., a Delaware corporation;

Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:11-cv-492

JURY DEMANDED

DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that the following schedule of deadlines is in effect until

further order of this Court:



12/08/2014 Court designated date – not flexible without good cause - Motion Required	9:00 a.m. JURY TRIAL as reached at the United States District Court, 211 W. Ferguson, 3 rd Floor, Courtroom of Judge Leonard Davis, Tyler, Texas.		
		ORDER REGARDING EXHIBITS, EXHIBIT LISTS AND WITNESS LISTS:	
		first day of trial, each party is required to have on hand the	
	following: (1)	One copy of their respective original exhibits. Each exhibit shall be properly labeled with the following information: Identified as either Plaintiff's or Defendant's Exhibit, the Exhibit Number and the Case Number. In addition, exhibits shall be placed in properly marked manilla folders and contained in a box with handles.	
	(2)	Three (3) hard copies of their exhibit list and witness list. The Court's preferred format for Exhibit Lists is available on the Court's website at www.txed.uscourts.gov under "Orders & Forms."	
	exhibits admitte A desc the exh entitled daily li on Mo	g trial on a daily basis, each party shall tender to the Court a list of ed for each day. Experiment of the exhibits is not necessary, just a list containing mibit numbers. For example, Plaintiff will submit a document d, "Plaintiff's List of Exhibits Admitted on (the date)." Said ists are to be tendered the following day. (If trial commences may, Monday's list will be due Tuesday morning and so on the conclusion of trial).	
	shall b tender	conclusion of the evidentiary phase of trial, each party e responsible for pulling those exhibits admitted at trial and those to the Courtroom Deputy, who will verify the ts and tender them to the jury for their deliberations.	
	the res	conclusion of trial, all boxes of exhibits shall be returned to pective parties and the parties are instructed to remove these ts from the courtroom.	
	submit to the Co	submit to the Court (to Chambers) the following:	
	(1)	A Final Exhibit List of Exhibits Admitted During Trial, and in addition provide the Court a disk containing this document in Word format. A disk or disks containing their respective admitted trial exhibits in PDF format, with the exception of sealed exhibits. If the Court ordered any exhibits sealed during trial, the Sealed Exhibits shall be copied on a separate disk. If tangible or over-sized exhibits were admitted, such exhibits shall be substituted with a photograph to be converted to a PDF file and shall be included in the Court's	
	(3)	disk of admitted exhibits. A disk or disks containing the transcripts of Video	



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

