

**SEL EXHIBIT NO. 2005**

CMI CORP. v. PATENT OF YOSHIHARU HIRAKATA and  
SHUNPEI YAMAZAKI

IPR 2013-00068

1 Kyle B. Fleming (*California Bar No. 166386*)  
2 RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP  
3 1621 Euclid Avenue  
4 Nineteenth Floor  
5 Cleveland, Ohio 44115  
6 Telephone: (216) 621-1113  
7 Facsimile: (216) 621-6165  
8 *kffleming@rennerotto.com*

9 *Attorneys for defendant Westinghouse Digital, LLC*

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY  
13 LABORATORY CO., LTD.,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 CHIMEI INNOLUX CORPORATION,  
17 ET AL.,

18 Defendants.

19 Case No. SACV 12-0021-JST (JPRx)

20 **DEFENDANT WESTINGHOUSE  
21 DIGITAL'S NOTICE OF JOINDER  
22 AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND TO  
23 OUTCOME OF INTER PARTES  
24 REVIEW IF STAY OF PROCEEDINGS  
25 IS GRANTED**

26 **NOTICE OF JOINDER AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND TO OUTCOME OF INTER**  
27 **PARTES REVIEW IF STAY OF PROCEEDINGS IS GRANTED**

28 Between October 19, 2012 and November 30, 2012, Chimei Innolux Corporation ("CMI")  
filed seven requests for *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,404,480 ("480  
Patent"), 7,956,978 ("978 Patent"), 7,697,102 ("102 Patent"), 7,923,311 ("311 Patent"),  
8,068,204 ("204 Patent"), and 7,876,413 ("413 Patent") (collectively the "patents-in-suit") with  
the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO").<sup>1</sup>

On October 22, 2012, Defendants CMI, Chimei Optoelectronics USA, Inc. ("CMO USA"),  
Acer America Corporation ("Acer"), ViewSonic Corporation ("ViewSonic"), VIZIO, Inc.  
("VIZIO"), and (collectively, the "Defendants") filed a Motion to Stay Litigation Pending  
Outcome of Inter Partes Review ("Motion").<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>See Supplemental Cordrey Declaration in support of Motion to Stay Litigation Pending *Inter Partes* Review, Dkt No. 104, and Exhibits 1-19.  
<sup>2</sup>See Dkt. No. 100.

1 For the reasons set forth in Defendants' Motion, Westinghouse Digital, LLC  
2 ("Westinghouse") hereby joins Defendants' motion to stay.

3 Additionally, in the event that the Court grants the Motion and stays the litigation,  
4 Westinghouse agrees to be bound by the PTO's determinations on the IPRs pursuant to the  
5 estoppel provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2).

6  
7 Dated: 07 December 2012

Respectfully submitted,

8 /s/ Kyle B Fleming

9 Kyle B. Fleming  
10 Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, LLP  
11 1621 Euclid Avenue  
12 Nineteenth Floor  
13 Cleveland, Ohio 44115  
14 Telephone: (216) 621-1113  
15 Facsimile: (216) 621-6165

*Attorneys for Westinghouse Digital, LLC.*

16 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

17 I hereby certify that on this date a true and correct copy of the foregoing will be  
18 electronically filed with the Court. Notice of this filing will be automatically sent by the Court's  
19 CM/ECF system to all counsel of record.

20 Dated: 07 December 2012

/s/ Kyle B. Fleming

21 Kyle B. Fleming  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28