

**SEL EXHIBIT NO. 2002**

CMI CORP. v. PATENT OF YOSHIHARU HIRAKATA and  
SHUNPEI YAMAZAKI

IPR 2013-00068

1 JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP  
2 STANLEY M. GIBSON (Bar No. 162329)  
3 *sgibson@jmbm.com*  
4 GREGORY S. CORDREY (Bar No. 190144)  
5 *gcordrey@jmbm.com*  
6 3 Park Plaza, Suite 1100  
7 Irvine, California 92614-2592  
8 Telephone: (949) 623-7200  
9 Facsimile: (949) 623-7202

6 Attorneys for Defendants  
7 CHIMEI INNOLUX CORPORATION,  
8 CHIMEI OPTOELECTRONICS USA, INC.,  
9 ACER AMERICA CORPORATION,  
10 VIEWSONIC CORPORATION,  
11 VIZIO, INC.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY  
15 LABORATORY CO., LTD.,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 CHIMEI INNOLUX CORPORATION,  
19 CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS USA,  
20 INC., ACER AMERICA  
CORPORATION, VIEWSONIC  
CORPORATION, VIZIO, INC., and  
WESTINGHOUSE DIGITAL, LLC,

21 Defendants.

CASE NO. SACV12-0021-JST (JPRx)

**DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF  
MOTION AND MOTION TO STAY  
LITIGATION PENDING OUTCOME  
OF INTER PARTES REVIEW;  
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION AND DECLARATION OF  
GREGORY S. CORDREY IN  
SUPPORT THEREOF**

*[Notice of Motion, Motion and [Proposed] Order  
Filed Concurrently Herewith]*

Date: December 21, 2012  
Time: 2:30 p.m.  
Place: Hon. Josephine Staton Tucker  
Courtroom 10A  
411 W. 4th St. # 1-053  
Santa Ana, California

JMBM | Jeffer Mangels  
Butler & Mitchell LLP

28  
PRINTED ON  
RECYCLED PAPER  
LA 9063556v1

Memorandum of Points and Authorities In Support  
Of Motion to Stay Pending Outcome of Inter Partes  
Review

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	2
II. STATEMENT OF FACTS .....	3
A. SEL's Prior Litigation and Inequitable Conduct.....	3
B. CMI and SEL are Not Competitors.....	4
C. The Status of the Proceedings in this Case.....	5
D. Defendants Have, Or Will Have, Filed Their Petitions For Inter Partes Review With The PTO Within A Few Weeks .....	5
E. If The Stay Is Not Granted, The Parties Will Be Addressing The Same Issues In Two Different Forums At The Same Time .....	6
F. The New IPR Review Process Is Fast, Fair And Will Either Eliminate The Need For Trial Or Streamline The Remaining Issues .....	8
III. STAYING THE CASE IS WARRANTED BECAUSE THE CASE IS IN ITS EARLY STAGES, THE REVIEW WILL SIMPLIFY THE ISSUES AT TRIAL AND NEITHER PARTY WILL BE PREJUDICED BY A STAY .....	9
A. Legal Standard.....	9
B. Discovery Has Just Begun and Is Not Close to Being Completed ....	10
C. A Stay Will Simplify the Issues in Question and Streamline the Trial.....	12
D. A Stay Will Not Unduly Prejudice SEL or Present a Clear Tactical Disadvantage for SEL, Which is Not a Competitor of CMI .....	16
IV. CONCLUSION .....	18

JMBM  
Jeffer Mangels  
Butler & Mitchell LLP

PRINTED ON  
RECYCLED PAPER  
LA 9063556v1

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Page

**CASES**

1

2

3

4 *Akeena Solar Inc. v. Zep Solar Inc.*,

5     No. C 09-05040 JSW, 2010 WL 1526388 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 14, 2010).....16

6 *Anascope, Ltd. v. Microsoft Corp.*,

7     475 F. Supp. 2d 612 (E.D. Tex. 2007)..... 11, 12

8 *ASCII Corp. v. STD Entm't*,

9     844 F. Supp. 1378 (N.D. Cal. 1994).....9

10 *Aten Int'l Co. v. Emine Tech. Co.*,

11     No. 09-cv-0843, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 46226 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 12, 2010) .....

12     .....8, 9, 15

13 *Avago Tech. Fiber IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. v. IPtronics, Inc.*,

14     No. 10- CV-02863-EJD, 2011 WL 3267768 (N.D. Cal. July 28, 2011) .....11

15 *Bausch & Lomb Inc. v. Alcon Lab., Inc.*,

16     914 F. Supp. 951 (W.D.N.Y. 1996).....13

17 *Brass Smith, LLC v. Advanced Design Mfg. LLC*,

18     CV 10-4945 PSG, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 141422 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 20,

19     2010) .....8

20 *Echostar Techs. Corp. v. TiVo, Inc.*,

21     No. 05-cv-81, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 48431 (E.D. Tex. July 14, 2006) .....

22     ..... 10, 11, 12, 14

23 *eSoft, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.*,

24     505 F. Supp. 2d 784 (D. Colo. 2007) ..... 11, 12

25 *Gould v. Control Laser Corp.*,

26     705 F.2d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 1983).....7

27 *In re Translogic Tech., Inc.*,

28     504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....14

*Inogen, Inc. v. Inova Labs, Inc.*

   Case No. SACV 11-1692-JST (Mar. 20, 2012)..... 8, 9, 11, 16

JMBM  
Jeffer Mangels  
Butler & Mitchell LLP

PRINTED ON  
RECYCLED PAPER  
LA 9063556v1

Memorandum of Points and Authorities In Support  
Of Motion to Stay Pending Outcome of Inter Partes  
Review

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
**(CONTINUED)**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Page

*J.T. Eaton & Co. v. At. Paste & Glue Co.*,  
106 F.3d 1563 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....12

*Krippelz v. Ford Motor Company*,  
667 F.3d 1261 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....12

*Lincoln Nat'l Life Ins. Co. v. Transamerica Fin. Life Ins. Co.*,  
No. 08-cv-135, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35121 (N.D. Ind. April 24, 2009).....11

*Marine Polymer Technologies, Inc. v. HemCon, Inc.*,  
672 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2012) (en banc) .....8

*MH Sys., Inc. v. Peter McNulty*,  
CV 05-7263 DSF, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 98642 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 23, 2006).....  
..... 8, 10, 12, 15

*Pragmatus AV, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.*,  
No. 11-CV-02168-EJD, 2011 WL 4802958 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2011).....11

*Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., LTD. v. Samsung Electronics Co., LTD., et al.*,  
204 F. 3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....2,3

*Softview Computer Prods. Corp. v. Haworth, Inc.*,  
No. 97-cv-8815, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11274 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 10, 2000).....  
..... 10, 12

*Translogic Tech., Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd.*,  
250 Fed. Appx. 988 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....14

JMBM  
Jeffer Mangels  
Butler & Mitchell LLP

PRINTED ON  
RECYCLED PAPER

LA 9063556v1

- iii -

Memorandum of Points and Authorities In Support  
Of Motion to Stay Pending Outcome of Inter Partes  
Review

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.