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| Page 6 | Page 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 (Document marked previously as Exhibit | 1 printing, but it does seem to be that there's two |
| 2 Number 2011 was presented.) | 2 copies of the same thing. |
| 3 BY MR. GIBSON: | 3 Q. Okay. And I'm not sure if that's |
| 4 Q. And I'm going to hand you a copy of that | 4 attached to the original that way or if that was |
| 5 and ask you to take a look at it and confirm that | 5 something that was done in the copying either, but |
| 6 it's your declaration. | 6 putting that aside, is it the same CV, albeit with |
| 7 A. It does appear to be my declaration and | 7 two copies of the one we went through yesterday? |
| 8 exhibit -- I'm sorry, declaration and appendices | 8 A. It does appear to be the same and that |
| 9 but not the exhibits. | 9 certainly was my intention. |
| 10 Q. And if you look at Appendix B to your | 10 Q. If you'd look at paragraph 52 of your |
| 11 declaration -- | 11 declaration -- |
| 12 A. I see it | 12 A. I see it. |
| 13 Q. -- are those | 13 Q. -- and if you have a moment, just to |
| 14 reviewed to prepare your declaration? | 14 read that to yourself. Just let me know when |
| 15 A. Yes. | 15 you're don |
| 16 Q. And did you review | 16 A. I've rea |
| 17 preparing your declaration? | 17 Q. And what are you trying to articulate |
| 18 A. In forming the opinions | 18 there? |
| 19 expressed here and in preparing the declaration | 19 A. The statement says what it says and I |
| 20 itself, I didn't review anything else in addition | 20 stand by it, that an ordinarily skilled artisan |
| 21 to this | 21 understands that this terminal in the ' 204 patent |
| 22 Q | 22 is fabricated generally from the bottom up, |
| 23 for exam | 23 beginning with the foundation and substrate and |
| 24 A. Not for the purpose of forming the | 24 then the other layers. And that's required |
| 25 opinions and preparing the declaration, no. As | 25 because of the processing that's needed during the |
| Page | Page 9 |
| 1 did mention yesterday, there were other things I | 1 fabrication. |
| 2 looked at but decided not to spend any more time | 2 Q. And this figure's coming from the '204 |
| 3 on, other than recognizing that I had seen them. | 3 patent, is that correct? |
| 4 Q. And do you recall any patents you looked | 4 A. Yes, I believe it's Fig. 4A. |
| 5 at and decided not to consider? | 5 (Document marked previously as Exhibit |
| 6 A. No. I certainly don't recall any -- any | 6 Number 1012 was presented.) |
| 7 of those. | 7 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 8 Q. How much time did you spend looking | 8 Q. I'm going to |
| 9 the things you decided not to consider? | $9 \text { And -- }$ |
| 10 A. A small -- small number of hours | 10 A. To be clear, it's been colorized in my |
| 11 one hour, not very much time at all. | 11 declaration. So it's a modification of Fig. 4A, |
| 12 Q. Were -- those things that you didn't | 12 but that's wher |
| 13 consider, were those provided to you by counsel or | 13 Q. Right. Now, when you look at say |
| 14 were those just things you looked at on your own? | 14 Claim 1 of the '204 patent -- have you had a |
| 15 A. Those were things that I looked at on my | 15 chance to look at that |
| 16 own. | 16 A. While I have reviewed Claim 1 of the |
| 17 Q. Were there any things that were provided | 17 '204 patent, I certainly haven't spent a lot of |
| 18 by counsel that you did not consider? | 18 time reading it. That certainly was not my focus. |
| 19 A. Not that I can recall. This list seems | 19 Q. Okay, fair enoug |
| 20 to be complete in tha | 20 What would you consider to be a |
| 21 Q. And the CV | 21 representative claim that would embody |
| 22 declaration, is it the same CV as yesterday | 224 A in the '204 patent? |
| 23 A. It appears to be, but there does appear | 23 A. Can you tell me what you mean by |
| 24 to be two copies of it. I'm not sure if that's | 24 "representative claim"? |
| 25 our error or an error that happened in your | 25 Q. What's a claim that would claim the |


| Page 10 | Page 12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 features that you see in Fig. 4A of the '204 | 1 A. Well, in Claim 31, first of all, the |
| 2 patent? | 2 terms "first insulating film" and "second |
| 3 A. Well, Fig. 4A is an embodiment of | 3 insulating film" are part of the claim and they |
| 4 Claim 31 and maybe others. So is that what you're | 4 are part of the claim in a sequence of |
| 5 asking, what my opinion is? | 5 limitations, which I'd like to go through to lay |
| 6 Q. Yeah, and what specific | 6 the ground work for my answer, right. |
| 7 think would cover that embodimen | 7 So it's clearly a liquid crystal display |
| 8 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form | 8 device. There must be a substrate with thin film |
| 9 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm quite ce | 9 transistors, pixel electrodes each electrically |
| 10 that Fig. 4A covers multiple claims in this | 10 connected to one of the thin film transistors, a |
| 11 patent. The one that comes to mind first | 11 counter substrate facing the substrate, a liquid |
| 12 Claim 31, but by no means is it limited to | 12 crystal material and a sealant provided between |
| 13 BY MR. GIBSON: | 13 the substrate and the counter substrate. |
| 14 Q. Okay. | 14 And then we get into the claim |
| 15 I'm asking for something that would be | 15 limitations that are really particularly at issue. |
| 16 representative. | 16 There's an auxiliary line, an external connection |
| 17 And Claim 31 uses the languag | 17 line overlapping the auxiliary line with a first |
| 18 "first" and "second," for example? There's | 18 insulating film interposed there between. So |
| 19 words in that claim? | 19 that's the first instance of the word "first" |
| 20 A. The words "first" and "second" are | 20 applied to the insula |
| 21 indeed in Claim 31, but of course applied to | 21 |
| 22 -- oh, I'm sorry. Well, there's the insulating | 22 require a sequence, but its relationship of this |
| 23 films in Cl | 23 element to the other elements identified here d |
| 24 |  |
| 25 it's used in a different way | 25 "first," but rather that whole limitation that |
| 1 | Page 13 |
| 1 the words "first" and "second" do appear in | 1 describes the relationship between those three |
| 2 Claim 31. | 2 elements. |
| 3 Q. All right. And when you -- or when | 3 Q. All right. And so it's obviously not -- |
| 4 ordinary -- when a person of -- an ordinary person | 4 what you're saying is it's not the word "first" by |
| 5 of skill in the art reads a claim that states | 5 itself, but you understand in the context of that |
| 6 "first" and "second," would you understand | 6 claim when you see the words "first" and "second," |
| 7 that's referring to the order that the layers ar | 7 there is an order that's being directed in how |
| 8 deposited -- | 8 you're going to deposit these layers? |
| 9 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. | 9 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 10 BY MR. GIBSON: | 10 THE WITNESS: In Claim 31, the order |
| 11 Q. -- on the subs | 11 that is and sequence of the layers that are |
| 12 MR. SCHLITTER: And foundation. | 12 present is not principally linked to the use of |
| 13 THE WITNESS: It would depend on the | 13 the word "first" or the use of the word "second." |
| 14 claim that the person of ordinary skill is | 14 It's the other descriptions that are provided that |
| 15 reading. | 15 describe that. |
| 16 BY MR. GIBS | 16 The function in this claim of the word |
| 17 Q. Well, the Claim 31, for example, | 17 "first" and "second" principally goes to identify |
| 18 would you interpret the order of the deposition | 18 that there's two separate insulating films. |
| 19 steps as being set forth in that claim? | 19 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 20 A. Are you asking generally or do you wa | 20 Q. Would that -- would you understand if |
| 21 me to give you a comprehensive | 21 you didn't have the words "first" and "second," |
| 22 Q. Specifically in Claim 31, how would a | 22 that you could order these in a -- well, strike |
| 23 person of ordinary skill in the art understand the | 23 that. |
| 24 words "first" and "second" in terms of a direction | 24 |
| 25 into -- or the depositing of the layers? | 25 you also have the words "first" and "second"? |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. Yes, they're used and applied on first | 1 A. Well, in that case, I don't think a |
| 2 conductive line, second conductive line as well a | 2 person of ordinary skill would -- would be able to |
| 3 first insulating film and second insulating film. | 3 see that as the inverse. So in that case, it's |
| 4 Q. And the statement where you say, " | 4 building on top of that first conductive line |
| 5 first conductive line over the substrate, " you | 5 because of the word "over." |
| 6 would understand that element to be telling you | 6 Q. No, the word "over" is also used in the |
| 7 sequence of how you're going to deposit that | 7 previous ele |
| 8 layer, correct? | 8 A. |
| 9 A. Well, not strictly speaking. If ther | 9 Q. First conductive line over the |
| 10 a substrate, there should be a -- in this claim | 10 substrate |
| 11 limitation, there should be a first conductive | 11 A. It |
| 12 line over that substrate. I think a person | 12 Q. So how is the word "over" being used |
| 13 ordinary skill would normally exp | 13 differently in the element of first insulating |
| 14 substrate is first somehow manufactured and th | 14 film over the first conductive line? |
| 15 prepared for the deposition of that conductive | 15 A. Well, I'd like to be clear. I think a |
| 16 line, but the claim certainly doesn't require | 16 person of ordinary skill would normally read this, |
| 17 that. It could be the inverse | 17 a first conductive line over the substrate |
| 18 Q. What do you mean it co | 18 limitation and understand that the substrate would |
| 19 inverse? | 19 be prepared first and then the first wiring line |
| $20 \quad \text { A. }$ | 20 would be deposited and patterned on top of it, but |
| 21 layer formed and a material deposited onto th | 21 the claim limitation does not require that. |
| 22 that will later s | 22 That's simply what I'm -- I'm pointing out. |
| 23 substrate. You |  |
| 24 displays, | 24 |
| 25 it's common or -- or preferred, but it's certain | 25 just two things. Whereas its second use refers |
|  | Page 17 |
| 1 an aspect that's explored in that context. | 1 its use with respect to more than two things. |
| 2 Q. Okay. But looking at Claim 54, you | 2 Q. So would you then read a limitation into |
| 3 would not understand Claim 54 to be directing that | 3 a first conductive line over the substrate as |
| 4 kind of step, right? | 4 saying the substrate's going to have to come |
| 5 A. It doesn't direct either way. It simpl | 5 before the first conductive line because the next |
| 6 says there must be a first conductive line ov | 6 element of first insulating film over the first |
| 7 the substrate and that word "over" then places a | 7 conductive line requires the insulating film to be |
| 8 direction above a substrate that's going to be | 8 over the conductive line? |
| 9 built upon in the rest of the claim limitations. | 9 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form |
| 10 Q. All right. So you're saying the | 10 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't think that a |
| 11 substrate could come after the first condu | 11 person of ordinary skill would read the phrase "a |
| 12 line? | 12 first conductive line over the substrate" as |
| 13 A. Claim 54 could certainly appl | 13 requiring that the substrate come first. That is |
| 14 terminals where a first conductive line is fir | 14 a preferable way to do it certainly, but it's not |
| 15 somehow prepared and then a substrate material is | 15 required. |
| 16 applied onto th | 16 However, when it's used in the next |
| 17 It sounded to me like you wer | 17 limitation, the first insulating film over the |
| 18 if this limitation required a sequence b | 18 first conductive line, there is an order that's |
| 19 substrate first and then a first conductive line | 19 required there because it's -- it's describing the |
| 20 and I'm simply commenting | 20 relationship of the first insulating film which |
| 21 claim limitation requires. It could be | 21 now must be over the first conductive line, which |
| 22 inverse as well | 22 of course is already over the substrate |
| 23 Q. When you look at a first insulating film | 23 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 24 over the first conductive line, could that be the | 24 Q. Now, when you look at the next element, |
| 25 inverse as well? | 25 a second conductive line -- actually let me just |


| Page 18 | Page 20 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 follow-up on what you just said. | 1 conductive line and then put down -- put an |
| 2 When you look at the two elements | 2 insulating film and put a first conductive line |
| 3 together, a first conductive line over the | 3 next and then put a substrate on top of that? |
| 4 substrate, a first insulating film over the | 4 A. W |
| 5 conductive line, are you saying that that | 5 relative relationships between these element |
| 6 tell you that the substrate is going to come fir | 6 The claim doesn't have forming language. It's not |
| 7 and then you're going to ha | 7 a process claim. So no, I don't think I can agree |
| 8 and then you're going to have an insulating film | 8 |
| 9 over the conductive li | 9 describe. This whole thing could have been |
| 10 A. Perhaps I misun | 10 |
| 11 "first." What | 11 |
| 12 specifically, the sequence that is used during | 12 would you be able to fabricate this? If you look |
| 13 actual fabrication or | 13 at all the claims, would you be able to fabri |
| 14 Q. No, I'm looking at the claim language | 14 this in an inverted way, opening up the particular |
| 15 and I want to make sure it's | 15 laye |
| 16 understand, it's what you understand about the | 16 A. Well, what I'm -- what I'm I guess most |
| 17 words "first" | 17 clearly trying |
| 18 And I'm just trying to | 18 fabrication, the process of creating these layer |
| 19 you look at those two claim elements, a first | 19 can take many different paths and I don't think |
| 20 conductive line over the substrate, a first | 20 the claim limits how the structure is gotten |
| 21 insulating film over the first conductive line | 21 It does limit the relative relationships of those |
| 22 does that tell you | $22$ |
| 23 substrate and then a cond | $23$ |
| 24 substrate and then a first insulating film over 25 the first conductive line? | 24 of ordinary skill would anticipate building this |
| Page 19 | Page 21 |
| 1 A. At the end of whatever process is used | 1 you're asking me to agree to, but I don't think |
| 2 to implement these claim limitations, there should | 2 the claim limits it to that. |
| 3 be a substrate, which I'd prefer to talk about a | you wouldn't agree that in Claim 54, |
| 4 being on the lower side of the element. The next | 4 the sequence of the disclosed layers necessarily |
| 5 element should be a first conduc | 5 follows that you're going to have the first |
| 6 then the next element should be a first insulating | 6 conductive line deposited, then the insulating |
| 7 film. I think that structure is required by those | 7 film deposited and patterned to enable the |
| 8 claim limitations. I think the difference that | 8 required electrical connection? |
| 9 I'm trying to express is that that structure can | 9 A. Well, it depends on what you mean by |
| 10 be reached even if the substrate isn't the first | 10 "sequence." |
| 11 thing that's actually fabricated. | 11 Q. What |
| 12 Q . | 12 A. Well, again, if we're talking about the |
| 13 a second conductive line over the first insula | 13 sequence used during fabrication, that's one |
| 14 film, would you understand that to requir | 14 thing. If we talk about the sequence looking |
| 15 the second conductive line is coming after | 15 the structure itself at the end, it's a different |
| 16 first conductive line has been deposited? | 16 matter. So the claim does require the relative |
| 17 A. I think a person of ordinary skill | 17 sequence in the final structure. |
| 18 would -- would read a second conductive line | 18 Q. As one of ordinary skill |
| 19 the first insulating film as requiring that the | 19 isn't that how you're going to have to deposit |
| 20 deposition and patterning of the second conductive | 20 them in order to achieve that structure? |
| 21 line should happen after the first insulating film | 21 A. Again, I gave you the example in th |
| 22 is already deposited. | 22 first claim limitation that we were talking |
| 23 Q. All rig | 23 a first conductive line over the substrate. Ther |
| 24 expect | 24 are at least two ways to |
| 25 words, we wouldn't start with the second | 25 limitation. One is where the substrate is somehow |


| $\text { e } 22$ | 24 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 formed and then the first conductive line is | 1 A. Do you mean the sequence of the |
| 2 patterned -- deposited and patterned on top of | 2 deposition steps? |
| 3 | 3 Q. Yes. |
| 4 example, but the opposite could have als | 4 A. I -- I can't agree exactly |
| 5 case, where the first conductive line is someho | 5 Again, the claim doesn't describ |
| 6 prepared and the substrate material a | 6 process claim. It's a claim that limit |
| 7 of that. In either way, you still wind up with | 7 structure of the final terminal. |
| 8 that relative relationship of the | 8 Q. If you look |
| 9 then process the rest of | 9 paragraph 54 , and in paragraph 54 , you state "In |
| 10 So in the end, t | 10 Claim 54, and Claim 61, 68 and 76 reciting similar |
| 11 looking at the final structure, going from the | 11 limitations, the sequence of the disclosed layers |
| 12 substrate and to the next layer that's over the | 12 necessarily follows: |
| 13 substrate in the language of the claim, the | 13 "First, the first conductive line meta |
| 14 sequence is prescribe | 14401 in Fig. 4A is deposited. Second, the first |
| 15 Q. But you think that the deposition steps | 15 insulating film 112 in Fig. 4A is deposited and |
| 16 could be reversed from what the sequence is when | 16 patterned to enable the required electrical |
| 17 you're looking at the finished product? | 17 connection between the first and second conductive |
| 18 A. What -- | 18 lines. Third, the second conductive line metal |
| 19 MR. S | 19403 in Fig. 4A is deposited. Fourth, the second |
| 20 THE WITNESS: What are you asking | 20 insulating film 113 in Fig. 4A is deposited and |
| 21 reverse? | 21 patterned to have an opening. Fifth |
| 22 BY MR. GIB | 22 transparent conductive film 114 in Fig. 4A is |
| 23 Q. Well, | 23 deposited and patterned." |
| 24 the order of the deposition steps that you would | 24 Those were your wor |
| 25 understand would happen from Claim 54. And I | 25 A. Yes, and I stand by paragraph 54 fully. |
|  | 25 |
|  |  |
| 2 anything. Let me jus | 2 "in Claims 54, the sequence of the disclosed |
| 3 Don't you think | 3 layers necessarily follows"? |
| 4 Claim 54 says, that a person of ordinary | 4 A. I certainly do. That's what I'm |
| 5 the art is going to understand the sequence of the | 5 expressing right now. The sequence of the |
| 6 disclosed layers to be that the first conductive | 6 disclosed layers in the final terminal assembly |
| 7 line is deposited, then the insulating film is | 7 necessarily follows from the claim. How to get |
| 8 deposited and patterned, then the -- then the | 8 there is not specified in the claim. What I list |
| 9 second conductive line is deposited, followed by | 9 is the most likely way, but it's not the only way. |
| 10 the second insulating film and then followed by | 10 Q. It doesn't say the most likely way in |
| 11 the transparent conductive film? Isn't that what | 11 your declaration. It says "necessarily follows," |
| 12 Claim 54 is directing the sequence of deposition | 12 correct? |
| 13 steps to | 13 A. It says that "the sequence of the |
| 14 A. Claim 54 doesn't direct the sequence of | 14 disclosed layers necessarily follows from |
| 15 the deposition steps. It directs the sequence of | 15 Claim 54." I still stand by that. |
| 16 the layers in the final structure, the final | 16 Q. Now, if you look at Fig. 4A -- and I |
| 17 terminal. The sequence that you just described | 17 just want to check to see if I've got a blowup of |
| 18 certainly one example that I think a person | 18 that. If not, we'll just use the one that's in |
| 19 ordinary skill would -- would follow, but it's not | 19 '204. I'll just use the one that's in the '204 |
| 20 limited to that. The claim is not limited to | 20 patent. |
| 21 | 21 So you've got that in front of you? |
| 22 t | 22 A. Yes |
| 23 | 23 Q. And could you -- Claim 54 refers to a |
| 24 deposition steps necessarily follow from the | 24 first conductive line? |
| 25 language of Claim 54? | 25 A. Yes, it does. |


| Page 26 | Page 28 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. And if you could write down for me next | 1 lines satisfy the first conductive line claim |
| 2 to Fig. 4A what you would assume to be the first | 2 limitation in Claim 54, yes. |
| 3 conductive line. | 3 Q. And the first insulating film, you |
| 4 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form | 4 understand that is the -- corresponds to the 112 ? |
| 5 THE WITNESS: You want me to label on my | 5 A. The first insulating film of Claim 54 |
| 6 copy of Fig. 4A -- | 6 does correspond or is -- that claim limitation is |
| 7 BY MR. GIBSON | 7 met by element 112 in Fig. 4A. |
| 8 Q. Yes, please | 8 Q. And then the second conductive line is |
| 9 A. -- where is the first conductive line? | 9 the 403 external connection lines? |
| 10 Q. Yes. | 10 A. 403 meets that claim limitation, the |
| 11 A. Would you like me to just add the words | 11 second conductive line. |
| 12 to the label or actually color through the | 12 Q. And the second insulating film is met by |
| 13 element? | 13 113, the resin inter-layer film? |
| 14 Q. Oh, just add the words, please. That | 14 A. Element 113 corresponds to the second |
| 15 will be sufficient. | 15 insulating film in Claim 54. |
| 16 A. Okay. (Indicating) | 16 Q. Okay. Let's look at Shiba again. I |
| 17 Okay, I've done so. | 17 think I've got the patent from the '204 matter. |
| 18 Q. And then if you could -- Claim 54 also | 18 MR. SCHLITTER: Thank you. |
| 19 refers to a first insulating film? | 19 (Document marked previously as Exhibit |
| 20 A. It does. | 20 Number 1013 was presented.) |
| 21 Q. If you could write where that first | 21 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 22 insulating film | 22 Q. And this is one of the patents that you |
| 23 A. (Indicating.) | 23 reviewed in preparing your declaration for this |
| 24 I've done that. | 24 matter? |
| 25 Q. Thank you. | 25 A. Yes, it is. |
| Page 27 | Page 29 |
| 1 And Claim 54 also refers to a second | 1 Q. And if you'd look at Fig. 1 of Shiba, |
| 2 conductive line? | 2 what's your understanding of what's being |
| 3 A. Yes. | 3 disclosed there? |
| 4 Q. And if you could write that on Fig. 4A | 4 A. Well, Shiba describes Fig. 1 in |
| 5 as well. | 5 Column 3, line 32 as a plan view of an active |
| 6 A. (Indicating.) | 6 matrix LCD panel according to an embodiment of the |
| 7 I've done it. | 7 present invention. |
| 8 Q. And Claim 54 refers to a second | 8 Q. And there's a wiring 127, is that |
| 9 insulating film? | 9 correct? |
| 10 A. Yes. | 10 A. I see it. It has at least two labels in |
| 11 Q. And if you could write that down as well | 11 Fig. 1. It's a wiring that begins on the left |
| 12 on Fig. 4A. | 12 side, extends up the left side across the top of |
| 13 A. (Indicating.) Yes. | 13 the display and down the right side. |
| 14 Q. All right. If I could just take a look | 14 Q. That's the overall length of the |
| 15 at that. Thank you. | 15 wiring 127 ? |
| 16 So as you've indicated there, the first | 16 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 17 conductive line is equivalent to 401 auxiliary | 17 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I can |
| 18 lines? | 18 identify a length of wiring 127 , but that's where |
| 19 A. I can't agree that it's equivalent, but | 19 it is located and illustrated. |
| 20 I 'm pointing to that label in Fig. 4A. The | 20 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 21 auxiliary lines correspond to the first conductive | 21 Q. And I'm going to ask for a specific |
| 22 line of Claim 54. | 22 dimension. I mean, if you knew the hypotenuse, I |
| 23 Q. You understand that to satisfy that | 23 suppose you could give us precise dimensions for |
| 24 claim limitation? | 24 wire 127 based on that, correct, so it's a right |
| 25 A. I understand that the 401 auxiliary | 25 angle triangle? |


| Page 30 | Page 32 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. | 1 pad 735 and 738 |
| 2 THE WITNESS: I'm -- can you rephrase | 2 A. Yes, 735 and 738 are included in the |
| 3 your question? I'm not sure what you mean by a | 3 list of pads in Column 5 and 6 that wiring 127 |
| 4 "precise dimension." | 4 connects |
| 5 BYMR. GIBSON | 5 Q. Now, the wiring 127, would you agree |
| 6 Q. If you knew the length of the hypotenu | 6 that the distance it's traveling along the three |
| 7 that runs -- there's a line that runs through the | 7 sides of the rectangle is longer than the diagonal |
| 8 middle | 8 of the display? |
| 9 A. It's a structure that has three sides of | 9 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 10 a rectan | 10 THE WITNESS: |
| 11 hypotenuse applying to | 11 mean by the distance of the wiring 127? |
| 12 It's normally triangles that have hypotenuse. I' | 12 BY MR. GIBSON |
| 13 not sure what you're asking. | 13 Q. Well, if we $j$ |
| 14 Q. Well, if you knew the d | 14 from the three sides of the triangle, if we |
| 15 side of the rectangle, you could figure out | 15 traverse those three sides, it would be longer to |
| 16 length of the overall wire 127, correct? | 16 |
| 17 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, for | 17 the diagonal of the display? |
| 18 THE WITNESS: You or someone else | 18 A. I still have trouble applying your |
| 19 need to define what they mean by the length of | 19 question to element 127 because it's a complicated |
| 20 that wiring. | 20 pattern. But if you're asking me would the three |
| 21 that's disclo | 21 sides of this rectangle be longer than the |
| 22 don't think without | 22 diagonal dimension, then yes, it would. I think |
| 23 a length of | 23 that wou |
| 24 BYMR. | 24 Q . What's the impact of the resistance of |
| 25 Q. All right. But you do agree the wiring | 25 the 127 wiring on the ability to support frame |
| Page 31 | 33 |
| 1 runs from the bottom left corner up to the top and | 1 inversion? |
| 2 then across and then down to the bottom right | 2 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 3 corner? | 3 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by - |
| 4 | 4 MR. SCHLITTER: Foundation. |
| 5 illustrate that in page 47 of my dec | 5 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "frame |
| 6 Q. You put it in green, I believe. We have | 6 inversion"? |
| 7 a black and white copy right now, but you put th | 7 BYMR. GIBSON |
| 8 in green? | 8 Q. Is that a term that you've heard? |
| 9 A. That's correct. I'm trying to highligh | 9 A. It certainly is. |
| 10 what Shiba indicates as Fig. 127 (sic) in Fig. | 10 Q. Okay. How would one of ordinary skill |
| 11 there as well as in Fig. 3. | 11 in the art interpret frame inversion? |
| 12 Q. And what is the purpose of wiring 127? | 12 A. Well, frame inversion is what generally |
| 13 A. Well, Shiba discloses that the purpose | 13 is designed into displays because the DC bias, the |
| 14 of wiring 127 in Column 5 and Column 6 is to | 14 average voltage that appears in liquid cry |
| 15 connect the power supply pads and the common pads | 15 layer, needs to be zero. In other words, it needs |
| 16 that are around the seal region. They h | 16 to be unbi |
| 17 numbers, for example, 731 | 17 And what that mean |
| 18738 , and these are all being connected together so | 18 voltages on either side of the pixel need to flip |
| 19 that a steady reference voltage is -- would | 19 or invert periodically and quite often, that's |
| 20 | 20 every frame or every other frame kind of a thing. |
| 21 electrode ca | 21 So that |
| 22 don't think he uses the word "steady," but that's | 22 frame inversi |
| 23 the idea. | 23 Q. And does the wiring 127 have any impact |
| 24 Q | 24 on frame inversion in Shiba? |
| 25 wiring 127 is supplying voltage to power supply | 25 A. It's provided to support not only frame |


|  | e 36 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 inversion itself, but the connection to the | 1 Q. And would you also understand they can |
| 2 counter electrod | 2 be formed in the same step of forming the scanning |
| 3 Q. And how does it -- how does it -- how | 3 lines Yj? |
| 4 does it perform that function? | 4 A. While Shiba doesn't illustrate that, |
| 5 A. Well, Shiba discloses that this w | 5 is in Column 6, around lines 33 where it says |
| 6 spread out along the sides of the display and | 6 depending on the kind of TFTs, the aforementioned |
| 7 connected to those eight pads, as well as | 7 wiring lines can be formed in the same step |
| 8 multiple terminal connections to that conduc | 8 forming the scanning lines Yj . So that |
| 9 pattern, all go to support an even voltag | 9 alternate embodiment that he identifies |
| 10 can be supplied to that. So that in general, the | 10 Q. And then it goes on to explain that |
| 11 -- the time it takes to reach the desired voltage | 11 the wiring lines 127 may also be formed in |
| 12 on that counter electrode would be small. | 12 step of forming the scanning lines Yj and the data |
| 13 I don't think he uses that language, but that's | 13 lines Xi respectively, thereby constituting a |
| 14 certainly my -- my recognition of what he's | 14 two-layer structure. |
| 15 talking about | $15$ |
| 16 Q. Now, i | 16 A. Yes, I certainly do. After |
| 17 support frame inversion? | 17 comes after his paragraph where he says they |
| 18 A. Well, it doesn't ap | 18 be used -- it could be formed from the data lin |
| 19 line 127 has high | 19 |
| 20 that's the | 20 and here he says it can be formed from both to |
| 21 into multip | 21 make |
| 22 small line, | 22 Q. So would you agree that Shiba disclos |
| 23 far as why he spl | 23 a single layer wiring, that is, a wirin |
| 24 recognizes that th | 24 formed from the same material as data lines X |
| 25 Q. Right. But the wiring of -- having low | 25 one possible option? |
|  | 37 |
| 1 resistance in wiring 127 is important so that you | 1 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 2 can support frame inversion, correct? | 2 THE WITNESS: Can you tell me what you |
| 3 A. Having a resistance that's low enough | 3 mean by a "single layer wiring." |
| 4 support the design is important. | 4 BYMR. GIBSON: |
| 5 Q. And wiring 127 is critical to | 5 Q. I think we ta |
| 6 A. It's important to that, but that doesn't | 6 yesterday that these wiring lines can be put down |
| 7 necessarily mean that it needs to be as low as one | 7 as a layer. That's what I was referring to. |
| 8 can imagine. It needs to be good enough. | 8 A. I think then that Shiba does illustrate |
| 9 Q. Right. It needs to be low enough s | 9 and talk about how wiring 127 is formed from a |
| 10 that you don't have a signal distortion because if | 10 single deposition step and a single layer of a |
| 11 you have a signal distortion, your image quality | 11 conductor that is the same as the data lines. |
| 12 will be low? | 12 Q. And it also can be the same as the |
| 13 MR. SCHLITTER: | 13 scanning lines Yj , that's also disclosed by Shiba? |
| 14 foundation. | 14 A. It could also be a single layer wire |
| 15 THE | 15 using your definition formed instead by the data |
| 16 description of the disc | 16 lines -- I'm sorry, the scanning lines Y. |
| 17 BY MR. GIBSON: | 17 Q. The scanning lines? |
| 18 Q. Now, in Shiba, would you agree that the | 18 A. |
| 19 first wiring line 27 can be formed in the same | 19 Q. And then it also goes on |
| 20 step of forming the data lines Xi | 20 double layer wiring where one layer is made from |
| 21 A. I notice that Shiba in Colun | 21 the material the data lines, Xi and one layer is |
| 22 the figures of | 22 made from the material the scanning lines, Yj ? |
| 23 that the wiring lines 127 are formed in the same | 23 A. Is that a question? |
| 24 step of deposition and patterning as the data | 24 Q. Yes |
| 25 lines X, Xi. | 25 A. I missed the question, I'm sorry. I'm |


|  | Page 40 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 not trying to be difficult. | 1 think of? |
| 2 Q. I appreciate that | 2 A. Well, I think one of ordinary skill |
| 3 Would you agree that Shiba also | 3 would not know what Shiba is talking about in |
| 4 discloses that you can have a double layer wiring | 4 these two sentences and it would be -- it would be |
| 5 where one layer is made from the material of the | 5 hard to implement anything in this direction. But |
| 6 data line, Xi and one layer is made from the | 6 I'll speculate on some things that would meet what |
| 7 materi | 7 he's describing if you'd like. |
| 8 A. Well, the only | 8 Q. Go ahead. Let me know what your best |
| 9 Column 6 where he mentions in on | 9 thoughts are on this. |
| 10 wiring line 127 may be formed from the scannin | 10 A. So I think one of ordinary skill would |
| 11 lines and the data lines and he refers to it as | 11 read two-layered structure to implement a wiring |
| 12 two-layer structure. | 12 line as, first of all, meaning that it would be |
| 13 that's disclosed in Shiba | 13 two conductors on top of each other forming a |
| 14 And to me, it's very | 14 two-layered structure accomplishing a wiring line. |
| 15 person of ordinary skill -- well, I -- I think | 15 And that's -- that's -- there |
| 16 would be very unclear to a person of ordinary | 16 examples in our prior art in this case where |
| 17 skill what Shiba is disclosing there. Whatever | 17 that's exactly the kind of wiring that's either |
| 18 is, it should be a two-layer structure. That's | 18 talked about in the specifications or illustrated |
| 19 maybe the only thing that's clear. | 19 in the figures in the other patents here. So I |
| 20 | 20 think that |
| 21 two-lay | 21 |
| 22 formed in the step of forming the | 22 But nevertheless, there's still many |
| 23 and the data lines? | 23 different ways to implement that wiring line 127 |
| 24 A. He's saying that a two-layer wiring | 24 where you still have only partial connection. One |
| 25 may be formed from those two metals, to accomp | 25 example is if we turn to Fig. 1, one could imagine |
| 39 | Page 41 |
| 1 the purpose of wiring line 127 in two layers | 1 keeping the wiring 127 as disclosed, but then in |
| 2 Q. And then it goes on to say that the | 2 addition, extending from the right side the scan |
| 3 layers may then be partially connected to ea | 3 lines, for example, element 724, a single terminal |
| 4 other, correct? | 4 or perhaps more that would extend from the |
| 5 A. That's w | 5 terminal region under the sealant and over into |
| 6 yes. | 6 the portion that is 127 , so that it could then |
| $7 \quad$ Q. And so we have a -- we have two options | 7 thereby connect to it and provide additional |
| 8 here. We have a single layer option or a double | 8 support for that reference voltage. So that's one |
| 9 layer option that's being discussed in Shiba in | 9 example. |
| 10 this column? | 10 Q. And what were the other two that you ha |
| 11 A | 11 thought of? |
| 12 three options. There's a single layer formed f | 12 A. Well, another way to imagine it would |
| 13 the data lines, a single layer formed from the | 13 be -- well, another -- another implementation |
| 14 scanning lines and then he mentions that s | 14 would be for some part of the wiring line inside |
| 15 combination of the two is a third option, but | 15 the existing 127 without an additional terminal |
| 16 certainly doesn't describe what that third option | 16 connection to the scanning lines, as I mentioned |
| 17 involves. And there's I think likely man | 17 in my first example, but for some parts of that to |
| 18 implementations that would meet the two sentence | 18 have the additional metal deposited right on top. |
| 19 that he's described h | 19 So for example, one could have -- a |
| 20 Q. The two-layer | 20 along the upper line, have both the material from |
| 21 be a number of ways to implement that | 21 the data lines and the scanning lines deposited on |
| 22 A. Yes, there certainly would be. | 22 top of one another to form that connection. |
| 23 think of | 23 A third example, of course, is that |
| 24 Q. And of a -- between a -- well, why don't | 24 wiring line 127 has six sublines in it and |
| 25 you tell me, what are those three that you can | 25 certainly one can imagine that you could divide |


| Page 42 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 those up between those patterning steps and | 1 A. He does. But he calls it a two-layered |
| 2 connect them at the start, at the end or perhaps | 2 structure. |
| 3 even somewhere in the middle and then form | 3 Q. Wouldn't one of ordinary skill in the |
| 4 structure that meets what he seems to be | 4 art understand that if it's going to be partially |
| 5 suggesting may be possible in those two sentences. | 5 connected, that it's not going to be lying on top |
| 6 Q. Now, in terms of a single layer | 6 of each other? |
| 7 structure that's mentioned earlier and then the | 7 A. What do you mean by "lying on top of |
| 8 double-layer structures, some examples of whi | 8 each other"? |
| 9 you provided, which would have a lower resistance? | 9 Q . In direct contact. |
| 10 A. Compared to what? | 10 A. Certainly not. A person of ordinary |
| 11 Q. The single layer that's mentioned | 11 skill would -- would -- cannot come to that |
| 12 compared to the double-layer structure. | 12 conclusion based on both sentences that he's |
| 13 A. You'd have to define from where to wher | 13 provided here. It's a two-layered structure. |
| 14 you want me to comment on where the resistance | 14 It's not a three-layered structure. |
| 15 would be. | 15 Q. If it's -- if it's only a two-layered |
| 16 Q. In line 127 where line 127 traverses the | 16 structure, how would it be -- how would that |
| 17 rectangle or the three sides of the rectangle? | 17 structure not be in contact all the time? |
| 18 A. Well, in all my cases I've -- I've | 18 A. Well, first, let me note that Shiba |
| 19 identified only local regions where the two | 19 doesn't require that it's only partially |
| 20 are on top of one another. If you'd like me to | 20 connected. It says if the layers are partially |
| 21 limit my answer to that I , of course, can. | 21 connected, right. That's just one possible |
| 22 Q. Why don't we start with that? | 22 implementation of his sentence about the |
| 23 A. Maybe the easiest thing to do is take my | 23 two-layered st |
| 24 second example and just use that. | 24 But nevertheless, in the case that I've |
| 25 second example, I'm commenting that wiring | 25 offered in my first example where there's a |
| Page 43 | Page 45 |
| 1 line 7 coul | 1 connection that comes from the terminal on the |
| 2 Q. 127? | 2 right side of the display in Fig. 1, for example, |
| 3 A. I'm sorry, yes, 127 could have the dat | 3 coming from the connections in element 724, then |
| 4 line layer as disclosed and then have the scanning | 4 there would be a wiring that starts at the |
| 5 line metal applied, for example, across the top | 5 terminal that is not overlapping 127. It goes |
| 6 border of the wiring line 127 only. And so if you | 6 into the sealant. It's still not overlapping |
| 7 want me to comment would the resistance be lowered | 7 until it reaches 127. So it would being partially |
| 8 from the top left of wiring 127 to the right, yes, | 8 overlapping. |
| 9 it would be. | 9 My third example also would apply to |
| 10 Q. And why would it be lowered? | 10 that where it's only connected at the ends, the |
| 11 A. Well, it would be lowered because there | 11 beginning and the end of the conductors where |
| 12 is more conductor. It would be a thicker wiring | 12 those six lines are divided up, let's say three to |
| 13 than it would be if it was a single wiring. But | 13 the data lines and three to the scanning lines. |
| 14 in no case that I've offered here is it a | 14 Q. All right. But you're reading |
| 15 three-layered structure with insulating material | 15 two-layered structure to exclude an insulating |
| 16 in between. I think that's the one thing that's | 16 film, correct? |
| 17 excluded from his description. | 17 A. I'm commenting that Shiba calls it a |
| 18 Q. Where does he exclude th | 18 two-layered structure and it's my opinion that a |
| 19 A. Well, he calls it a two-layered | 19 person of ordinary skill would listen to him and |
| 20 structure. A person of ordinary skill would never | 20 understand it must have two layers and only two |
| 21 look at a conductor and then an insulator and then | 21 layers and thereby, would not have an insulating |
| 22 another conductor and understand that to be a | 22 film in between the two conductive films. |
| 23 two-layered structure. | 23 Q. You would agree at the time that Shiba |
| 24 Q. Doesn't he talk about it being partially | 24 is doing this, it was well-known in the art to |
| 25 connected? | 25 have two wiring layers that were separated by an |


| Page 46 | Page 48 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 insulating film? | 1 parallel lines to it. |
| 2 A. I think it was well-known in the art by | 2 Q . And is this the data line Xi or the |
| 31997 to have a multi-layer wiring that was very | 3 scanning line Yj ? |
| 4 standard. Almost all the patents here talk about | 4 A. What's illustrated in Fig. 6 is that the |
| 5 that. And certainly it's well-known that | 5 metal in 127 was deposited with -- from the data |
| 6 connecting through an opening in an insulator was | 6 line Xj or in that step. That's all he discloses |
| 7 well-known in 1997 to connect two insulators | 7 in any of his figures. |
| 8 But nowhere except in the '204 or '413 | 8 Q. Is the Yj ? |
| 9 patent is the structure of two conductors with an | 9 A. It's the Xj . |
| 10 insulating film in between where their electrical | 10 Q. I'm sorry, it's the Xi. |
| 11 contact is because of -- or through the openings | 11 A. Xi. |
| 12 in that insulating layer is that being used to | 12 Q. That's what confused me. |
| 13 provide some benefit in the -- in the direction of | 13 A. I'm sorry. |
| 14 the wiring. It is in Sukegawa, but that's | 14 Q. So it's the Xi. Okay. And that is the |
| 15 that's just fo | 15 data line layer, correct? |
| 16 Q. Now, you would agree that | 16 A. Xi would be the data line metal, $y$ |
| 17 person of ordinary skill in the art, they bring | 17 the metal that's deposited along with the data |
| 18 the knowledge that they have to these patents, | 18 lines is probably the most precise way to say it. |
| 19 correct? | 19 Q. All right. |
| 20 A. Certainly |  |
| 21 Q. And they're presume | 21 A. The layer below 127 is element 211 and |
| 22 about the one patent, but about the other patents | 22 that is called the g |
| 23 as well in the field, correct? | 23 Q . And is that an insulating lay |
| 24 A. | 24 A. That is an insulating material. |
| 25 Q. So you can't look at the patent just by | 25 Q. Is there any other layer shown below |
|  |  |
| 1 itself. You are, as one of ordinary skill in the | 1211 ? |
| 2 art, permitted to look at the patents together in | 2 A. The next layer that's illustrated is |
| 3 the field? | 3 element 200 which is the substrate. It's called |
| 4 A. Certainly a person of ordinary skill is | 4 the array substrate. |
| 5 permitted to do that. | 5 Q. You wouldn't consider that to be a layer |
| 6 Q. Let's look at Fig. 6 of Shiba | 6 in the sense that we've been using the word |
| 7 A. I've got it. Oh, I notice that the | 7 "layer" to talk about wiring layers and insulating |
| 8 sealant is in direct contact with a transparent | 8 layers? |
| 9 conductive layer in Fig. 6. I couldn't -- I | 9 A. It depends. |
| 10 couldn't find the example yesterday in our | 10 Q. In terms of Shiba, is the substrate |
| 11 discussion, but here it is. | 11 something you would understand to be a layer? |
| 12 Q. I'll move to strike as nonresponsive. I | 12 A. It depends. |
| 13 hadn't asked a question. | 13 Q. Well, in Fig. 6, would you consider the |
| 14 Now, if we look at -- if we look at this | 14 substrate to be a layer? |
| 15 figure, you see wiring 127? | 15 A. I know I'm repeating myself, but it |
| 16 A. I do see wiring 127 on the bottom right | 16 depends. |
| 17 of the figure. | 17 Q. So it might be; it might not? |
| 18 Q. And is it a single layer or a double | 18 A. I can't say either way at the moment. |
| 19 layer in that figure? | 19 It depends on the context. |
| 20 A. In that figure, | 20 Q. Looking at Fig. 6, would you consider |
| 21 cross-section, of course, of something that Shiba | 21 that to be the glass substrate 200? |
| 22 calls a first wiring 127 and it's formed from a | 22 A. Shiba calls it the array substrate and I |
| 23 single layer of deposition and patterning. So I | 23 think it's -- it would be commonly some kind of |
| 24 think it's appropriate to call it a single layer | 24 glass. I'm not sure Shiba requires it to be so. |
| 25 wiring. But let's keep in mind it has multiple | 25 I could study to find out. |


| Page 50 | Page 52 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. That's fine. Would you consider the | 1 A. Element 113 is called the sealing age |
| 2 gate dielectric layer 211 to be a first insulating | 2 Q. And layer 241 runs under element 113? |
| 3 film? | 3 A. Are you asking me if that's the case in |
| 4 A. What do you mean by "first insulating | 4 Fig. 6? |
| 5 film"? | 5 Q. |
| 6 Q. | 6 A. In Fig. 6, it is illustrated that layer |
| 7 on the substrate | 7241 is -- is at least partially below the element |
| 8 A. Well, it is the first layer | 8113 . Of course, element 241 extends far beyond |
| 9 illustrated in Fig. 6 in Shiba, but it is | 9 that. |
| 10 certainly not the first insulating film of the | 10 Q. Now, if the wiring 127 is formed in the |
| 11 claims in the '204 patent, specifically Claim 5 | 11 same step of forming the scan lines Yj , how will |
| 12 It cannot be because it doesn't have a first | 12 they appear in Fig. 6? |
| 13 conductor underneath it | 13 A. I don't think there's one answer to |
| 14 Q. Putting that aside, | 14 that. |
| 15 is there any insulating film that comes before the | 15 Q. Can you give me the different answers to |
| 16 gate dielectric? | 16 that then? |
| 17 A. When you say "before," what do you mean? | 17 A. Well, I guess the most -- because of |
| 18 Q. There's nothing in between 211 and 200, | 18 course Shiba doesn't say, right. Shiba has one |
| 19 right? | 19 sentence that says it can be done and doesn't |
| 20 A. Elements 211 and 200 are illustrat | 20 describe how. So it's not really fully disclosed |
| 21 Fig. 6, as least in the region of 127, as being in | 21 to one of ordinary |
| 22 direct contact, yes. | 22 One example I can think of is |
| 23 Q. What's the layer that's above 127 | 23 would be the same structure, those six lines, at |
| 24 A. There are many layers above 127. The | 24 least in Fig. 6, and those would be -- those would |
| 25 first -- | 25 appear between element 200 and element 211 instead |
| Page 51 | Page 53 |
| 1 Q. I meant the first layer. Let's talk | 1 of being as illustrated being between 211 and 241. |
| 2 about that. | 2 Q. Any other examples that you can think |
| 3 A. Well, for -- of course 127 has six | 3 of? |
| 4 sublines and the -- all of them have element 241 | 4 A. Well, in this cross-section, I mean, of |
| 5 on top of it and then beyond that, it varies. But | 5 course this is not all of the wiring line 127. |
| 6 let me see what 241 is called. | 6 There's also the rest of the display. And so |
| $7 \quad$ Q. And what is 241? | 7 there certainly are many variations on -- on how |
| 8 A. It's the protective overcoat which is | 8 to do that. I can't -- I don't think I could |
| 9 identified as being, in one example, formed a | 9 enumerate all the other possibilities. It's just |
| 10 silicon nitride. So that's an insulating | 10 not -- not clear what else could be done for a |
| 11 material. | 11 person of ordinary skill to implement this idea. |
| 12 Q. So you would agree that 241 is a | 12 MR. GIBSON: If we could marked this as |
| 13 insulating layer? | 131008. |
| 14 A. I can agree that it's an insulating | 14 (Document marked as Exhibit Number 1008 |
| 15 layer, yeah. | 15 for identification.) |
| 16 Q. And it's coming after the gate | 16 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 17 dielectric insulating layer? | 17 Q. And Exhibit 1008 is titled "Late-News |
| 18 A. By "after," do you mean it's been | 18 Paper: Polarization Independent Liquid Crystal |
| 19 deposited in time after it? | 19 Microdisplays" and it lists you among others as |
| 20 Q. Yes. | 20 one of the authors |
| 21 A. I think that's -- that's the disclosure | 21 Do you see that? |
| 22 in Shiba that it would be formed in sequence after | 22 A. Yes, I do |
| 23 the other insulating layer. Of course there would | 23 Q. And I think yesterday when we were |
| 24 be other steps in between. | 24 talking, you mentioned a particular paper and I |
| 25 Q. What is the element 113? | 25 was curious if this is that paper? |


| $\text { Page } 54$ | Page 56 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. We talked about many papers. I agree | 1 crystalline silicon. |
| 2 that I did identify this paper in answer to one of | 2 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 3 your questions. I don't remember specifically | 3 Q. Would you agree that the backplane is |
| 4 which one | 4 not made on a glass substrate? |
| 5 Q. Okay. I think it had to do with work on | 5 A. In this example, it is -- it |
| 6 TFTs. Do you recall th | 6 glass substrate, that's righ |
| 7 A. There was an extensive discussion on | $7 \quad$ Q. And would you agree that the transistors |
| 8 work with TFTs and again, I don't remember the | 8 used in this silicon backplane are conventional |
| 9 specific question for which I identified this, but | 9 single crystal silicon transistors? |
| 10 this is -- this is one of the papers I identified | 10 A. In this example, that's correct. In my |
| 11 yesterday, | 11 other work that's unpublished, that's -- that's |
| 12 | 12 not correct. |
| 13 single paper where you dea | 13 Q. Okay. But I'm talking about this |
| 14 displays and peripheral driving circuits | 14 particular p |
| 15 same substrata | 15 So you would agree that the transistors |
| 16 Do you recall | 16 that are used here are conventional single crystal |
| 17 A. Well, I don't recall exactly what I | 17 silicon transi |
| 18 said. What I do -- what is true is that this is | 18 A. Well, they're |
| 19 the -- maybe the only publication that came | 19 because they have high voltage aspects to them, |
| 20 my work involving TFTs and active | 20 but they are more standard. They're -- they're |
| 21 substrates, but my work, as I said, was largely | 21 not thin film transistor |
| 22 unpublished. This is the only thing that did come | 22 Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the |
| 23 out that I can point to and sha | 23 art characterize a single crystal silico |
| 24 | 24 transis |
| 25 deals with a 256 -by-256 pixel silicon backplane? | 25 A. Not in general. |
| Page 55 | age 57 |
| 1 A. Yes, exactly. This was one of the many | 1 Q. Who fabricated this silicon backplane |
| 2 implementations that we were working o | 2 that's discussed in the article |
| 3 Q. And what is the substrate for the | 3 A. Well, this is -- this particula |
| 4 silicon backplane? | 4 backplane came in a partnership with a third |
| 5 A. What do you mean? What is the material? | 5 company that's identified here. It's Boulder |
| 6 Q. Yes. | 6 Nonlinear Systems and it's a modification of one |
| 7 A. Well, you said it, it's cryst | 7 of their standard silicon backplanes that we used |
| 8 silicon. | 8 and we were able to then publish on. |
| 9 Q. | $9 \quad$ But by no means was this project limited |
| 10 A. There are two substrates | 10 to just this prototype or what we described here. |
| 11 is glass and ITO. The lower one is predominantly | 11 We had others where we -- we designed and at least |
| 12 silicon but, of course, it has other layers | 12 initially fabricated our own on -- on silicon |
| 13 aluminum and other wirings and various dopings to | 13 well as other TFTs that were on glass to form the |
| 14 achieve the transistors that are in the | 14 active matrix |
| 15 microdisplay | 15 Q. Now, did -- but this particular -- you |
| 16 Q. Would | 16 know, and I'll move to strike the last part as |
| 17 silicon wafer? | 17 nonresponsive. |
| 18 A. Well, it's a piece that came from | 18 The particular part here on -- dealing |
| 19 silicon wafer, | 19 with what's in this particular article, I think |
| 20 Q. Does the silicon backplane use a singl | 20 you agree that this was made and provided by |
| 21 crystal silicon wafer? | 21 Boulder Nonlinear Systems? |
| 22 MR. SCHLITTER: Objectio | 22 A. It was a modification of one of their |
| 23 THE WITNESS: I think I'm not sure what | 23 standard products for our project and then so we |
| 24 you mean by a "silicon" -- I'm sorry, what you | 24 modified it along with them. It's -- it's not a |
| 25 mean by a "single crystal silicon wafer"? It is | 25 standard thing from them. |


| $58$ | 60 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. Do you know if Boulder Nonlinear Systems | 1 in your company to fabricate TFT-based backplanes? |
| 2 provides commercial products such as reflective | 2 A. No, our company is in many way |
| 3 liquid crystal spacial light modulat | 3 virtual company. We don't have independent office |
| 4 A. That is one kind of prod | 4 or lab space in the company. We have multiple |
| 5 they provide and those can be used in various | 5 employees, but we leverage the university and all |
| 6 applications, including near-to-eye displays. | 6 its resources for the physical aspects of our |
| 7 Q. I think you mentioned that you, in som | 7 research and product development that we do here |
| 8 of your recent projects, were looking at galliu | 8 domestically and then we produce commercial |
| 9 nitride TFTs? | 9 products with our Japanese manufacturing partner. |
| 10 A. Yes. | 10 Q. And who is that? |
| 11 Q. Are those really for LEDs as oppo | 11 A. I'm not sure I can say. I'm sorry. |
| 12 TFTs? | 12 Yeah, I mean, I'd like to tell you, but I'm just |
| 13 A. No, they're not. | 13 not sure if it's public information. |
| 14 Q. Is gallium nitride a material that's | 14 Q. I understand. |
| 15 used for fabricating LEDs? | 15 All right. Why don't we take a break? |
| 16 A. Yes, it's a -- it's a very common and | 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. |
| 17 very important one for green and blue. | 17 This is the end of Media Unit Number 1. The time |
| 18 Q. I think there's some acknowledgments and | 18 is $11: 10$. |
| 19 there's a reference in your article to anothe | 19 (Short recess.) |
| 20 company called Goldeneye, Inc.? | 20 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back ou record. |
| 21 A. In addition to the reference to Boulde | 21 This is the beginning of Media Unit Number 2 in |
| 22 Nonlinear Systems, there's an additional company | 22 the deposition of Dr. Michael Escuti. The time is |
| 23 Goldeneye, Incorporated, yes. | 23 11:26. Please continue |
| 24 Q. And what were the contributions of that | 24 BYMR. GIBSON: |
| 25 company? | 25 Q. All right. If you could look at page 52 |
| 59 |  |
| 1 A. Well, you can see in the Fig. 4 right | 1 of your decla |
| 2 above the acknowledgments that the LEDs, the light | 2 A. I've g |
| 3 source for this projector, came -- or at least | 3 Q. And these are the two figures we |
| 4 part of it came from the company Goldeneye. They | 4 discussed some yesterday. |
| 5 had a -- at the time -- this is not recent, right. | 5 What was your purpose of putting this |
| 6 This is 2007, 2008. They had a technology th | 6 into the declaration in the ' 204 matter? |
| 7 would produce light with LEDs and then collimate | 7 A. Well, the paragraphs explaining |
| 8 it in an advantageous way and we were taking | 8 certainly contain my purpose. I can certainly |
| 9 advantage of that. | 9 summarize that if you'd like. |
| 10 Q. And how were you taking advantag | 10 Q. So you're saying paragraph 107 sets |
| 11 that? | 11 forth your purpose? |
| 12 A. | 12 A. It's certainly not limited to 107. It's |
| 13 needs light -- in this case, in this proje | 13 this -- I think there are -- there are subsequent |
| 14 needed light that would be produced by LEDs but | 14 paragraphs that have to go carefully to notice |
| 15 also fairly well collimated efficiently. That was | 15 which paragraphs it ends on, but it certainly |
| 16 important to us. So their technique would provide | 16 includes $108,109,110$ and 111. It's the whole |
| 17 that collimation for us, right. It's the light | 17 section discussing those figures. |
| 18 source for our projector. | 18 Q. Okay. When you look at these two |
| 19 Q. And do you know if Goldeneye is involved | 19 figures, would you agree that there's a protective |
| 20 in making gallium nitride LEDs? | 20 overcoat and then an opening formed over it, or |
| 21 A. I don't recall to what extent they' | 21 formed into it might be a better way to say that? |
| 22 actual fabricating their own LEDs or to wh | 22 A. That would be a better way to say it. |
| 23 extent they're getting the dye from those that | 23 Both figures have a protective overcoat 241 and |
| 24 fabricate it. | 24 there is an opening that is illustrated as being |
| 25 Q. And do you have processing capabilities | 25 somehow created within that overcoat. |


|  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. Now, can a person of ordinary skill in | 1 actually opening up the insul |
| 2 the art form the opening in the overcoat befor | 2 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form |
| 3 forming the overcoat itself? | NESS: In that example, and to be |
| 4 A. Using standard semiconductor processing, | 4 more specific applied here, if the mask was |
| 5 no, but there are exotic ways to do such a thing. | 5 literally some -- some kind of metal perhaps or |
| 6 Q. Okay. But they would not be standard? | 6 other substrate that's placed on the edge of a |
| 7 A. In my experience, they would not b | 7 display, it's another object that's being brought |
| 8 standard | 8 down to the glass substrate during the deposition |
| 9 Q. Okay. So if we c | 9 process, then it would cover up that region during |
| 10 A. Well, let me make one more comm | 10 that process. |
| 11 There is -- I'm sorry. Can I clarify my answer? | 11 And then when the deposition |
| 12 Q. You weren't finished, so go ahead. | 12 can be, of course, removed and there would be an |
| 13 A. Okay. In the sense that it is | 13 opening in that insulating layer that would appear |
| 14 most common to create openings in an insulat | 14 because the material was never deposited there to |
| 15 layer in the way that we've been talking about, | 15 begin with |
| 16 that first the layer is deposited and then it's | 16 BY MR. GIBSO |
| 17 etched away -- some opening is etched away | 17 Q. Okay |
| 18 there's also a common technique which I don't | 18 deposited an insulation layer, no mask and then |
| 19 think is uncommon and this involves using a | 19 you've created openings. |
| 20 to cover up a portion of the substrate that you're | 20 A. I can assume that, |
| 21 depositing | 21 Q. And let's call that formation of the |
| 22 And in | 22 protective overcoat as step |
| 23 course, |  |
| 24 depositio | 24 Q. And then forming the opening in the |
| 25 another part is not and so that's another way | 25 protective overcoat is step B. |
|  |  |
| 1 create openings in an insulating la | 1 A. Okay |
| 2 Q. All right. When you're looking at the | 2 Q. And when I'm talking about forming the |
| 3 '204 patent as a person of ordinary skill in the | 3 opening, I'm talking about all the steps necessary |
| 4 art, would you understand that the insulating | 4 to accomplish that as step B. |
| 5 layer is being deposited and then it's being | 5 Are you with me? |
| 6 etched to create the openings? | 6 A. I think so. |
| 7 A. I'm -- I'm not sure that the '204 | $7 \quad$ Q. What is the sequence of those two steps? |
| 8 requires that. It's certainly a preferred | 8 A. It sounds to me that it's in your |
| 9 example, but I don't recall if the '204 pate | 9 assumption, right. You said first let's assume |
| 10 requires that. | 10 that layer A is deposited wholly on the substrate |
| 11 Q. Okay. | 11 without any kind of mask, whether it's an external |
| 12 you understand that's certainly one way it would | 12 mask or resist that's down there. And then after |
| 13 be done, correct? | 13 that, the next step is to form the opening by, for |
| 14 A. Yes. | 14 example, etching. |
| 15 Q. And you would consider for an insulating | 15 Q. So it's step A, then step B ? |
| 16 layer, that to be the more standard way it would | 16 A. In your assumption, it is |
| 17 be done rather than using a mask? | 17 Q. Now, looking at the two figures you have |
| 18 A. I consider both of those techniq | 18 in your -- in your declaration, do you recognize |
| 19 standard. Which one is used depends on whe | 19 that there's another layer, the ITO layer? |
| 20 opening is being made and how big the opening is. | 20 A. There's several other layers. We talke |
| 21 So I'm not sure I can point to one or the other as | 21 about them all yesterday, but both figures have an |
| 22 being more standard. | 22 ITO layer that's illustrated. |
| 23 Q. When you're | 23 Q. Okay. So in my example, let's now call |
| 24 you're not forming an opening at that point, | 24 the -- forming the ITO layer as step |
| 25 are depositing around a mask and then you're not | 25 A. If you'd like to assume that, that's |


|  | Page |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 fine. | 1 that A is a layer that's explicitly put down |
| 2 Q. And can you list the different ways a | 2 everywhere, right, not masked, there's no lift off |
| 3 person of ordinary skill in the art would | 3 resist. And step B is the -- whatever process is |
| 4 recognize that exists for performing these three | 4 used to create an opening in the layer that's put |
| 5 steps -- | 5 down in step A. So those two have to go in that |
| 6 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, foundation. | 6 sequence, $A$ and then $B$ in processing by your |
| 7 BY MR. GIBSO | 7 assumptions. And so then the only two possible |
| 8 Q. -- in sequence? | 8 variations would be for C to either be before A |
| 9 A. I'm not sure I understand your quest | 9 and B or after |
| 10 Fig . C shows the example of first ITO A is | 10 Q. Do you still have Shiba around? |
| 11 deposited and patterned on whatever is below | 11 A. Yes, I have Shiba. |
| 12 Then the protective overcoat 241 is applied and | 12 Q. If you look at Fig. 4? |
| 13 then lastly, the opening is created and that's | 13 A. I see it. |
| 14 consistent with what's in Sukegawa. | 14 Q. Do you see the ITO layer? |
| 15 Alternatively is what's in D, where | 15 A. I see two ITO laye |
| 16 insulating film is applied first, then the openin | 16 Q. And what numbers are those? |
| 17 and then the ITO's deposited and patterned. | 17 A. Let me make sure. Layer 251 and 741 |
| 18 Q. Okay. But if we have these as three | 18 Q. And where are you getting 741 from, what |
| 19 steps, an $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{a}$ and a C , one obvious sequence | 19 part of the specification? |
| 20 could do is A and then B and then $C$. | 20 A. I'm not very good at word searching. |
| 21 Are there any other ways to reorder | 21 Electronically it's much easie |
| 22 those three steps? | 22 Q. I mean, there may be other references, |
| 23 A. Well, the A, B, C order in yo | 23 but I was looking at Column 5, line -- it's |
| 24 assumptions -- or assuming the way you've de | 24 probably around line 38 . I think it refers to -- |
| 25 those steps would correspond to Fig. D at least | 25 Shiba refers to 741 as a connecting projection? |
| ge 67 | Page 69 |
| 1 partially. And Fig. C, however, would be | 1 A. Yes, yes, exactly. So these are -- |
| 2 different. It would be C, A, B, consistent with | 2 thank you for finding it. This is the contact on |
| 3 Sukegawa, not just my Fig. C. | 3 the counter substrate which extends out. It's the |
| 4 Q. Right. So Fig. C, the steps would be | 4 portion of the ITO layer 7 -- I'm sorry -- the |
| 5 step C, then step A, then step B? | 5 counter substrate electrode which is explicitly |
| 6 A. Yes. | 6 ITO, counter electrode 541, and the projection to |
| 7 Q. And then Fig. D, the steps would be A, | 7 the left side is the portion -- I understand that |
| $8 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$ ? | 8 to be the portion of the electrode on the other |
| 9 A. Yes, but let's also keep in mind that | 9 substrate that gets the contact from those pads |
| 10 there could be other steps in between and | 10 that are all around Shiba's display. |
| 11 sometimes there has to be. | 11 Q. Where does it call 741 a transparent |
| 12 Q. I'm just talking about what we see in | 12 conductive layer or an ITO? |
| 13 these two figures. | 13 A. I don't think it's -- it's text -- that |
| 14 It would be A, B, C for Fig. D? | 14 text is in the specification, but that's what |
| 15 A. Sure. I'll say it again. Fig. D would | 15 Fig. 4 shows. The layer 741 goes from the left |
| 16 correspond to $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$. | 16 side and all the way to the right. And on the |
| 17 Q. Are there any other ways to -- in terms | 17 right side, I see 541, which is the pixel |
| 18 of the sequence that we've been discussing, the | 18 electrode and pixel electrode 541 appears both in |
| 19 steps A, B and C, are there any other ways to do | 19 Fig. 4, Fig. 6 and 541 is --I think it's clear |
| 20 it or are these the only two? | 20 from the specification that that is ITO. |
| 21 A. You're asking me is there any other | 21 Q. Well, and why would the patent call 741 |
| 22 ordering of three things? | 22 something different than 541 if it was the same |
| 23 Q. No, not any three things, these | 23 thing? |
| 24 particular three things. | 24 A. That's a good question. If you look |
| 25 A. Well, in your assumptions you've said | 25 Fig. 3, there's a single conductive layer that has |


| Page 70 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 many of its reg | 1 A. Well, it's not my belief. It's what the |
| 2 numbers. For example, the wiring 127, the | 2 disclosure in Shiba shows in Fig. 1. So 741 is |
| 3125,731 , the line 121, the terminal 751 , th | 3 part of the layer 541 and there are many other pad |
| 4 all formed from the same deposition step and | 4 protrusions. I mean, this is how the counter |
| 5 these element numbers correspond to differen | 5 electrode gets its potential, by those eight |
| 6 pieces of it. So it's very consistent with Shib | 6 conne |
| 7 to do th | be |
| $8$ | 8 an ITO layer for there to be a connection, right? |
| 9 actual different -- diffe | 9 A. It doesn't, you're correct, but that's |
| 10 Fig. 3, righ | 10 what's show |
| 11 A. Well, yes, but 741 is a differ | 11 Q. As I said, we disagree about that, but |
| 12 structure. We can see it in Fig. 1. So Fig. | 12 I'm just trying to get to what you're basing your |
| 13 has 741 on the bottom left. Of course Fig. 3 | 13 view on that the sealant is connecting to an ITO |
| 14 the expanded view of the box labeled A in Fig. | 14 layer and that's based on the connection -- at the |
| 15 and we see 741 identified there and we can s | 15 top of Fig. 4, there's -- there's some touching of |
| 16 that there's a dashed line that runs all around | 16 sealant and |
| 17 the display. | 17 A. |
| 18 counter electro | 18 counter substrate touching |
| 19 And then shoot | 19 Q. Wh |
| 20 there are eight lit | 20 A. In Fig. 4, it shows -- it shows what |
| 21 dashed line, that same regi | 21 might be a combination. It depends on where you |
| 22 labeled 741 and other numb | 22 define what the boundary between 741 and 541 is. |
| 23 Q. Those are conn | 23 So 541 is the counter electrode |
| 24 connec | 24 through most of the display, it's off to the right |
| 25 A. They | 25 side of Fig. 4. And then 741 appears to be this |
|  |  |
| 1 they are -- from Fig. 1 it's clear | his protrusion which is in direct contact with |
| 2 the same conducting layer. And while I don't | 2 the glass substrate 501. |
| 3 think Shiba requires that it be formed of ITO, | 3 Q. And are you -- is it your view that |
| 4 certainly is disclosed as such. | 4 sealant is contacting the ITO layer in Fig. 4? |
| 5 Q. Well, there's nothing th | 5 Let me -- is it your view that sealant is |
| 6 an ITO layer, correct? | 6 contacting 741? |
| 7 A. The specification does not | 7 A. It appears that 741 is connected to both |
| 8 it is disclosed in Fig. 4 and Fig. | 8 element 115, which is the conductive resin or |
| $9 \quad \mathrm{Q}$. Well, we may just have to disagree about | 9 the -- I think it's called the transfer material |
| 10 that. But the sealant -- I take it, | 10 made of a conducting resin, as well as a small |
| 11 reference earlier today that the | 11 part of the sealant 113 there |
| 12 the ITO was based on 741 being an ITO? | 12 Q. All right. Then Fig. 6, at the bottom |
| 13 A. It's not. Fig. 6 also shows 541, the | 13 of Fig. 6, you would agree that there's no contact |
| 14 ITO counter electrode, as in con | 14 between the sealant and the ITO layer? |
| 15 counter electrode substrate with the sealant 113 | 15 A. In Fig. 6, I agree that the bottom part |
| 16 Q. Okay. So let's start with Fig. 4 then | 16 of the sealant does not contact ITO. |
| 17 we'll move to Fig. 6. | 17 Q. And at the top, where do you think |
| 18 So Fig. 4, at the | 18 there's a touching between the sealant and 541? |
| 19 you would agree that the sealant is not in contact | 19 A. Element 541 is extending from the left |
| 20 with the ITO, correct? | 20 side of the figure of Fig. 6 to most of the way |
| 21 A. On the bottom substrate, I do agree with | 21 across toward the right side, and it is in direct |
|  | 22 contact with the majority of the sealant that's |
| 23 Q. And on the upper substrate, becau | 23 illustrated in Fig. 6 |
| 24 believe 741 is an ITO layer, you would say there's | $24 \quad 541$ is called the |
| 25 some contact with the sealant? | 25 Column 5 has some language about that counter |


| Page 74 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 electrode around lines 24 through 28 or so. | 1 substrate and the sealant that contacts it. It |
| 2 says, "In this case, since the counter electro | 2 mentions that there's a counter substrate facin |
| 3 541" is -- I'm sorry -- "counter electro | 3 the substrate and, of course, the sealant has |
| 4 made of ITO, has a relatively high resistance" and | 4 connect to th |
| 5 then it go | 5 But I don't see an explicit electrode |
| 6 Q. And then what is 58 | 6 mentioned in Claim 54 for that counter elec |
| 7 | 7 but certain |
| 8 descri | 8 Q. But it's not someth |
| 9 immediately adjacent to the liquid | 9 |
| 10 then that corresponds to the orient | 10 A. It is implicit to Claim 54. If you |
| 11 do suspect somewhere in Shiba he does disclos | 11 didn't have it, you would -- most LCD modes would |
| 12 what that's called, but I'm not finding it | 12 not function; not all, b |
| 13 Q. Would that be an insulating layer? | 13 Q. So would you say that the claims are |
| 14 A. It is typically formed of a polymer that | 14 limited to that requirement that you're finding |
| 15 would be insulating, an insulating material. It's | 15 implicit? |
| 16 not typically a conductor. | 16 A. Maybe I'm being clumsy with the terms. |
| 17 Q. And 581, does that also extend from the | 17 It's -- it's not explicit in the claim and as |
| 18 left to the right in Fig. 6? | 18 such, the claim applies to both of those |
| 19 A. It extends partially, right. You can | 19 circumstances. |
| 20 see that it only stays within the liquid crystal | 20 Q. Is the -- you would agree that Claim 54 |
| 21 portion and ends immediately before the seala | 21 is not directed to the type of structure we see in |
| 22 and there's a good reason for that's because th | 22 Fig. 6 with respect where we have element 54 |
| 23 very thin polymer film is even worse to adhere | 23 connecting with the -- we have element 541 along |
| 24 than ITO. | 24 the top of the substrate |
| 25 Q. Now, you would agree with me that the | 25 MR. SCHLITTER: Object to form. |
| Page 75 | Page 77 |
| 1 '204 patent is not directed to the fabrication | ITNESS: Do you mean Fig. 6 of |
| 2 area that you're talking about where 541 extend | 2 Shiba? |
| 3 into the sealant area? | 3 BY MR. GIBSON |
| 4 A. I don't think I can a | 4 Q. Yes. |
| 5 second part of your characterization. Fig. | 5 A. Fig. 6 in Shiba is not a cross-section |
| 6 shows that element 541 goes all around the display | 6 of the terminal portion, but it's clear from |
| 7 and has -- it's roughly a rectangle with these | 7 Fig. 1 that element 541 extends all around the |
| 8 eight protrusions. And some of those protrusions | 8 display. It necessarily has to and it certainly |
| 9 are in the terminal region, but the two sides of | 9 extends over the terminal portions. So if we took |
| 10 element 541 do correspond to the termina | 10 a cross-section there, that's a different matter. |
| 11 portions. And while Fig. 1 shows the top-down | 11 Q. No, I'm not talking about that. I'm |
| 12 view all around, Fig. 6 is not corresponding to a | 12 talking about Fig. 6. |
| 13 terminal portion. But that's just Fig. 6. | 13 And because it's not part of the |
| 14 Q. You would agree that Fig. 6 does not | 14 terminal portion, you would agree that Claim 54 is |
| 15 correspond to a terminal portion? | 15 not directed to the way 541 is being used in |
| 16 A. Yes, I do agree with that. That would | 16 Fig. 6? |
| 17 be represented in Fig. 4, but also in other | 17 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form |
| 18 regions of Fig. 1. | 18 THE WITNESS: Fig. 6 in Shiba is not a |
| 19 Q. And we're looking at -- you still have | 19 cross-section of the terminal portion, I agree to |
| 20 the '204 patent so we can look at Claim 54. | 20 that. But Fig. 1 makes it clear that the element |
| 21 A. I've got | 21541 extends into the terminal portions in Fig. 1. |
| 22 Q. In Shiba, the 541 element that you've | 22 And one example of that is Fig. 4, which does have |
| 23 just described, is that -- does that correspond to | 23 the terminal portions and which does have the |
| 24 anything in Claim 54? | 24 sealant in contact with ITO element 541. |
| 25 A. Claim 54 applies to the active matrix | $25$ |


| Page 78 | Page 80 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 BYMR. GIBSON | 1 the connecting protrusions -- I'm sorry |
| 2 Q. Well, it's -- you call it 741. Now | 2 connecting projections, 741 to 748. |
| 3 you -- Fig. 4 shows 741, not 541, correct? | 3 Q. What's the -- let me just see if I ca |
| 4 A. That's not correct. Fig. 4 shows 741 on | 4 show you. It may be harder to describe. |
| 5 the left side. It's the flat part that's in | 5 What is this part that's coming after |
| 6 direct contact with the upper substrate. | 6 the sealant |
| 7 element 541 is labeled on the right | 7 A. That's the rest of the laye |
| 8 similarly extends across the substrate right abov | 8 Q. Of 5 |
| 9 the orientation layer, just like it is in Fig. 6. | 9 A. If I understand what you're referring |
| 10 Q. Where does 541 begin and $740-$ or I | 10 to, 541 corresponds to a layer that begins from |
| 11 sorry. | 11 the left side and goes all the way to the portion |
| 12 Where does 541 end and 741 begin? | 12 of it that I've marked. |
| 13 A. I don't think that's the correct | 13 Q. Right. And but you're also saying that |
| 14 characterization. I think 541 is talked about a | 14 what you put in red is part of 541 , correct? |
| 15 being the counter electrode and it includes the | 15 A. Yes. |
| 16 entire region that's in the dashed line of Fig. 1. | 16 Q. All right. And what comes right after |
| 17 And those protrusions that Shiba identifies as | 17 what you've marked in red when you're going to the |
| 18 741, 745 -- he's got eight numberings for those -- | 18 left? |
| 19 are a subset of the element 541 | 19 A. That's also 541 |
| 20 Q. In Fig. 6, can you just mar | 20 Q. All right. That was my question. |
| 21 Shiba where you believe there's contact betw | 21 A. Yes. |
| 22541 and the sealant? | 22 Q. How far does that extend? |
| 23 A. On my copy here? | 23 A. Do you want me to draw it or to fill it |
| 24 Q. Yes, please | 24 in maybe with blue? |
| 25 A. Anyone have a blue pen? | 25 Q. With blue, that would be great. |
| Page 79 | Page 81 |
| 1 MR. MANZO: What color do you want? | 1 A. (Indicating.) |
| 2 MR. GIBSON: Red is fine. It may stand | 2 So I've marked in blue the portion of |
| 3 out more. | 3541 that is directly over the liquid crystal |
| 4 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure it's possible | 4 region. |
| 5 for me to simply identify the interface of | 5 Q. And then along the top of the substrate |
| 6 contact, but I can identify where in 541 that th | 6541 extends and then terminates in 521? |
| 7 sealant 113 does contact it, which is what I've | 7 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 8 tried to draw. | 8 THE WITNESS: No, it doesn't at all. It |
| 9 BY MR. GIBSON: | 9 has no relationship to -- well, it does not end |
| 10 Q. What do you mean by "interface"? | 10 with 521, which is a completely different element. |
| 11 A. Well, the interface is a line that on | 11 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 12 that printout is a black line. That's the surface | 12 Q. Okay. Where does it end at the top of |
| 13 of contact. I can't simply draw a red line | 13 the substrate or does it just continue on? |
| 14 through that, you wouldn't see it. So I'm | 14 A. It continues off to the left across the |
| 15 highlighting the portion of the layer 541 that | 15 display. Of course what's illustrated here is |
| 16 is -- you know, is the layer above which that | 16 just one pixel, or one TFT more specifically, and |
| 17 contact occurs. | 17 there should be more if it's a display. |
| 18 Q. And does 541 -- you've got a lower part | 18 Q. And then I may have asked you this |
| 19 of 541 . Well, let me just hand it back to you. | 19 already, but would you agree in Fig. 4 at the |
| 20 Does 541 end on the left part where it's | 20 bottom of the sealant, there's not -- there's no |
| 21 touching the sealant? | 21 contact with an ITO layer? |
| 22 A. The material that corresponds to 541 | 22 A. On the bottom substrate of Fig. 4, there |
| 23 ends about more than halfway through the sealant | 23 is not contact of the sealant with an ITO layer. |
| 24 in Fig. 6. In Fig. 4, it's different. It does | 24 Q. Now, this Fig. 4, this is the one that |
| 25 extend out and protrude and form what Shiba calls | 25 you modified for your declaration? |


| Page 82 | Page 84 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. Yes. | 1 correct? |
| 2 MR. GIBSON: I'm going to mark this as | 2 A. Yes, we did. |
| 3 an exhibit in this matter so we have it. Let's | 3 Q. And yesterday you explained that this |
| 4 mark these two as the next in order | 4 modification would have two capacitors. |
| 5 (Documents marked as Deposition | 5 Do you remember that? |
| 6 Exhibit Nos. 1009 and 1010 for | 6 A. At least two capacitors, but yes, |
| 7 identification.) | 7 there's two capacitors -- two capacitive |
| 8 BY MR. GIBSON: | 8 structures that are shown. |
| 9 Q. And those are 1009 and 1010. And 1009 | 9 Q. And you said they'd be in series even |
| 10 should be the drawing that you made on page 49 | 10 though the pixel electrode is electrically |
| 11 from your declaration. | 11 connected to the source electrode? |
| 12 Do you have that in front of you? | 12 A. In a very small portion of the source |
| 13 A. That's correct. It seems to be a | 13 electrode, the pixel electrode is being |
| 14 magnification of that. | 14 illustrated as being connected. |
| 15 Q. And as you stated yesterday, this is -- | 15 Q. And that makes you say that they'd be |
| 16 what's in your declaration here, this is your | 16 connected -- the capacitors would be connected in |
| 17 attempt to draw out in color what is Fig. 4 in | 17 series? |
| 18 Shiba? | 18 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 19 A. I'm not sure I'd characterize it that | 19 THE WITNESS: I'm observing that the |
| 20 way. It is -- I'm trying to represent what is | 20 structure you're showing me is a sequence of three |
| 21 explicitly in Fig. 4 of Shiba and I've left out | 21 conductors with insulating material in between |
| 22 quite a lot of things and, you know, I'm using it | 22 those three, and I'm observing that that is -- one |
| 23 as a way to discuss the possibility of the ITO | 23 way to describe that is two capacitors in series. |
| 24 layer being applied differently than what's | 24 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 25 disclosed in Shiba. | 25 Q. And the -- is there any reason that the |
| Page 83 | Page 85 |
| 1 Q. All right. And then Exhibit $110-$ or | 1 contact portion between the pixel electrode and |
| 21010 , excuse me, is your modified version of | 2 the source electrode could not be made bigger? |
| 3 Shiba? | 3 Could one of ordinary skill in the art do that? |
| 4 A. It's my modification of Shiba's | 4 A. It depends. I didn't create this |
| 5 disclosure according to Dr. Hatalis' hypothesis, | 5 figure. |
| 6 or at least one variation of his hypothesis. | 6 Q. Well, if you just etched out more of the |
| 7 Q. As you understood his hypothesis? | 7 protective overcoat on the left, you could create |
| 8 A. Yes. | 8 a bigger connection between the blue and the |
| 9 Q. And you understood that from his | 9 black? |
| 10 deposition testimony? | 10 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 11 A. At least. | 11 THE WITNESS: Well, I suppose you could |
| 12 Q. And it may have also been from the | 12 do a lot of variations on -- on this figure, on |
| 13 declaration that he submitted in this matter? | 13 this hypothesis. Now, whether or not they would |
| 14 A. Yes, that's correct. For example, he -- | 14 be either obvious or successful is not clear to |
| 15 I think he explicitly asserts that it would be | 15 me . |
| 16 obvious to reorganize the layers of fabrication | 16 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 17 in Shiba and I'm considering how that could | 17 Q. If -- in this kind of a structure, do |
| 18 possibly be. | 18 you have to have the pixel electrode on top of the |
| 19 MR. GIBSON: Then if we could mark this | 19 storage electrode? |
| 20 as 1012-1011. | 20 MR. GIBSON: Objection, form. |
| 21 (Document marked as Exhibit Number 1011 | 21 THE WITNESS: I don't understand this |
| 22 for identification.) | 22 structure at all beyond what you're showing me. |
| 23 BYMR. GIBSON: | 23 It's not my hypothesis. So what I see is a |
| 24 Q. And Exhibit 1011 is another modification | 24 cross-section of a structure and I'm commenting on |
| 25 of Shiba and we discussed this yesterday as well, | 25 that. I can't -- I don't think I can speculate |


| Page 86 | Page 88 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 beyond that. | 1 ordinary skill in the art removed that additional |
| 2 BYMR. GIBSON: | 2 protective overcoat, would that eliminate the |
| 3 Q. Okay. So you can't tell me one way or | 3 issue you raise with two capacitor |
| 4 the other? | 4 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 5 A. I can't tell you one way or the other. | 5 THE WITNESS: It's not clear to me what |
| 6 Q. And what's -- in your mind, what's | 6 you mean. Could you mark this up to represent |
| 7 causing the two capacitors is that -- well, strike | 7 what you mean? Because it does sound like an |
| 8 that. | 8 additional hypothesis. It's not what's shown, |
| 9 Would you agree that one of ordinary | 9 right. |
| 10 skill in the art would know how to etch the | 10 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 11 protective overcoat so that it could be removed so | 11 Q. It's probably easier to mark it on this. |
| 12 that it was no longer above the capacitor line Cj ? | 12 So where I've marked in blue would be -- the |
| 13 A. I do agree that one of ordinary skill | 13 protective overcoat would be etched out there. |
| 14 knows how to -- would know how to etch openings | 14 A. I see it. |
| 15 into insulating films; for example, protective | 15 Q. Would that then eliminate the two |
| 16 overcoat 241 . That would be possible, sure. | 16 capacitors issue that you raised yesterday? |
| 17 Q. So maybe I can just mark for you on | 17 A. In this additional hypothesis, I think |
| 18 this. I've put a blue marking on the protective | 18 it may. It depends on probably the other |
| 19 overcoat to indicate if you etched to the right of | 19 dimension as well. |
| 20 that blue marking, so you removed the protective | 20 Q. What other dimension? |
| 21 overcoat all the way from that blue marking to | 21 A. This is two of the dimensions, right. |
| 22 the -- to the blue, you would then have a -- you | 22 This is a three-dimensional structure, so the |
| 23 would no longer have that protective overcoat. | 23 third dimension is into the page. |
| 24 Someone of ordinary skill in the art would know | 24 Q. Okay. Do you know what the frame rate |
| 25 how to etch that of | 25 frequency of displays was back in 1997? |
| Page 87 | Page 89 |
| 1 A. It's a long question. Can you rephrase | 1 A. I have a rough understanding, but I |
| 2 it? | 2 don't think I have a precise number for it. |
| 3 Q. Yeah, it wasn't -- it wasn't as clear as | 3 Q. What's your rough understanding? |
| 4 I could make it. | 4 A. That the -- there are many different |
| 5 So what I'm trying to find out is one of | 5 rates and clock signals on a display. So are you |
| 6 ordinary skill in the art could etch the | 6 referring to the update rate on the screen itself |
| 7 protective overcoat off from where I made that | 7 at the level of the TFTs? |
| 8 blue mark all the way over to where you see the | 8 Q. Yeah, the frame rate frequency. |
| 9 blue that's contacting with the black? | 9 A. I don't think there's one answer to that |
| 10 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. | 10 even in 1997. I think it's typically in the range |
| 11 THE WITNESS: A person of ordinary skill | 11 of 80 to 100 hertz. |
| 12 would know how to do that. As I've said, they | 12 Q. And do you know what was a typical |
| 13 would know how to create openings in insulating | 13 display format in 1997? |
| 14 films. I've never seen that structure, so I don't | 14 A. There were many display formats that |
| 15 think any of these hypotheses are obvious to a | 15 were typical. There's no one answer to what is a |
| 16 person of ordinary skill. | 16 standard format. |
| 17 BY MR. GIBSON: | 17 Q. What were some of the standard or |
| 18 Q. Okay. But if you removed that extra | 18 typical formats? |
| 19 protective overcoat, as one of ordinary skill in | 19 MR. SCHLITTER: Object to scope. That's |
| 20 the art would at least know how to do that, would | 20 beyond his declaration. |
| 21 that eliminate the two capacitors? | 21 THE WITNESS: Shall I answer the |
| 22. A. So you're now suggesting an additional | 22 question? |
| 23 hypothesis? | 23 MR. SCHLITTER: I'm not instructing you |
| 24 Q. I'm suggesting a further removal of the | 24 not to answer. |
| 25 protective overcoat and asking you if one of | 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, can you just |


| Page 90 | ge 92 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 clarify for me what you mean by "format"? | 1 A. Are you asking specifically if the word |
| 2 BY MR. GIBSON: | 2 "redundancy" is in here? |
| 3 Q. Something like VGA, would you consider | 3 Q. Or -- or words that would convey that |
| 4 that to be a display format back in 1997? | 4 concept. |
| 5 A. That resolution and video standard was | 5 A. Okay. I'll take a minute and look. |
| 6 certainly well-known by that point. Whether or | 6 Okay. I think I've reminded myself enough. I |
| 7 not it's a display format, it depends on the | 7 apologize for the delay. |
| 8 context, but yes, VGA, WVGA, there's SVGA. By | 8 Certainly the reduced electrical |
| 9 that point there were many. | 9 resistance is identified in multiple places, but |
| 10 Q. Any others that come to mind? | 10 the -- there is redundancy that's mentioned, but |
| 11 A. I don't think I could list with | 11 that's indeed mentioned with respect to the |
| 12 confidence which ones were before 1997 and which | 12 peripheral driving circuits in, I think, Fig. 1. |
| 13 were after. So none others come to mind with any | 13 So I'm recognizing that it's true about |
| 14 surety. | 14 his structure, that it would be -- it would have |
| 15 Q. And do you know how -- a display, how | 15 redundancy, in addition to simply reducing the |
| 16 many lines and how many columns it had in 1997? | 16 resistance. |
| 17 A. Likewise there's no one answer to that. | 17 Q. That's not something that's identified |
| 18 Q. Can you give me some examples? | 18 in the text that you were able to find as being an |
| 19 A. I think an example would be displays | 19 improvement over the prior art? |
| 20 with, for example, 600-by- 400 lines. As best I | 20 A. The specification does not explicitly |
| 21 remember, that would be a fairly high resolution | 21 talk about that, but I'm recognizing that the |
| 22 or medium resolution display. | 22 specification does disclose it in its figures. I |
| 23 Q. Any others? | 23 mean, it's related to the fact that there's two |
| 24 A. Yeah, there are many other | 24 wires instead of one going at least partially in |
| 25 Q. Can you just list any that from 1997 | 25 the same direction. |
| Page 91 | Page 93 |
| 1 that come to mind? | 1 Q. Okay. Did you consider that to be an |
| 2 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form, | 2 improvement over the prior art? |
| 3 foundation. | 3 A. I do. |
| 4 THE WITNESS: I'm going to have a hard | 4 Q. Okay. Do you understand that Shiba |
| 5 time being able to identify -- | 5 specifically disclosed redundancy? |
| 6 MR. SCHLITTER: Scope. | 6 A. I'd have to see in Shiba where you're |
| 7 THE WITNESS: -- those things with -- | 7 referring to. I know wiring 127 has multiple |
| 8 with any certainty, mainly because I'm not sure | 8 lines and that would also be redundant, six lines |
| 9 when they appeared and began to be used. | 9 next to each other. But are you referring to |
| 10 BY MR. GIBSON: | 10 something else? |
| 11 Q. If you look at again back at the '204 | 11 Q. No, that's -- that's in the disclosure |
| 12 patent. | 12 that talks about line 27 that's in Column 6 that |
| 13 A. Yes. | 13 we looked at before. |
| 14 Q. And look at Fig. 4A again. | 14 That also discloses an advantage for |
| 15 A. I see it. | 15 redundancy, correct? |
| 16 Q. And I think you yesterday listed two | 16 A. Which lines? |
| 17 advantages of the structures shown in Fig. 4A. I | 17 Q. It's the -- I think it's the lines we |
| 18 think you listed low resistance and redundancy. | 18 looked at before in Column 6. In fact, there's |
| 19 Do you remember that? | 19 going to be two -- a two-layered structure? |
| 20 A. That's correct. | 20 A. Well, similar to the '204 patent, it |
| 21 Q. Does the specification for the '204 | 21 doesn't say it is a redundant structure, but I'll |
| 22 patent refer to redundancy anywhere? | 22 observe that -- that at least some of the ways to |
| 23 A. I'm not sure. I'd have to recollect my | 23 implement what's referred to in those two |
| 24 memory. | 24 sentences in Column 6, in lines 36 through 42, |
| 25 Q. Please do. | 25 that would also have an improved redundancy. But |


| $\text { Page } 94$ | Page 96 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 I'll also point out that, again, 127 is already | 1. opposed to some other kind of transparent |
| 2 split -- split up into six lines and that by | 2 conductive layer? |
| 3 itself has redundancy | 3 A. I'm still not clear on what I'm |
| 4 Q. All right. And I take it when you ju | 4 comparing to, so it's hard to say where the |
| 5 looked at the '204 patent - | 5 advantage is, but I can comment on ITO if you |
| 6 A. Y |  |
| 7 Q. -- you did consider Column 8 | 7 Q. Particularly in 1997, why would a person |
| 8 Column 9. They particularly talk about low | 8 of ordinary skill in the art, why would they want |
| 9 resistance, but they don't claim an advantage | 9 to use an ITO? |
| 10 redundancy in Columns 8 and 9 of the ' 204 ? | 10 A. The LCDs that we're talking about in |
| 11 A. Well, of course these columns aren | 11 this case are of a format where the electrodes |
| 12 claims. So they don't claim anything, | 12 need to be both conductive and transparent. And |
| 13 refer to reducing the electrical resistance, but | 13 ITO is a material that's been known for a long |
| 14 not explicitly to the aspect of redundancy that | 14 time that is a conducting oxide that is |
| 15 I m observing is tr | 15 transparent largely in the visible region. |
| 16 Q. I wasn't using | 16 So we can see through it, but it can |
| 17 patent claim. | 17 also hold a charge and act similar to metals and |
| 18 statement. | 18 other conductors. And I |
| 19 But you would agree in Exampl | 19 standard because its deposition has become |
| 20 Column 8 and 9, there's no statement that | 20 well-known. It's been well |
| 21 there being an advantage of redundanc | 21 obviously very successful |
| 22 A. I don't see an explicit descriptio | 22 Q. And that was known in 1997, correct? |
| 23 that extent, but it is implicit to the strucher | 23 A. It was very well-kno |
| 24 that are b | 24 Q. And in your view, would one of ordinary |
| 25 recognizing that and I think one of ordinary | 25 skill in the art be motivated to use an ITO in the |
| 5 | age 97 |
| 1 would as well. | 1 terminal to connect to the flexible printed |
| 2 Q. In Fig. 4 -- strike that. | 2 circuit? |
| 3 Example 3 is discussing Fig | 3 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, foundation. |
| 4 correct? | 4 THE WITNESS: Can you be more specific |
| 5 A. Examp | 5 of the motivation that I'm commenting on? |
| 6 least. | 6 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 7 Q. Do | 7 Q. Let me ask it this way: To your |
| 8 or states any advantages to using an ITO or | 8 knowledge, in 1997, were ITO layers used in the |
| 9 transparent conductive layer? | 9 terminal pad region of commercial display |
| 10 A. I don't recall any expli | 10 products? |
| 11 the advantage of using ITO as opposed to anything | 11 A. I don't have specific knowledge of its |
| 12 else. I think the '204 patent says that there | 12 use in commercial display products. I don't have |
| 13 should be a transparent conductor. An ITO is one | 13 any proprietary information and I never analyzed a |
| 14 possible choice and it's, | 14 display for that question. But I do note that at |
| 15 standard choice. | 15 least two of the prior art pieces have ITO in the |
| 16 Q | 16 terminal portion; Sukegawa, of course, below the |
| 17 A | 17 second insulating layer and Nakamoto from |
| 18 Q. What would a person of ordinary skill in | 18 yesterday. |
| 19 the art know about the advantages of using ITO in | 19 Q. And |
| $201997 ?$ | 20 that discloses advantages of using an ITO layer in |
| 21 A. Well, can you tell me what you mean by | 21 the terminals used to make external connections to |
| 22 an advantage compared to what? | 22 the FPC? |
| 23 Q. An advantage compared to anoth | 23 A. Beyond the prior art that's in this |
| 24 alternative. | 24 case, I don't know of any other specific |
| 25 I mean, why would you use an ITO as | 25 discussion on that, on the advantages of that. |


| Page 98 | Page 100 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. But Sukegawa and Nakamoto would be | 1 but -- . |
| 2 examples of advantages of that? | 2 A. There are probably several copies of |
| 3 A. Yeah, there are disclosures mentioned, | 3 Fig. 4 in my declaratio |
| 4 some of those advantages, yeah. Well, to be | 4 Q. I'm sure there are copies of Fig. 4 in |
| 5 clear, I think Sukegawa does. I'm not sure -- | 5 your declaration. I've seen several myself. I |
| 6 don't recall what Nakamoto discloses about that. | 6 think the easiest thing to do, why don't you -- on |
| 7 It may just be th | 7 the Fig. 4A that's before you that's in the '204 |
| 8 comment on | 8 patent that's Exhibit 1001, why don't you just |
| 9 Q. In Fig. 4A | 9 cross-hatch out |
| 10 layer a little bit yesterday in terms of this | 10 A. Would you like to cross-hatch out the |
| 11 upside down | 11 portion y |
| 12 A. I see the upside down L. I have | 12 Q . (Indicating.) |
| 13 admit I don't remember our discussion yester | 13 Okay. I've don |
| 14 Q . That's fine. | 14 A. Thank you. Can you remind me the |
| 15 Could you pattern the ITO so that the | 15 question? |
| 16 bottom part of the upside down $L$ was not there? | 16 Q. Sure. So if we have the structure |
| 17 A. Are you referring to the upper portion | 17 that's before you in 4A the way I crossed it - |
| 18 that's horizontal, the highest most portion of the | 18 crossed it out, you would agree that that conforms |
|  | 19 to Claim 54 still? |
| 20 Q. Yes, | 20 A. I do. The little piece that you've |
| 21 A. That could be patterned away like the | 21 crossed out has no bearing to me on Claim 54. |
| 22 rest of the ITO that is -- that is missing on the | 22 Q. And if you have the structure that I put |
| 23 left -- to the left side which would app | 23 before you, how would a person of ordinary skill |
| 24 underneath the sealant but, of course, it's been 25 etched away. | 24 in the art determine in which order layers 113 and |
| Page 99 | 101 |
| 1 Q. You could etch away all of the upside | 1 A. It would not be apparent from that |
| 2 down L if you wanted to, right? | 2 figure or from that cross-section alone |
| 3 A. It think it's at least possible | 3 Q. So you could form the ITO layer first |
| 4 that kind of thing and $I$ do | 4 and then form the resin layer second? |
| 5 practically feasible to really get precise abo | 5 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, misstates his |
| 6 that, probably not worth the trouble, but that's | 6 testimony. |
| 7 my speculation. But nevertheless, it would still | 7 THE WITNESS: The figure -- the modified |
| 8 meet the claims, especially Claim 54 that w | 8 figure doesn't give enough information to |
| 9 talked about explicitly. | 9 determine the sequence of deposition during |
| 10 Q. Without the upside down L, you say that | 10 fabrication between element 113 and 114. |
| 11 the claim language of 54 is still met? | 11 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 12 A. Oh, certainly, yeah. | 12 Q. But it would be possible to form the ITO |
| 13 Q. In that situation where the -- you don't | 13 layer first and then form the resin layer over the |
| 14 have the upside down L part of the ITO, how would | 14 ITO layer and then open up and etch the resin |
| 15 a person of ordinary skill in the art know which | 15 layer out? |
| 16 deposition process would come first between 113 | 16 A. If that was done, of course that would |
| 17 and 114? | 17 not meet the claim limitation, Claim 54. |
| 18 A. Just so we can be clear, could we draw | 18 Q. But you would agree that one of ordinary |
| 19 that so I can comment on it? Or could we mark up | 19 skill in the art could use that process? |
| 20 a figure for that? | 20 A. I must misunderstand your question. |
| 21 Q. If you'd like to mark up the figure, go | 21 Could you restate it? |
| 22 ahead. Or is this one you've already marked on? | 22 Q. One of ordinary skill in the art could |
| 23 A. It is. It's where I labeled -- should I | 23 put down the ITO layer and then put down the |
| 24 mark that one? | 24 insulating layer and then etch out the insulating |
| 25 Q. I thought I had another copy of Fig. 4, | 25 layer to reveal the ITO layer, correct? |


| Page 102 | Page 104 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. It does -- I do think that that is a | 1 This is the end of Media Unit Number 2. The time |
| 2 possibility for one of ordinary skill, but of | 2 is 12:47. |
| 3 course, that is not what would meet Claim 54, if | 3 (Whereupon, the deposition in the |
| 4 that was done. | 4 above-entitled cause was recessed to |
| 5 Q. So we could have a completed structure | 5 1:51 p.m. this date.) |
| 6 and you wouldn't know whether it met Claim 54 | 6 |
| 7 unless you knew the steps that were used to | 7 |
| 8 deposit the materials? | 8 |
| 9 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. | 9 |
| 10 THE WITNESS: Well, Claim 54 has | 10 |
| 11 limitations that relate to how contacts are being | 11 |
| 12 formed. For example, there's a limitation near | 12 |
| 13 the end of the claim that says there must be | 13 |
| 14 direct contact through an opening between the | 14 |
| 15 second conductive line and the transparent | 15 |
| 16 conducting layer. And so if that contact is -- is | 16 |
| 17 not through that opening, then it wouldn't meet | 17 |
| 18 the claim. And so one would need to be able to | 18 |
| 19 determine that that's true. | 19 |
| 20 BY MR. GIBSON: | 20 |
| 21 Q. And if you're looking at the modified | 21 |
| 22 Fig. 4A, you don't know if that's true with that | 22 |
| 23 figure unless you know the steps that were taken | 23 |
| 24 to deposit and etch, correct? | 24 |
| 25 A. Well, what I'm saying is this modified | 25 |
| Page 103 | Page 105 |
| 1 figure is inconclusive to that regard. | 1 AFTERNOON SESSION |
| 2 Q. So you wouldn't know if that type of a | 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now on record. |
| 3 structure was covered by Claim 54 unless you knew | 3 This is the beginning of Media Unit Number 3 in |
| 4 the deposition and etching steps, is that correct? | 4 the deposition of Dr. Michael Escuti and the time |
| 5 A. Well, as far as this figure goes, I | 5 is 1:51. Please continue. |
| 6 think that's correct. But it's most likely the | 6 EXAMINATION (Resumed) |
| 7 case that if it was a real display, you could | 7 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 8 analyze it in such a way that you could tell the | 8 Q. Welcome back. You understand you're |
| 9 difference of whether the ITO was deposited before | 9 still under oath? |
| 10 or after the element 113 insulating film. | 10 A. Yes. |
| 11 Q. But in terms of this figure, my question | 11 Q. And have you discussed your testimony |
| 12 you agree with? | 12 with anyone at any of the breaks either today or |
| 13 A. In terms of the figure, it's | 13 last night? |
| 14 inconclusive. If a display was made in reality, | 14 A. I have not discussed the testimony of ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| 15 it could be analyzed where I think one of ordinary | 15 the ongoing deposition within the breaks of the |
| 16 skill could tell the difference. | 16 deposition. |
| 17 Q. But you'd have to look at the particular | 17 Q. Does that mean you did discuss it last |
| 18 display to see if you actually could tell the | 18 night when there wasn't a break? |
| 19 difference or not, correct? | 19 A. We -- we had a few comments about |
| 20 A. You'd have to use an electron microscope | 20 yesterday's deposition this morning, but I don't |
| 21 to analyze the layers and look at the shapes of | $21-$ I don't think that's what you're referring to. |
| 22 the interfaces and all that. | 22 Q. What did you discuss this morning? |
| 23 MR. GIBSON: Okay, why don't we take a | 23 A. I don't recall. |
| 24 break? | 24 Q. You don't recall any of the |
| 25 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. | 25 conversations you had this morning regarding |


| Page 106 | $8$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 yesterday's testimony? | 1 discusses the importance of layer 8 protecting |
| 2 A. I don't. It was very brief and I don't | 2 layer 7 everywhere His central invention, aft |
| 3 think had anything substantial | 3 all, is to provide a double coverage where one of |
| 4 | 4 those coverages comes from the transpa |
| 5 another patent that you cons | 5 conductor 8 and where the other one comes from |
| 6 declaration for the '204 patent, is that corre | 6 several tio |
| 7 A. | 7 |
| 8 | 8 terminal p |
| 9 Number 1005 was presented.) | 9 A. It depends on what you define as the |
| 10 BY MR. GIBSON | 10 terminal portion. Could you identify that for me? |
| 11 Q. I've got anothe | 11 Q. Well, what we're looking at, for |
| 12 that. | 12 example, in 2 C , would you consider that to be part |
| 13 And would you agree that Sukegawa | 13 of the terminal portion? |
| 14 addressing the exposed part of the wiring that's | 14 A. Fig. 2C should include the termina |
| 15 used for testing the contact with the FPC? | 15 portion, certainly |
| 16 A. Could you clarify what you mean by | 16 Q. Is there somewhere in the display |
| 17 "addressing"? | 17 portion where Sukegawa is saying there should be |
| 18 Q. That's | 18 double coverage with both a layer 8 and |
| 19 A. Among other thing | 19 insulator 9 ? |
| 20 the open portion of the terminal, the opening in | $20 \quad \mathrm{~A} .$ |
| 21 layer 9 , so that the FPC can have electrical | 21 would be inside the display portion and |
| 22 contact with the structure | 22 course, within the seal region. And Fig. 3D shows |
| 23 Q. Right. And one of the proble | 23 the two substrates 100,200 , between which would |
| 24 prior art was that there could be corrosion in | 24 be the seal region -- well, the sealant, I should |
| 25 that open region? | 25 say. |
|  | 109 |
| 1 A. That is what is disclosed in Sukegawa | kk the clear teaching from |
| 2 and Fig. 2 shows an examp | 2 Sukegawa in the specification is that double |
| 3 Q. Is Sukegawa directed to protecting a | 3 coverage is necessary of layer 7 and as long as it |
| 4 wire under an insulating layer? | 4 is exposed to the environment outside the seal. |
| 5 A. I'm not sure I can agree wit | 5 Q. Right. |
| 6 is a consequence or part of the disclosure in | 6 A. So -- |
| 7 Sukegawa, but I don't think his disclosure is | 7 Q. I didn't mean to interrupt you. |
| 8 focused on that. | 8 A. Just to get to the final point in your |
| 9 Q. When we look at Fig. 2C, for example, if | 9 question then, the Fig. 3C doesn't have the |
| 10 the wiring 7 were to continue to extend under 9, | 10 layer 8 in it because indeed, it's no longer |
| 11 do you think there needs to be any other material | 11 needed at that point because the additional |
| 12 above it to protect it from corrosion? | 12 coverage comes from the other elements in the |
| 13 A. I think the clear disclosure in Sukegawa | 13 display, including the counter substrate. |
| 14 is that the layer 8, the transparent conductor, | 14 Q. And the sealant? |
| 15 should be always covering layer 7 , the second | 15 A. Well, the sealant is not disclosed and |
| 16 wiring or the upper wiring I should say here. And | 16 so we have no idea what he would disclose about |
| 17 if layer 7 is modified at all from what's | 17 that. He's silent abo |
| 18 disclosed here, then layer 8 should also | 18 |
| 19 correspondingly modified to always cover it based | 19 ordinary skill in the art would understand there |
| 20 on the disclosure of Sukegawa. | 20 would be sealant? |
| 21 Q. Isn't it talking about layer -- or isn't | 21 A. Sukegawa discloses that there is sealan |
| 22 Sukegawa discussing the importance of layer 8 | 22 and one of ordinary skill would understand that it |
| 23 covering layer 7 in the open region that's | 23 should be somewhere between substrate 200 and 100 |
| 24 designated 13 in 2 C ? | 24 in Fig. 3D, but not its position of course and in |
| 25 A. I think it's clear in Sukegawa that he | 25 this matter in particular, you're probing around |


|  | Page 112 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 where Sukegawa would end layer 8 in between | 1 about the relationship of layer 8 and 7 . |
| 2 Fig. 3C and any of the other terminal portions and | 2 Q . In looking at 2C, would one of ordinary |
| 3 he's silent on | 3 skill in the art understand that once wiring 7 |
| 4 Q. Where there is sealant, would the | 4 goes under -- strike that. |
| 5 provide extra protection for wiring 7? | 5 Assume in 2C you continued wiring line 7 |
| 6 A. It should. You know, it would b | 6 under insulator 9, but didn't continue the ITO |
| 7 speculation on my part to know if Sukegawa would | 7 layer. Are you with me? |
| 8 agree to that, but it | 8 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 9 Q. And maybe you answered | 9 THE WITNESS: I can assume that, but |
| 10 not -- I'm not sure I | 10 course, I don't agree that that's at all |
| 11 Would you agree that the display portion | 11 disclosed. |
| 12 of Sukegawa does not teach covering wire 7 with | 12 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 13 both a layer 8 and an insulating film 9 ? | 13 Q. Just assume it |
| 14 A. I don't think it teaches one way or | 14 Would you -- do you believe there's any |
| 15 another. It does show Fig. 3C, which is inside | 15 need in terms of corrosion to have 8 continue |
| 16 the display portion and the metal 7 A and, | 16 above 7 as 7 goes further under insulator 9? |
| 17 course, 7B does not have layer 8 | 17 A. I think the disclosure in Sukegawa is |
| 18 It's -- but it, of co | 18 clear on exactly this question. He wants double |
| 19 He's silent on | 19 coverage above wiring 7 because of the corrosio |
| 20 Q. It's not shown in Fig. 3C | 20 potential and that corrosion comes from the air |
| 21 A. Indeed, it's -- it's not shown above | 21 that's above and around both in fabrication and in |
| 22 layer 7. Of course Fig. 3C does have ITO. It's | 22 the use of this |
| 23 on the left. It's 8 A , forming the pix | 23 And so I think it's clear from Sukegawa |
| 24 electrod | 24 that if layer 7 is extended under the sealant, |
| 25 Q. Right, but it's not covering wiring 7 | 25 then layer 8 must be, according to his teaching, |
| Page 111 | Page 113 |
| 1 throughout the entire Fig. 3C? | 1 extended as well, at least as far as -- as under |
| 2 A. It's only covering -- the ITO element 8 | 2 the sealant to provide that double coverage and |
| 3 is only covering the element 7C on part of it and | 3 most likely a bit longer. |
| 4 it's not above it in other regions. | 4 Q. What in Sukegawa are you relying on for |
| 5 Q. And when you say that Sukegawa is | 5 that answer? |
| 6 silent, you would agree there's nothing in the | 6 A. His disclosure, his specificatio |
| 7 text that says you're going to have wire -- | 7 illustrations. |
| 8 layering wire 8 covering 7 and also being covered | 8 Q. Can you be specific? |
| 9 by 9 in the display region? | 9 A. Every cross-section that illustrates |
| 10 A. Could you rephrase the question or make | 10 both element 7 and element 8 has the indium tin |
| 11 it more concise? | 11 oxide 8 surrounding and going beyond wiring 7 on |
| 12 Q. Sure. You said that Sukegawa is silent | 12 all sides and that is because it's protecting it. |
| 13 on the need for 8 to be over 7 in the display | 13 So it has to seal it all the way around. |
| 14 region, correct? | 14 So if we -- if we extend 7, Sukegawa is |
| 15 A. It seems that's largely correct. He has | 15 clearly saying for corrosion protection, it needs |
| 16 an instance where it is over -- where they do | 16 to also extend 8 and this corrosion protection is |
| 17 overlap and an instance where it doesn't. | 17 principally necessary at least on the inside of -- |
| 18 Q. And you're looking at Fig. 3C that you | 18 I'm sorry -- on the outside of the sealant during |
| 19 just testified about when you say that? | 19 its use, but during fabrication there's also the |
| 20 A. Yes. | 20 potential for corrosion and even in that case it |
| 21 Q. All right. And is there anything in | 21 would likely be extended beyond as well, beyond |
| 22 text that describes 8 overlapping 7 in the display | 22 the sealant as wel |
| 23 region? | 23 Q. And if 7 is extending into the display |
| 24 A. There is no disclosure on what sho | 24 region, is Sukegawa saying that 8 has to cover 7 |
| 25 happen in the display region, aside from Fig. 3C, | 25 into the display region as well? |


| Page 114 | ge 116 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. I think my answer's the same as it just | 1 inside -- well inside the display, it only has |
| 2 was before. He shows in Fig. 3C an example where | 2 layer 9 over layer 7A. |
| 3 part of it does and part of it doesn't and he | 3 Q. And you would agree that 9 can be made |
| 4 doesn't say much more about the display portion | 4 out of something like silicon nitrate -- nitride? |
| 5 than that. | 5 A. I would agree that that's an option and |
| 6 Q. And as one of ordinary skill in the | 6 Sukegawa does mention that. |
| 7 art or your -- in your view, would one of the | $7 \quad$ Q. And that's a good protection layer |
| 8 ordinary -- would one of ordinary skill in the a | 8 that's used commonly in the microelectronics |
| 9 at some point stop layer 8 over layer 7 once | 9 industry, is that right? |
| 10 enters the display region? | 10 A. Yes, that's correct. |
| 11 A. Yes, and that's certainly wh | 11 Q. And that was known to someone of |
| 12 disclosed in Fig. 3C, in part of | 12 ordinary skill in the art in 1997 to be a good |
| 13 Q. Where would that happen? | 13 protection layer? |
| 14 A. He doesn't show. | 14 A. It's certain |
| 15 Q. So how would one of ordinary skill in | 15 ordinary skill in 1997 that it's an insulating |
| 16 the art determine that? | 16 material that can be used to protect the circuits |
| 17 A. It would depend on, I suppose, many | 17 below. Whether it's characterized as good or not |
| 18 things, the design of -- of the display. I'm not | 18 probably depends on the context. Sukegawa seems |
| 19 sure I can say if there's a single answer to that. | 19 to teach that it's not good enough, at least in |
| 20 Q. In terms of the prior art, the prior art | 20 the terminal portion. |
| 21 shows 7 extending into 13 and beyond it, correct | 21 Q. And that wasn't quite my question. So |
| 22 in Fig. 2C, for examp | 22 I'll move to strike as nonresponsive. |
| 23 A. In Fig. 2C, wiring 7 extends benea | 23 Would someone of ordinary skill in the |
| 24 element 13, certa | 24 art in 1997 recognize that silicon nitride could |
| 25 Q. And in 3E, wiring 7 is stopped, correct | 25 be used as a protection layer in the |
| Page 115 | Page 117 |
| 1 A. Well, Fig. 3E is the cross-section of | 1 microelectronics industry? |
| 2 the terminal which has a top-down view in 3A. So | 2 A. Yes. |
| 3 what you see is that wiring 7 is broken up into | 3 Q. If we look at 3D? |
| 4 two pads or rectangles. And in the cross-section, | 4 A. I see it. |
| 5 yes, there's a separation between the two. | 5 Q. And Fig. 3C, there's a -- in 3D there's |
| 6 Q. If you look at 3C-- | 6 a gap between element 200 and 31 A , is that |
| 7 A. I see it. | 7 correct? |
| 8 Q. -- 7 is just covered by 9 there in | 8 A. Yes. |
| 9 let's say 7A is just covered by 9 , is that | 9 Q. And then why don't you look at Fig. 2C? |
| 10 correct? | 10 A. I see it. |
| 11 A. 7A and 7B are only covered by 9 | 11 Q. And there's also a gap shown there as |
| 12 Q . And would you agree that that's | 12 well, is that correct? |
| 13 sufficient to prevent corrosion? | 13 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 14 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, foundation. | 14 THE WITNESS: Which gap are you |
| 15 THE WITNESS: I can't say. The figure | 15 referring to in Fig. 2C? |
| 16 speaks for itself. It's -- I have no idea if | 16 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 17 Sukegawa would find that sufficient for that | 17 Q. Well, let me ask |
| 18 purpose, but it is an example of what he's shown | 18 you're -- in 3D, the display portion, you're going |
| 19 here. | 19 to have that where 200 and 100 in the beginning of |
| $20 \text { BY MR. GIBSON }$ | 20 that, where 100 and 200 meet? |
| 21 Q. All right. And there's not -- as we've | 21 A. The precise beginning of that is not |
| 22 said before, there's no ITO layer over there to | 22 clear. Some of the other prior art identifies the |
| 23 show a double layer structure needed to protect | 23 display area as well within that. Sukegawa |
| 24 against corrosion, right? | 24 doesn't, I think, precisely provide the start of |
| 25 A. In -- in Fig. 3C, which is a TFT | 25 that. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. But either in what we see in Fig. 3D or | 1 Fig. 3E with that difference. |
| 2 off to the left of what would be in Fig. 3D, we're | 2 Q. All right. And the sealant's not show |
| 3 going to have a display area, correct? | 3 in Fig. 3B either, correct? |
| 4 A. Yes | 4 A. That's correct, it's not |
| 5 Q. And when you're -- as I understand | 5 Q. But we would know or a person of |
| 6 there's going to be a layer 7 that's going to be | 6 ordinary skill in the art would know that there's |
| 7 connected through that gap in 3D? | 7 going to be sealant and a substrate 200 even |
| 8 A. I'm not sure what you mean ab | 8 though they're not shown in 3B? |
| 9 Fig. 3D. Maybe you could point me to it or draw | 9 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 10 it. Of course layer 7 is not shown in Fig. 3D. | 10 THE WITNESS: Well, those elements |
| 11 Q. Right. But would you assume that there | 11 well, the substrate 200 and 100 are shown in 3D |
| 12 is a layer 7 in Fig. 3D based on what you see in | 12 and a person of ordinary skill knows that the |
| 13 the other figures? | 13 sealant has to be between those and it would be |
| 14 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. | 14 most common to place that offset from the edge as |
| 15 THE WITNESS: Well, the other parts of | 15 we've talked about. |
| 16 Fig. 3 show an FPC and the terminal portion and | 16 BY MR. GIBSON |
| 17 that does include Fig. 7. How that precisely | 17 Q. But what I'm |
| 18 matches up with Fig. 3D is not clear. It's not | 18 in 3B, there's no sealant shown even though we |
| 19 shown. But I do expect that there is a wiring 7 | 19 know it's going to be there? |
| 20 or 7-1, 7-2 in Fig. 3D, largely underneath the FPC | $20$ <br> MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 21 and the anisotropic conducting film that's | 21 THE WITNESS: Fig. 3B does not show |
| 22 identified there. | 22 sealant. A person of ordinary skill would not put |
| 23 BY MR. GIBSON: | 23 the sealant in the terminal portion. That's why |
| 24 Q. Would you exp |  |
| 25 extend beyond what we have as 31A, the left of | 25 |
|  | Page 121 |
| 131 A , do you expect layer 7 is going to extend | 1 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 2 beyond that? | 2 Q. You would agree that the terminal |
| 3 A. Figs. 3E, for example, 3B, show that | 3 portion connects the display portion, correct? |
| 4 there's at least some portion of wiring 7 that is | 4 A. Perhaps through other portions that we |
| 5 to the left, however slightly, but to the left of | 5 might identify, but certainly the substrate 100 in |
| 6 the FPC in element 10. | 6 3D corresponds to the element 1 in Fig. 3B, 3C, |
| 7 Q. And can you tell from Figs. -- in | 7 3E. |
| 8 Fig. 3D how far that's going to extend to the left | 8 Q. If you look at 2C- |
| 9 after 31A ends? | 9 A. I see it. |
| 10 A. It's -- it's not shown in Fig. | 10 Q. -- and wiring 2, you would consider that |
| 11 can't tell. But one thing I can tell is that in | 11 to be a scan line? |
| 12 Fig. 3E and 3B, the terminal -- I'm sorry -- the | 12 A. That corresponds to the gate metal |
| 13 substrate 200 is not included or shown, nor is the | 13 layer, so that is the same metal layer as the scan |
| 14 sealant. So wherever it is, it has to be the left | 14 lines. There may be a difference between calling |
| 15 of any wiring 7 in the terminal portion in this | 15 it a scan line in this portion or not, but it's |
| 16 disclosure. | 16 certainly the same metal layer. |
| 17 Q. And that's just because you see that | 17 Q. As the scan line? |
| 18 there's the substrate 200 isn't shown in 3E? | 18 A. As the scan line. Sukegawa, of course, |
| 19 A. It's because the sealant is not shown | 19 calls it the lower layer metal wiring 2. |
| 20 and the subs | 20 Q. But one of ordinary skill in the art |
| $21 \quad$ Q. Okay. But even if you look at say 3B, | 21 would understand that's going to be a scan line, |
| 22 no substrate 200 is shown in 3B, correct? | 22 right? |
| 23 A. The substrate is not shown in 3B either, | 23 A. No, not necessarily. It's a -- it's a |
| 24 but nor is the FPC 31 and | 24 metal that's been deposited in the same layer as |
| 25 et cetera, right. Fig. 3B is largely the same as | 25 the scan lines, but there's no disclosure to limit |


|  | 24 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 it to that. It could be used for other things. | 1 Fig. 3E. Well, to be more precise, he shows in |
| 2 Q. And if we're talking about a bottom gate | 2 Fig. 3E that the upper layer metal wiring is split |
| 3 TFT, would you assume 2 is a scan line? | 3 up into two parts, 7-1 and 7-2. |
| 4 A. A person of ordinary skill cannot assum | 4 Q. And it's connected through wiring 2? |
| 5 that. | 5 A. The two portions of wiring 7, 7-1 and |
| 6 Q. Okay. What's your understanding | 6 7-2, have electrical contact through the lower |
| 7 bottom gate | 7 layer metal wiring 2 as well as the transparent |
| 8 A. It's a TFT that has its gate position | 8 conductor |
| 9 underneath the semiconducting layer, so | 9 Q. But if we go to the right -- if you look |
| 10 bottom of the TFT | 10 at 3E-- well, strike that. |
| 11 Q. And if you ass | 11 As we go into the display portion and we |
| 12 assume that you've got a bottom gate TFT | 12 go from 3E to say 3 C , is there going to be a |
| 13 wiring line 2 | 13 connection that's made -- it's not shown here, but |
| 14 A. I can assume t | 14 there's going to be a connection that's made |
| 15 Q. And that's a structure that someone | 15 between wire 7 and wire 2? |
| 16 would see in industry? | 16 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 17 A. It's one of the pos | 17 THE WITNESS: Well, that -- that is not |
| 18 certainly a person sees in industry, yeah | 18 expressly disclosed in Sukega |
| 19 that's disclosed in Sukegawa in Fig. 3C, | 19 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 20 exampl | 20 Q . Isn't it necessary to connect the data |
| 21 Q. | 21 |
| 22 with 2A | 22 A. |
| 23 A. | 23 so. You'd wind up with a nonfunctioning pixel. |
| 24 electr | 24 |
| 25 electrode. It should also be par | 25 But how are you going to connect to your |
|  | age 125 |
| 1 line, but I'm not sure that's expressly in the | 1 data lines in what's disclosed in 3 C |
| 2 specification. | 2 A. Well, 3C includes a source and a drain. |
| 3 Q. Okay. But one of ordinary skill in the | 3 Of course those are the data lines or involve the |
| 4 art would understand that it would be part of the | 4 data lines, for example, 7A. And the rest of the |
| 5 scan line? | 5 disclosure of Sukegawa is about the terminal |
| 6 A. This gate metal 2 A should be part of the | 6 portion and there are many ways to connect what's |
| 7 scan line. | 7 shown in the terminal portion to that wiring 7. |
| 8 Q. And what if it's a top gate TFT -- or | 8 One way would be that somewhere off to |
| 9 let me first ask you, are you familiar with top | 9 the left of these terminal portions inside the |
| 10 gate TFTs as a term of art in the industry? | 10 display to form an opening in insulator 3 and have |
| 11 A. Yes, that's the situation where the | 11 contact through that opening with the upper layer |
| 12 structure is at least nominally inverted and the | 12 metal wiring 7 , or whatever you want to call it, |
| 13 gate is the upper metal | 137 A . That seems to be -- to me to be the first |
| 14 Q . And then 2A, would that still be a scan | 14 thing that would come to the mind of |
| 15 line? | 15 ordinary skill looking at Sukegaw |
| 16 A. In -- in that case, 2 A would still be | 16 Q. And that's -- the structure you |
| 17 the gate elect | 17 discussed has the advantage of -- doesn't require |
| 18 Q. Which would connect to a scan line? | 18 extra contacts and would conserve space? |
| 19 A. Which would be part of the scan line, | 19 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form |
|  | 20 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm not sure wh |
| 21 Q. So if you look at 3E, and 3E shows both | 21 you mean by those advantages. I don't see it ha |
| 22 wiring 2 and a wiring 7? | 22 any consequence on the amount of space being used. |
| 23 A. It shows a lower - | 23 And I'm not sure what you mean by extra contacts. |
| 24 | 24 One terminal is generally used to contact to one |
| 25 wiring 2 and an upper layer metal wiring 7 in | 25 data or scan line. |


| Page 126 | Page 128 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 BY MR. GIBSON | 1 foundation |
| 2 Q. Well, you're not -- you're not having to | 2 THE WITNESS: Whether or not it's more |
| 3 use a third wiring, for example, to connect you | 3 complicated depends. I don't have enough |
| 4 -- to have 2 to connect to 7; you can open up a | 4 information to make any kind of statement to th |
| 5 hole and have 2 connect to 7 ? | 5 regard |
| 6 A. That's correct | 6 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 7 Q. So that's simpler than using a thi | 7 |
| 8 wiring, for example | 8 A. -- in gener |
| 9 A. I'm not sure I'd use | 9 Q. Would you think that it would take extra |
| 10 wiring" in this context because, you know, it's | 10 space? |
| 11 claim term. So it would be an additional -- let | 11 A. |
| 12 me -- let me clarify. | 12 Q. Do you think it would -- well, strik |
| 13 So if we did what I described, then | 13 |
| 14 layer 7A can have electrical contact through the | 14 In your view in 1997, would it have |
| 15 opening in insulator 3 to the lower metal wiring 2 | 15 been -- for the ordinary person of skill in the |
| 16 without an additional wiring somewhere. But I | 16 art been more common to use an additional wiring |
| 17 don't want to characterize any of these as third | 17 or just open up contact holes in 3 for 7 and 2 to |
| 18 wirings. | 18 be in contact? |
| 19 Q. And I wasn't looking for that. I'm ju | 19 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, foundation. |
| 20 saying there's not an additional wiring that's | 20 THE WITNESS: I can't say if there was a |
| 21 going to be required the way you suggested you're | 21 preference in |
| 22 avoiding that additional wiring, correct? | 22 BY MR. GIBSON |
| 23 A. Yes, that's -- that's true in the | 23 Q. You don't know one way or the othe |
| 24 suggestion that I offered. | 24 A. There's not enough information to know |
| 25 Q. And that saves space? | 25 one way or the other. |
| Page 127 | Page 129 |
| 1 A. Saves space compared to what? | 1 Q. Would you agree in 1997 that a person of |
| 2 Q . Compared to having a third wiring. I'm | 2 ordinary skill in the art was aware of opening up |
| 3 sorry, I don't want to use the term "third | 3 contact holes in layer 3 as depicted in Fig. 7C to |
| 4 wiring." | 4 enable a connection between 7A and 2A or betwee |
| 5 It's better to avoid having a | 5 wiring 7 and wiring 2? |
| 6 wiring, it takes up extra space, it is an extra | 6 A. No, I'm not aware of anything like that. |
| 7 manufacturing step, right? | 7 It would make the TFT not work. |
| 8 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form, | 8 Q. And maybe I misspoke when I said 7A and |
| 9 foundation. | 92 A . |
| 10 THE WITNESS: I'm trying to follow you, | 10 When -- you're talking about opening up |
| 11 but I don't know what you mean by a "third | 11 contact holes in 3, you were talking about |
| 12 wiring." | 12 having -- enabling a connection between 7 and 2, |
| 13 BY MR. GIBSON: | 13 correct? |
| 14 Q. I'm using the word "additional wiring" | 14 A. The one option -- not the only option, |
| 15 now that you used -- | 15 but one option would be indeed to create an |
| 16 A. Okay. Well, can you tell me what you | 16 opening somewhere inside the display portion, an |
| 17 mean by "additional wiring"? | 17 opening in layer 3, so that some portion of 7A |
| 18 Q. Well, how you suggested it. I'm not -- | 18 could have contact with the lower layer metal |
| 19 you're the -- you're the expert here. What -- if | 19 wiring 2 through that opening. |
| 20 you were going to not open up a contact hole | $20 \quad \mathrm{Q}$. And that was something that was known to |
| 21 between -- in layer 3 for 7 and 2 in Fig. 3C and | 21 one of ordinary skill in the art in 1997? |
| 22 instead you use an additional wiring, wouldn't | 22 A. It was known as one of multiple options. |
| 23 that be more complicated than just opening up a | 23 Q. So if we look at --still looking at the |
| 24 hole in 3 for contact between 7 and 2? | 24 figures on -- where we have Figs. 3A, 3B and 3C? |
| 25 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form and | 25 A. I see it. |


| Page 130 | age 132 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$. Is 2 A the gate of the TFT? | 1 very strange display. |
| 2 A. 2 A is the gate electrode of that TFT | 2 Q. Right. Because you need to have lots of |
| 3 Q . And what is to the left of the gate | 3 pixels to have a good display? |
| 4 electrode? | 4 A. Usually. |
| 5 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form | 5 Q. And so you would agree that you're going |
| 6 THE WITNESS: Immediately to the left is | 6 to have a number of pixel electrodes in the |
| 7 the insulator 3, of course in that same horizontal | 7 display portion that are going to extend out to |
| 8 direction. Above that are the other elements of | 8 the terminal portion, you're not just going to |
| 9 the TFT, including one of the source drain | 9 have one? |
| 10 electrodes and the pixel electrode, 8A, formed of | 10 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 11 the same transparent conductor, most commonly is 8 | 11 THE WITNESS: I don't think you're going |
| 12 in the terminal portion. | 12 to have any pixel electrodes in the display |
| 13 BY MR. GIBSON: | 13 portion that are going to extend to the terminal |
| 14 Q. So you would agree that 8A is the pixel | 14 portion. |
| 15 electrode? | 15 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 16 A. I do. That's what Sukegawa calls it | 16 Q. Instead you're going to have a plurality |
| 17 the pixel electrode, 8A. | 17 of pixels in the display portion? |
| 18 Q. And you would agree that above the pixel | 18 A. Certainly a plurality of pixels and each |
| 19 electrode, there is no layer 9 ? | 19 one should have its corresponding pixel electrode |
| 20 A. I can't agree to that. Layer 9 | 20 in a -- at least approximately rectangular shape |
| 21 partially overlaps 8 A . | 21 connected to the TFT |
| 22 Q. Well, the part that -- there is part of | 22 Q. To the right of the TFT we see 7A? |
| 23 it that -- part of 8A right there that does not | 23 A. Yes. |
| 24 have a insulating layer 9 on top of it, correct? | 24 Q. And I think we agreed that that is a |
| 25 A. There is a portion of 8A that is not | 25 data line? |
| Page 131 | Page 133 |
| 1 covered by insulator 9, that's true. | 1 A. Yes. |
| 2 Q. Do you know why that would have been | $2 \quad \mathrm{Q}$. Is the data line in the -- in the |
| 3 removed? | 3 display region continuous across the display? |
| 4 A. I think I | 4 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 5 Q. And why is that? | 5 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by |
| 6 A. Well, the response of the liquid crystal | 6 "continuous"? |
| 7 layer depends on the electric field that's | 7 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 8 produced in it. And having additional insulators | 8 Q. Does it start at one edge and then |
| 9 between the electrode that's applying the | 9 terminate at the opposing edge? |
| 10 potential to the liquid crystal generally requires | 10 A. Most of the time that's the way a data |
| 11 higher voltages to switch. So it's a kind of | 11 line is configured, but certainly not always. |
| 12 negative direction in most -- most contexts and | 12 Q. And the scan lines, are those continuous |
| 13 while it's not catastrophic, it's generally to be | 13 across the display? |
| 14 avoided. | 14 A. Same answer, most of the time they will |
| 15 Q. So in other words, if you remove 9, you | 15 extend from one side to the other side, but not |
| 16 can use a smaller voltage? | 16 always. |
| 17 A. The voltage to get the same optical | 17 Q. And would you agree that the scan lines |
| 18 effect in the liquid crystal layer would be lower | 18 are isolated from each other? |
| 19 if you removed 9. | 19 A. Individual scan lines should be |
| 20 Q. And if you -- could you extend 8A, the | 20 electrically isolated from each other to function. |
| 21 pixel electrode all the way to the terminal | 21 Q. And I think as you pointed out a couple |
| 22 portion to the left? | 22 times to me, the scan lines should also be |
| 23 A. I don't think so. It sounds like you're | 23 electrically isolated from the data lines? |
| 24 saying can we make some very large portion of the | 24 A. Yes, that's a general principle that |
| 25 display a single pixel. That would seem like a | 25 needs to happen for the TFT to work. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. And the data lines are also isolated | 1 the terminal portion according to the disclosure |
| 2 from one another? | 2 in Sukega |
| 3 | 3 Q. If you loo |
| 4 Q. And in Fig. C3 (sic) we have th | 4 A. I |
| 5 insulating layer 9 above 7A? | 5 Q. -- the scan line 2 is what's going from |
| 6 A. In Fig. 3C we do, y | 6 the terminal region to the display port |
| $7 \quad$ Q. All right. And as this line 7A extend | 7 Do you know where that is going to -- |
| 8 across the display region, is 9 going to be ov | 8 let me ask it this way: Is that going to go all |
| 9 the entire length of tha | 9 the way across through the display portion? |
| 10 A. It's not shown, so it's not cleat | 10 A. Element 2 is the conductor that |
| 11 not disclosed | 11 illustrated in Fig. 3E that does go into the |
| 12 | 12 display portion. I disagree that it is identic |
| 13 would not? | 13 to the scan line, as we talked about earlier. |
| 14 A. There's nothing explicit that sug | 14 But it is the single conductor, the only |
| 15 it would not. I just note that in Fig. 3C, | 15 one that does extend to the left towards the |
| 16 layer 9 does end over the pixel region. | 16 display portion. So I think one of ordinary skill |
| 17. Q. But as we're extending to the term | 17 would understand that at least that does go toward |
| 18 portion, would you expect 7A to -- as 7A | 18 the displa |
| 19 extending, to have 9 over it? | 19 Q. And if you matched it with 3C, would you |
| 20 A | 20 then understand that 2 would be the scan line? |
| 21 just not | 21 A. Well, I wouldn't go quite th |
| 22 Q . | 22 think if Fig. 3E were assumed to be connecting |
| 23 have layer 9 is where we have the pixel electrode, | 23 Fig. 3C, then I think it's appropriate to say that <br> 24 element 2 in 3 E does connect to element 2 A in 3 C |
| 25 A. That's true in Fig. 3C. | 25 Whether or not they should be characterized as all |
|  | 37 |
| 1 Q. And everywhere we see 7A, we see layer 9 | 1 the same scan line, it's not clear. That |
| 2 on top of it, correct? | 2 connection is not shown |
| 3 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, fo | 3 Q. Do you think that if you're connecting |
| 4 THE WITNESS: In Fig. 3C, that is | 43 E to 3C, that 2 could be a data line? |
| 5 correct. | 5 A. Let me see if I understand your |
| 6 BY MR. GIBSON: | 6 question. You're asking me can the termin |
| $7 \quad$ Q. And Fig. 3C shows the line 7A is going | 7 Fig. 3E be used to connect to the data line? |
| 8 to be extended toward the terminal portion? | 8 Q. No. I'm asking you if you're connecting |
| 9 A. Well, it shows it extending off the | 93 E to 3 C if 2 is going to be the data line. |
| 10 illustration and then there's an additional a | 10 MR. SCHLITTER: Object to form. |
| 11 showing us the direction of the terminal portion | 11 THE WITNESS: If the terminal in 3E is |
| 12 on that side. It doesn't say whether it actually | 12 used to connect to the gate electrode 2 A in |
| 13 extends out there. | 13 Fig. 3C, then it can be that that whole line could |
| 14 Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the | 14 be referred to as the scan line. It's not |
| 15 art understand that it would extend that way? | 15 necessary to be so, but that's certainly a common |
| 16 A. There's not enough information given to | 16 configura |
| 17 know one way or the other. Well, on the | 17 BY MR. |
| 18 hand, I mean, we have lots of terminal portions | 18 |
| 19 disclosed here and clearly the metal 7 does not | $19$ |
| 20 extend all the way there in a continuous fashion. | 20 configuration when looking at Fig. 3C and 3E? |
| 21 It has to end at some point. | 21 A. Well, one of ordinary skill would |
| 22 Q. But you're not sure | 22 understand that that's one possibility. I don |
| 23 is? | 23 think they would see the disclosure in Sukegaw |
|  | 24 requiring that. After all, element 2A |
| 25 is clear is it does have to end before it gets to | 25 identified differently, not only by numbering, but |


| 8 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 by description from element 2 and neither of them | 1 correct |
| 2 are called scan line | 2 A. It's a physical object, so of course it |
| 3 Q. But you think that one of ordinary sk | 3 has dimens |
| 4 in the art would recognize that it would be | 4 Q. So even if you have plenty of availabl |
| 5 common configuration to have 2 be a scan line if | 5 contact area, you're limited by the size of the |
| 6 you were connecting Fig. 3 to Fig. 3C? | 6 wiring on the flexible substrate 31, for example? |
| 7 A. One of ordinary skill I think could find | 7 A. Could you rephrase the question? |
| 8 that as a common, typical situation | 8 Q. Sure. I mean, regardless of how big |
| 9 Q. If we look at 3A of Sukegawa | 9 your contact area is in your terminal portion, the |
| 10 A. I see it. | 10 contact -- the actual area where there's contact |
| 11 Q. And Sukegawa, I think, uses the term | 11 is limited by the size of what you have as 31? |
| 12 "tape carrier package 300"? | 12 A. I'm not trying to be difficult, but I |
| 13 A. Yes, that's correct. Of course that's | 13 don't understand the question. |
| 14 not shown in Fig. 3A. | 14 Q. Okay. Well, you see -- in 3D you see |
| 15 Q. Would you understand that the tape | 15 31A and 31B? |
| 16 carrier package also could be a flexible printed | 16 A. Yes, I |
| 17 circuit? | 17 Q. What would you call those two things? |
| 18 A. As a general matter, yes. Those a | 18 A. Well, element 31 is the flexible wiring |
| 19 terms that are often used interchangeably | 19 substrate of the tape carrier package 300 and 3-- |
| 20 Q. Would you understand that the flexible | 2031 B is the copper foil wirings. I don't see yet |
| 21 printed circuit is going to overlap Fig. 3A? | 21 what 31 A is. I could find that, but clearly the |
| 22 A. Well, the tape carrier package that is | 22 conductive portion is 31 B . |
| 23 disclosed overlaps part of it. It's illustrated | 23 Q. And you say that's part of the flexible |
| 24 in, for example, 3E, kind of the right portion | 24 substrate? |
| 25 what's shown in Fig. 3A. | 25 A. It appears that both 31A and 31B |
| Page 139 | Page 141 |
| 1 Q. When you say "the right portion," what | 1 together form element 31 and that is the flexible |
| 2 are you referring to? | 2 wiring substrate. |
| 3 A. Well, if we look at Fig. 3E, we see the | 3 Q. And you're going to connect that to your |
| 4 anisotropic conducting film 10 which extends from | 4 terminal portion? |
| 5 the rightmost -- almost the rightmost portion of | 5 A. It's shown in Fig. 3E, for example, as |
| 6 the terminal region, not quite, but almost, most | 6 connecting through the anisotropic conducting film |
| 7 of the way across the opening that's been formed | 7 to the conductors in the terminal portion. |
| 8 in insulating film 9. | 8 Q. And the film is element 10 ? |
| 9 And so if we look at the Fig. 3A, we can | 9 A. Yes, thank you. |
| 10 see that the opening in element 9 is also shown | 10 Q. And your contact area is going to be |
| 11 and so that anisotropic conducting film goes from | 11 limited by the size of the wiring on the flexible |
| 12 the right side of Fig. 3A only part of the way | 12 substrate, correct? |
| 13 through, at least approximately halfway through. | 13 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 14 Q. And would you agree that when we're | 14 THE WITNESS: That will be one of the |
| 15 looking at Fig. 3A, there's transparent conductive | 15 limitations in this context, but there are many |
| 16 film 8 where the flexible printed circuit can | 16 others. |
| 17 overlap and then connect? | 17 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 18 A. There is a portion in Fig. 3A, as well | 18 Q. That would be one? |
| 19 as in 3E where the anisotropic conducting film is | 19 A. That would certainly be one |
| 20 in direct contact with the ITO and that is through | 20 Q. And if the flexible printed circuit is |
| 21 the opening in layer 9. That's not shown in | 21 smaller than the available contact area, would you |
| 22 Fig. 3A, but we could identify that region. I | 22 agree then the area -- well, let me do it this |
| 23 think I did in my declaration. | 23 way. |
| 24 Q. And then in -- and the flexible printed | 24 Why don't you turn to page 40 of your |
| 25 circuit is going to have a certain dimension, | 25 declaration? |


| Page 142 | Page 144 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. I've got it. | 1 is limiting the resistance |
| 2 Q. Which I know in your original it's in | 2 ordinary skill in the art increase its size? |
| 3 color. There's a -- I think here it's shown in | 3 A. That is one option available to those of |
| 4 darker shade of gray, which you had in red in your | 4 ordinary skill but, of course, that then makes the |
| 5 declaration. | 5 entire terminal portion larger and, of course, |
| 6 Do you see that darker shade | 6 there are other terminals next to this that aren't |
| 7 A. Well, the darker shade, of course, is | 7 illustrated and there's a -- there's a limit |
| 8 indicating the opening in layer 9 through which |  |
| 9 the ITO is exposed for contact. | 9 Q. Let's take a look at Watanabe. |
| 10 Q. That's your contact are | 10 A. Thank |
| 11 A. That's a subset of the contact area most | 11 (Document marked previously as Exhibit |
| 12 likely. | 12 Number 1004 was presented.) |
| 13 Q. Why | 13 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 14 A. Most likely the anisotropic conducting | 14 Q. And this is another one of the prior art |
| 15 film overlays much more than just that little | 15 pieces that you co |
| 16 area. | 16 A. Yes, it is. |
| 17 Q. Where is it a | 17 Q. Do y |
| 18 that area? | 18 region of an LCD |
| 19 A. Well, direct | 19 A. There is no one |
| 20 that opening and -- but electrica | 20 Q. What would be |
| 21 be just in that opening. | 21 A. In -- in 1997, I think a typical region |
| 22 Q. And if the | 22 would be in the range of millimeters. |
| 23 substrate is smaller than that available are | 23 Q. Can you give me an estimate? |
| 24 that could be a | 24 A. It depends |
| 25 A. Well, I think it's unlikely. I think | 25 Q. But millimeters, not centimeters? |
|  | 5 |
| 1 the way design -- the design process generally | 1 A. I think for the larger sized displays, |
| 2 goes at least would be that a particular size of | 2 it could even get large enough to be close to the |
| 3 the terminal is determined based on | 3 scale of a centimeter, not multiple centimeters, |
| 4 constraints and then a tape carrier package or | 4 clearly not. |
| 5 flexible substrate is chosen or designed to meet | 5 Q. And would that be true in 1997. |
| . 6 exactly what's needed in that terminal portion. | 6 A. Yeah, Ithink that the upper bound for |
| $7 \quad$ Q. But let's assume that you've got a | 7 the size of a seal region would be -- could be as |
| 8 flexible substrate that's smaller than the | 8 high as tens of millimeters but not that many tens |
| 9 available area. | 9 of millimeters. |
| 10 A. I can assu | 10 Q. Do the adhesive properties of the seal |
| 11 Q. Would you agree then that the shaded | 11 material affect the weight -- the width of the |
| 12 area is not limiting the resistance? | 12 seal region? |
| 13 A. In this unlikely assumption | 13 A. They certainly do. And Shiba, for |
| 14 hypothesis, I can agree to that. | 14 example, goes to some effort to complement those |
| 15 Q. Then let's assume if the flex | 15 properties by structuring the bottom surface so |
| 16 printed circuit is larger but the resi | 16 that it has a little more surface area and thereby |
| 17 the contact formed is within the specifications of | 17 use the same set of materials but shrink the width |
| 18 the system, would you then agree that the shaded | 18 of the seal region and not compromise the |
| 19 area is not limiting the performance of the LCD | 19 adhesion |
| 20 display? | 20 Q. And so if you have a stronger adhesive, |
| 21. MR. SCHLITTER: Object | 21 it requires less width? |
| 22 THE WITNESS: I can't agree to that in | 22 A. That may be, but subject to other |
| 23 general, even under your assumptions | 23 constraints. |
| 24 BYMR. GIBSON: | 24 Q. Such as? |
| 25 Q. Okay. In the event that the shaded area | 25 A. Well, from one material to another, a |


| Page 146 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| may be stronger or not. And let's say we | 1 MR. SCHLITTER: What page are you |
| 2 have two materials that are both equally strong in | 2 |
| 3 their adhesion properties, but there are other |  |
| 4 factors in displays that are very in | 4 |
| $5 \quad$ First would be lifetime beh | 5 |
| 6 should say reliability to temperature variation | 6 Q. All right. So the sentence that begins |
| 7 in the lifetime of the display and that may be | 7 "Fig. 5 below shows," what do you mean by that |
| 8 different, quite apart from the initial | 8 statement |
| 9 properties of the two sealants, and there are | 9 A. Well, there's two sides to what I'm |
| 10 other considerations like that | 10 meaning there. First, Im observing that when |
| 11 Q. All right. But you would | 11 compared to the prior art that's disclosed in |
| 12 general, a stronger adhesive requires less width? | 12 Watanabe, Fig. 5 has a substantially wider seal |
| 13 A. In general I can agree to that. | 13 region by a factor of 8 or so, maybe 5. All |
| 14 Q. And would you give -- would you | 14 right. That's just what's illustrated in the |
| 15 that a given seal material has an optimum width | 15 figure |
| 16 that can be established? | 16 In addition, I'm observing that |
| 17 MR. SCHLITTER: | 17 also mean to say that central to the -- to the |
| 18 THE WITNESS: It depends on how you're | 18 disclosure in Watanabe is the presence of the |
| 19 defining "optimum." | 19 adjustment layers or adjusting layers -- let me |
| 20 BYMR. GIBSON | 20 get the term right -- the adjustment layers in the |
| 21 Q. A width that is neither too wide nor too | 21 sealing region around the lead portions. And by |
| 22 small. | 22 doing so, what he's of course trying to do is |
| $23$ | 23 create a more equal gap between the substrates. |
| 24 THE WITNESS: If you define it that way | 24 And when compared to the prior art, of |
| 25 then you can -- you can -- a person of ordinary | 25 course there's a less equal gap in the prior art. |
| Page 147 | Page 149 |
| 1 skill could find the optimum according to those | 1 And so if we look at Shiba, for example, Shiba |
| 2 constraints, whatever you want to define those | 2 improves his adhesion by having peaks and valleys, |
|  | 3 an uneven surface, which in Fig. 5 Watanabe is |
| 4 BY MR. GIBSON: | 4 removing or at least minimizing and decreasing by |
| 5 Q. Well, for example, you don't want to use | 5 the presence of those gap adjusting layers. So to |
| 6 more sealant material than you need, right? | 6 compensate for that, it seems that he is forced to |
| 7 A. As a general principle, I can agree wit | 7 widen the sealant region. |
|  | 8 Q. All right. So is it your testimony then |
| $9 \quad$ Q. And you don't want to use less than y | 9 that the width of the sealing region in Watanabe |
| 10 need, that wouldn't have good results? | 10 is -- it doesn't depend upon the seal material, |
| 11 A. As a general principle, I can agree wit | 11 but rather by the design of the gap adjusting |
| 12 that. | 12 layers? |
| 13 Q. If you look at -- I think it's page 55 | 13 A. That's not my testimony. |
| 14 of your declaration. | 14 Q. Well, what you said here was, Watanabe |
| 15 You have a couple figures there and | 15 is removing or at least minimizing and decreasing |
| 16 those are coming out of Watanabe? | 16 the presence of the gap adjustment -- adjusting |
| 17 A. Yes, the figures on page 55 are | 17 layers, so to compensate for that, it seems that's |
| 18 reproductions, without modification as far as I | 18 forced to widen the sealant region. |
| 19 can tell. Oh, I think in my declaration, I may | 19 And what I'm trying to understand is are |
| 20 have highlighted something in them, but they are | 20 you -- so you're saying he's widening the sealing |
| 21 Fig. 9 and Fig. 5 from Watanabe. | 21 region because he's using these gap adjusting |
| 22 Q. A | 22 layers? |
| 23 thought you made a statement regarding Fig. 5 . | 23 A. That's what he's showing. He's --he's |
| 24 Let me -- it's on the previous page. If you go | 24 not just illustrating the sealant region as being |
| 25 ahead and read that to yourself. | 25 wider for the convenience of his illustrating. |


| Page 150 | Page 152 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 He's widening it because he has removed unevenness | 1 Q. Where does it say that he will then |
| 2 from the seal region and, therefore, he needs a | 2 require wider space for the sealant? |
| 3 wider seal to get the same adhesive strength even | 3 A. He shows a wider space in all of his |
| 4 with the same materials as compared to the prior | 4 invention |
| 5 art that he ha | 5 Q. Where does he say that by decreasing the |
| $6 \quad \mathrm{Q}$. Is there any statement that your | 6 unevenness, he has to have a wider space for the |
| 7 basing this on or is it just on Fig. 5? | 7 sealant? |
| 8 A. I'm recognizing what's true about | 8 A. I don't recall that he says something to |
| 9 disclosure in Fig. 5 as compared to Fig. 9. I | 9 that regard. He discloses it in his |
| 10 don't think he states this, but I'll note that | 10 illustration |
| 11 it's also exactly the same principle that Shib | 11 Q. But there's nothing disclosed in the -- |
| 12 uses to narrow the seal region. | 12 in the text itself that this wider sealing range |
| 13 Q. All right. But is there anything | $13-$ - this wider sealing area is required? |
| 14 where -- is there anything that -- other th | 14 A. There is not a discussion of that in the |
| 15 Fig. 5 and your comparison to Fig. 9 of the prior | 15 specification, but the principle is -- is true |
| 16 art, is there anything else that you have to | 16 nonetheless. If he wants to keep the same seal |
| 17 support your statement? | 17 strength and he has removed unevenness, then he |
| 18 A. Well, in addition to that would be the | 18 will need a larger width to a seal region as he's |
| 19 other examples and embodiments that he has of | 19 illustrating. |
| 20 adjustment layers and all of them have a wider | 20 Q. What if he just uses stronger sealant? |
| 21 seal region. It seems to be every embodiment | 21 A. That might be d |
| 22 a wider seal region than the prior art that he's | 22 Q. He doesn't rule out using a stronger |
| 23 showing. | 23 seal |
| 24 Q. What are you referring to in particular? | 24 A. He doesn't speak about changing |
| 25 A. Well, I'm referring to -- we were just | 25 sealants. He's silent on that. |
| Page 151 | Page 153 |
| 1 talking about Fig. 5 and -- in compared with | 1 Q. Are there any quantitative measurements |
| 2 Fig. 9. Fig. 9 is the prior art and it does not | 2 that you're using to form this conclusion? |
| 3 have gap adjusting layers and clearly, Watanabe is | 3 A. What do you mean by "quantitative |
| 4 saying that that has a more uneven lead portion | 4 measurements"? |
| 5 surface underneath the sealant. | 5 Q. Dimensions, are there any dimensions |
| 6 So Fig. 5 adds those adjustment layers | 6 that you're using? |
| 7 and the unevenness is reduced and as a | 7 A. I'm observing the illustrations from the |
| 8 consequence, we also see that the seal region is | 8 figure and recognizing the effect of his invention |
| 9 wider. And that general trend is also true as | 9 on the evenness of the bottom substrate. |
| 10 well in Fig. 1, Fig. 6, Fig. 8A which has a seal. | 10 Q . And the figures themselves don't have |
| 11 region that is not a constant width, so it's a | 11 dimensions, correct? |
| 12 little more nuanced, but they all have a wider | 12 A. There's no scale provided for them, no. |
| 13 seal region than the prior art. | 13 MR. GIBSON: If we could change the |
| 14 Q. And you're observing that from just | 14 media and we'll take a break. |
| 15 looking at the proportions of the figures where | 15 VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record. This |
| 16 the sealing region is shown compared to the prior | 16 is the end of Media Unit Number 3. The time is |
| 17 art? | 17 3:15. |
| 18 A. I'm doing more than that. As I've just | 18 (Short recess.) |
| 19 explained, there's a technical reason why that | 19 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on record. |
| 20 should be. | 20 This is the beginning of Media Unit Number 4 in |
| 21 Q. All right. Is that technical reason | 21 the deposition of Dr. Michael Escuti. The time is |
| 22 explained by Watanabe? | 22 3:31. Please continue. |
| 23 A. To some extent it is explained. He's | 23 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 24 clearly reducing the unevenness of the bottom | 24 Q. And before we broke, we were looking at |
| 25 substrate that's central to his invention. | 25 some of the figures from Watanabe. |


| Page 15 | ge 156 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Would you agree that these figures are | 1 figure that you could understand? |
| 2 frequently not drawn to scale? | 2 A. In many cases, that is true. Of cours |
| 3 A. Are you referring to the figures | 3 that's not always true, but |
| 4 Watanabe patent? | 4 Q . Would you agree that in Fig. 5, the |
| 5 Q. The figures in patents in gener | 5 distinctive features are trying to show the |
| 6 you agree they're frequently not drawn to scale? | 6 absence of substrate gap adjusting regions? |
| 7 A. In general, that's -- that's true. | 7 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 8 would -- I would agree that most commonly scale is | 8 THE WITNESS: Maybe you mean -- you |
| 9 not provided in patent figures | 9 should rephr |
| 10 Q. And do you have any reason to belie | 10 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 11 that these are drawn to scale? | 11 Q . You mean the pre |
| 12 A. Well, based on the absolute dimensi | 12 A. Well, could you just restate the |
| 13 they're not likely drawn to scale. After all, | 13 question for me? I don't understand it. |
| 14 dimensions of the seal regions in all of this | 14 Q. All right. Fig. 5 is trying to show |
| 15 substantially similar to the area of the nine | 15 something regarding substrate gap adjusting |
| 16 pixels that are disclosed and, of course, ther | 16 regions, cor |
| 17 more than nine pixels in the displays that wo | $17$ <br> MR. SCHLITTER: |
| 18 typically be imagined here. | 18 THE WITNESS: Well, Fig. 5 is referred |
| 19 But the -- but most of my comments | 19 to as a plan view showing a liquid crystal display |
| 20 just discussed about refer to the relative | 20 apparatus according to the second embodiment of |
| 21 comparison between the figures, not the ab | 21 the present invention. There are gap adjusting |
| 22 Q. And the comparison you're making between | 22 layers identified, 25 and 27 , in that figure. |
| 23 the figures, is that what you're talking abo | $23 \text { BY MR. GIBSO }$ |
| 24 Fig. 9 to Fig. 5, for exam | 24 Q. And those are discussed in the te |
| 25 A. Well, for example, when comparing Fig. 5 | 25 the specification, correct? |
|  |  |
| 1 to Fig. 9, the pri | ussed |
| 2 to the other dimensions is substantially smaller | 2 in the text. |
| 3 as illustrated and the seal in Fig. 5 is larger as | 3 Q. And if you look at 3A |
| 4 illustrated. | 4 actually, let's look at -- I meant to look at |
| 5 Q. Would you expect that Fig. 9 is drawn | 5 '204, 3A and 3B. |
| 6 scale? | 6 A. So I've got 3 A and 3 B of the ' 204 before |
| 7 A. I don't suspect that any of thes |  |
| 8 figures are drawn to an absolute scale. | 8 Q. All right. And those are -- 3A is |
| 9 Q. Would you understand that artwork such | 9 showing an adjustment layer 301? |
| 10 as this in patents is designed to describe certain | 10 A. 3A shows multiple adjustment layers 301. |
| 11 features or to show distinctive features? | 11 Q. And 3B calls 301 an adjustment film, but |
| 12 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, foundatio | 12 you would understand that to be an adjustment |
| 13 form. | 13 layer? |
| 14 THE WITNESS: Well, that's my | 14 A. I understand that those are the same |
| 15 understanding, that the figures in patents are | 15 element and I don't think there's a difference |
| 16 meant to convey some kind of relationship between | 16 between the adjustment layer terminology and |
| 17 the elements and not an engineering drawing. | 17 adjustment film terminology. |
| 18 BYMR. GIBSON: | 18 Q. And would you agree that they're located |
| 19 Q. And they don't show all the -- usually a | 19 next to the external connection lines? |
| 20 figure doesn't show all the elements? | 20 A. One of the adjustment layers is adjacent |
| 21 A. It's quite common that a figure | 21 to the external connection lines but, of |
| 22 show all the elem | 22 there are many others that are not. The second |
| 23 Q. And | 23 one is to the left of the first adjustment laye |
| 24 where there are so many elem | 24 Q. Okay. But there is one adjustment laye |
| 25 to show them all, you probably wouldn't have a | 25 shown next to the external connection line in both |


| Page 158 | Page 160 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 13 A and 3 B ? | 1 two conductors that are labeled the external |
| 2 A. There is one shown that is next to the | 2 connection line are an external connections to |
| 3 first external connection lin | 3 something external to the sealant, whereas all the |
| 4 Q. And if you look at 6A and 6B of the '204 | 4 other adjustment layers that are conductors serve |
| 5 patent. | 5 to adjust the height difference. |
| 6 A. I see | 6 Q. Isn't their purpose to create a uniform |
| 7 Q. These show adjustment layers 501 and | 7 gap? |
| 8502 , is that correct? | 8 A. The adjustment layers would have that |
| 9 A. It does, both 6A and 6B include those | 9 purpose, y |
| 10 two element | 10 Q. And would you understand that to be the |
| 11 Q. And they're both below and next to the | 11 purpose in Claim 54 as well? |
| 12 external connection lines? | 12 A. The purpose of what in Claim 54? |
| 13 A. Some of them are below and some are to | 13 Q. To conduct -- the first and second |
| 14 the side and | 14 conductive layers? |
| 15 Q. Where are the first and second | 15 A. In Fig. 6A and 6B, I don't see a |
| 16 conductive layers in the ' 204 patent? | 16 structure that meets the limitations Claim 54. |
| 17 A. In these figures? | 17 Q. But Claim 54 does refer to a first and |
| 18 Q. Or with reference to these figures. | 18 second conductive layer, correct? |
| 19 A. Well, by the terms first and second | 19 A. It does, of course with many limitations |
| 20 conductive layers, are you referring to Claim 54 | 20 on it |
| 21 claim terms? | 21 Q. What's your understanding of the purpos |
| 22 Q. That's fine. You | 22 of those layers? |
| 23 A. Well, I think that the fi | 23 A. Are you referring to the layers that are |
| 24 conductive -- what's the language? Okay. The | 24 in 6A or are you referring to the first and second |
| 25 first conductive line over the | 25 conductive layers in Claim 54? |
| Page 159 | Page 161 |
| 1 has other limitations on it and that is not in | 1 Q. The latter. |
| 2 Fig. 6A and B. But I can, of course, identify | 2 A. The claim doesn't say what the purpose |
| 3 that there are two metal layers in 6A and 6B that | 3 of those are. It speaks to the relative |
| 4 are patterned for different purposes. | 4 relationship of the first and second conductor and |
| 5 Q. Okay. What are those? | 5 the connection between them and what's above them |
| 6 A. Well, the lower conductive layer that's | 6 and regions of -- of them, but doesn't say what |
| 7 shown in 6A and 6B is used to form multiple first | 7 the purpose of them is. |
| 8 adjustment layers 501, and the second conductive | 8 Q. What would one of ordinary skill in the |
| 9 layer that's been deposited and patterned forms | 9 art, after reading the '204 patent, learn would be |
| 10 both the external connection lines 108 and the 502 | 10 the purpose of having those two layers? |
| 11 second adjustment layers. | 11 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. |
| 12 Q. Do those overlap with the sealant? | 12 THE WITNESS: In Claim 54, one |
| 13 A. In 6A and 6B, all of those elements are | 13 possibility is what's illustrated in Fig. 4A, |
| 14 underneath the sealant. | 14 where there's a connection that goes across the |
| 15 Q. And what would you understand the | 15 sealant toward the display portion. So they serve |
| 16 purpose of the first and second conductive layers | 16 to connect the terminal to something inside the |
| 17 to be? | 17 display. |
| 18 A. Do you mean in these figures 6A and 6B? | 18 BYMR. GIBSON: |
| 19 Q. We can start with that. | 19 Q. Any other purpose? |
| 20 A. Well, I'll have to refresh my memory | 20 A. That's one example. There certainly |
| 21 the figures in the specification. In Figs. 6A and | 21 could be other purposes. |
| 226 B , a cross-section of a -- the cross-section of B | 22 Q. What would they be? |
| 23 to B prime, which appears most likely somewhere | 23 A. Can you rephrase the question for me to |
| 24 else in a plan view of the display -- I'm not sure | 24 be maybe more specific? |
| 25 where, we can find it -- but it appears that the | 25 Q. Yes. So what would one of ordinary |


| Page 162 | Page 164 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 skill in the art understand from reading the '204 | 1 A. I see it. |
| 2 patent would be the purpose of the first and | 2 Q. And that's located across the sealant? |
| 3 second conductive layers in Claim 54? | 3 A. As illustrated, those adjustment layers |
| 4 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form. | 4 do extend from one side to the other and also |
| 5 THE WITNESS: Another purpose would be | 5 extend away from the terminals. |
| 6 to reduce the unevenness in the gap, whether or | 6 Q. And they're in parallel to the external |
| 7 not they extend it all the way through the sealant | 7 connection lines? |
| 8 and into the display portion. | 8 A. In this portion it is, but clearly from |
| 9 BY MR. GIBSON: | 9 looking in Fig. 5, that's not the case everywhere. |
| 10 Q. Any other purpose? | 10 Q. But in 3A, that's what we're seeing, a |
| 11 A. Not that I can think of right now. | 11 parallel adjustment layer and external connection |
| 12 Q. If we look back at Watanabe, Watanabe | 12 lines? |
| 13 Fig. 5. | 13 A. In 3A, it shows the adjustment layers |
| 14 A. Yes. | 14 that are at least illustrated in parallel with the |
| 15 Q. There's gap adjusting layers 25 and 27? | 15 external connection lines. |
| 16 A. I see them. | 16 Q. And in 6A, which we looked at a minute |
| 17 Q. And what is the purpose of those? | 17 ago, the two adjustment layers 501 and 502 are |
| 18 A. The purpose of those gap adjusting | 18 located across the sealant? |
| 19 layers is to provide an equal gap between the two | 19 A. They do extend from one side of the |
| 20 substrates, ultimately to improve display image | 20 sealant to the next and underneath. |
| 21 quality and display image contrast as he says in | $21 \quad \mathrm{Q}$. And they're also in parallel to the |
| 22 Column 3. | 22 external connection lines? |
| 23 Q. Where in a typical LCD display is the | 23 A. While 501, the first adjustment layers |
| 24 sealant located? | 24 are in parallel, 502 is not. 502 is illustrated |
| 25 A. In the '204 patent, there are multiple | 25 as orthogonal. |
| Page 163 | Page 165 |
| 1 figures that -- that show it, Fig. 5, for example, | 1 Q. If we look at Shiba. |
| 2 and I think that's fairly emblematic. It's | 2 A. Which part? |
| 3 typically around most of the periphery of the | 3 Q. Oh, Fig. 3. I don't think we've talked |
| 4 display, but there needs to be an opening, at | 4 a lot about Fig. 3 yet. We wouldn't want to leave |
| 5 least one opening -- sometimes there's many | 5 that one out. |
| 6 openings -- so that the liquid crystal can be | 6 Are the signal lines identified as X1, |
| 7 filled after the seal has been applied and the two | $7 \mathrm{X} 2, \mathrm{X} 3, \mathrm{X} 4$ ? |
| 8 substrates have been joined. | 8 A. No. |
| 9 Q. And would you understand that the | 9 Q. What lines do you believe those are? |
| 10 substrate gap adjusting layers 25 and 27 overlap | 10 A. Those are referred to as the data lines, |
| 11 with the sealant in Watanabe? | 11 for example, Column 5, line 5. |
| 12 A. In Fig. 5, they completely overlap. In | 12 Q. Would you understand that one of |
| 13 other figures they partially overlap. | 13 ordinary skill in the art might use data and |
| 14 Q. And so you would agree that typically | 14 signal lines? |
| 15 the sealant is along the edge of the display; it's | 15 A. Yes. I think I'm getting tired and -- |
| 16 not, for example, in the middle of the display? | 16 and didn't hear them as essentially the same thing |
| 17 MR. SCHLITTER: Object to form. | 17 to a person of ordinary skill, but yeah, I agree |
| 18 THE WITNESS: It is typically on the | 18 that they -- they can be used interchangeably. |
| 19 periphery or edge of the display. | 19 Q. But I will agree with you that the |
| 20 BY MR. GIBSON: | 20 patent does call them data lines. |
| 21 Q. And if you could look at Fig. 3A of the | 21 A. I didn't mean to be so abrupt, but it's |
| 22 '204 patent. | 22 been a long -- long few hours. |
| 23 A. I see it. | 23 Q. I understand. |
| 24 Q. You see there's again adjustment layer | 24 The data lines, would you agree that |
| 25301 ? | 25 they're located across the sealing region, |


|  | Page 168 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 region 11 | 1 A. Fig. 3 is, after all, an expansion |
| 2 A. In Fig. 3, they're clearly illustrat | 2 the box labeled A in Fig. 1 and what's true in |
| 3 as going from the terminals across the sealant | 3 Fig. 3 should be true in Fig. 1 as well for that |
| 4 region and into the display portion or the -- | 4 portion. |
| 5 guess it's called the display area in Shiba. | 5 Q. And in Fig. 1, do you see where the scan |
| 6 Q. And if you look at Fig. 1, do you se | 6 lines are |
| 7 the data lines in Fig. | 7 A. I see where their driver is and where |
| 8 A. I don't see the lines themsel | 8 their wirings in those big blocks are, 7-21 to |
| 9 they're not illustrated X1, X2, X3, but there | 9 7-24, but I don't see the lines themselves. |
| 10 the elements 7-11 through 7-18 that hold the | 10 They're not illustrated. |
| 11 connections to the data lines and immediately | 11 Q. Would you agree the scan lines are going |
| 12 above them on the substrate would be the data | 12 to be fed into the display from the right-hand |
| 13 | 13 side of Fig. 1? |
| $14 \quad \mathrm{Q}$. So they're fed into the display from the | 14 A. I do agree, in a manner that's at least |
| 15 bottom of Fig. 1? | 15 similar and analogous to Fig. 3, they would extend |
| 16 A. That's -- that's generally correct, y | 16 from the wiring film and go underneath the sealant |
| 17 Q. And how do the data lines get their | 17 toward the display area. Although, the one |
| 18 signal in Shiba? | 18 important difference is they would also have to |
| 19 A. Do you mean | 19 cross the wiring 127 |
| 20 terminal regions around the data lines? | 20 Q. Right, because we don't have the wiring |
| 21 Q. Right. Isn't there a driver? | 21127 at the bottom of the rectangle? |
| 22 A. There may be. I don't recall. I'd have | 22 A. That's correct because it would short |
| 23 to look to find out. Maybe you can point me to | 23 all those 1 |
| 24 where that is. There is a driver board mentione | 24 Q. But you |
| 25 in, for example, Column 5. I don't think it's | 25 go in from the right-hand side and be connected to |
| Page 167 | Page 169 |
| 1 illustrated or numbered. | 1 the drivers you pointed out, 7-21 to 7-24? |
| 2 Q. Would you understand that driver board | 2 A. Yes, that's correct. |
| 3 would be at the bottom of Fig. 1? | 3 Q. Are the -- looking at the bottom side of |
| 4 A. The driver board could be -- could | 4 Fig. 1 and the right side of Fig. 1 where the |
| 5 arranged somewhere near the bottom of Fig. 1. | 5 lines are going in, how are they positioned |
| 6 It's not disclosed and I think there are many ways | 6 relative to the sealant? |
| 7 to do it. Certainly one could put it off to the | 7 A. I'll try to answer your question, but |
| 8 side and then connect it up that way. But I think | 8 you may need to rephrase it. The data lines and |
| 9 a very common way to do it would be to have the | 9 the scan lines extend across the sealant in a way |
| 10 driver board at the bottom or near the bottom of | 10 that might be characterized as orthogonal to it,' |
| 11 Fig. 1. | 11 not parallel to the sealant. |
| 12 Q. And in Fig. 1, would | 12 Q. Could you call it transverse? |
| 13 these data lines to go across the sealant region | 13 A. I think that's an alternate way to |
| 14 the same way it's shown in Fig. 3? | 14 express it, yeah. |
| 15 A. I'm sorry. I should correct myself | 15 Q. And if you look at Fig. 3 again of |
| 16 very briefly. There are identified the X driver | 16 Shiba, you can see wiring lines 127? |
| 17 circuit boards 800 and the Y driver circuit boards | 17 A. Yes, I see that. That would be an |
| 18900 , and it's that wide rectangle that's at the | 18 example of something that's not transverse. |
| 19 bottom and the right side respectively of Fig. 1. | 19 Q. They would be parallel to the sealant? |
| 20 So they are numbered. They are illustrated. | 20 A. Most of wiring 127 is largely parallel |
| 21 Q. Okay. Appreciate that. | 21 to the sealant, yes. Of course there are portions |
| 22 So the data lines that are going into | 22 where that's not true, but they're very small. |
| 23 Fig. 1 from the bottom, would you understand those | 23 Q. And would you -- do you see that those |
| 24 would go across the sealant region the same way as | 24 wiring lines 127 cover the region 111 of the |
| 25 shown in Fig. 3? | 25 sealant in places? |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. I might reverse the order of that. The | 1 A. Yes, that's correct. |
| 2 seal region 111 covers a substantial portion of | 2 Q. Would you agree that the gap adjusting |
| 3 wiring 127, at least four of the lines. Two of | 3 layers that are described in Watanabe can also be |
| 4 the lines are, of course, inside the seal region | 4 made from the same material that's used to form |
| 5 and not under it | 5 the signal lines? |
| 6 Q. And then there's several that are unde | 6 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form and |
| 7 | 7 foundation |
| 8 A. There are several that are under it and | 8 THE WITNESS: Well, Column 12 and |
| 9 those are pictured in Fig. 6. | 9 beginning in line roughly 49 and then that |
| 10 Q . And then would you agree that there ar | 10 following paragraph discusses that issue and I |
| 11 portions of the sealant region that have no wiring | 11 think maybe more generally says that the material |
| 12 lines 127 ? | 12 of the substrate gap adjusting layers 25 and 27 is |
| 13 A. I do agree. For example, in Fig. 1, the | 13 the same, in this embodiment at least, as the |
| 14 entire bottom horizontal portion of the seal does | 14 material used in the first embodiment. And then |
| 15 not have wiring 127 under it. | 15 he goes on to clarify it could be used as the same |
| 16 Q. But even in Fig. 3, you can see areas | 16 material as the signal lines and so on. |
| 17 where there's sealant and no wires, correct? | 17 BY MR. GIBSON: |
| 18 A. Yes. | 18 Q. So you would agree? |
| 19 Q. And looking back at Watanabe, would you | 19 A. That's -- I do agree that's what's |
| 20 agree that Watanabe discloses an adjustment layer? | 20 disclosed in Watanabe. |
| 21 A. To be precise, he calls it a gap | 21 Q. So let's look back at Shiba for a |
| 22 adjusting layer and he discloses several kinds. | 22 mome |
| 23 Q. Is that the gap adjusting layer | 23 In Fig. 4 where you identified Item 741, |
| 24 electrically isolated from the auxiliary line? | 24 that's on the counter substrate, correct? |
| 25 MR. SCHLITTER: Objection, form -- | 25 A. Yes, the connecting protrusion 741 and |
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| 1 THE WITNESS: I don't -- | 1 its brothers and sisters are on the counter |
| 2 MR. SCHLITTER: -- and foundation. | 2 substrate. |
| 3 THE WITNESS: I don't think that | 3 Q. And that's where you say the sealant is |
| 4 Watanabe references an auxiliary line at all. | 4 contacting an ITO layer is on the counter |
| 5 BY MR. GIBSON: | 5 substrate, is that correct? |
| 6 Q. Is the -- you say there's multiple gap | 6 A. I am saying that, but it's not limited |
| 7 adjustment layers. | 7 to that. As you can see, 741 is part of what it |
| 8 Do you agree there's at least two in | 8 -- what the sealant 113 contacts. But as the |
| 9 Watanabe? | 9 layer -- the ITO layer comes up -- well, I should |
| 10 A. There's at least two designs of those | 10 say it comes diagonally down and then across the |
| 11 adjusting layers. | 11 display, it's -- it's labeled 541. So it touches |
| 12 Q. And you have a 25 and a 27 in Watanabe, | 12 both of those regions. |
| 13 correct? | 13 Q. But that's on the counter substrate |
| 14 A. In Fig. 5, there's adjusting layer 25 | 14 side? |
| 15 and 27 , and they correspond to those that are near | 15 A. It is all on the counter substrate side. |
| 16 the scan and data lines respectively. | 16 Q. And there is no sealant touching the ITO |
| 17 Q. Is 25 electrically isolated? | 17 on the substrate side? |
| 18 A. All of the adjusting layers in Fig. 5 | 18 A. Not that's disclosed in Shiba. |
| 19 are said to be electrically isolated from the lead | 19 Q. And if you look at Fig. 6, the sealant |
| 20 portions that they're next to. | 20 that you're saying is touching an ITO layer is |
| 21 Q. They're electrically isolated from the | 21 again on the counter substrate? |
| 22 TFTs? | 22 A. In Fig. 6 it's even more clear and yes, |
| 23 A. That's correct. | 23 it's the counter substrate that has the ITO |
| 24 Q. And they're electrically isolated from | 24 directly touching at least partially the sealant. |
| 25 the flexible printed circuit? | 25 Q. And the sealant at the -- on the |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 substrate is not touching the ITO layer, correct? | 1 the substrate |
| 2 A. Well, just to be clear, the other | 2 Q. Which we would understand to be an array |
| 3 substrate we're talking about is element 200 i | 3 substrate based on the fact that it's got a TFT |
| 4 this answer and the question previously and that's | 4 and pixel electrodes and it's for an LCD display, |
| 5 called the array substrate. So yes, I agree the | 5 corre |
| 6 sealant is not touching the ITO on the array | 6 A. Well, that's not the language of this |
| 7 substrate or element 200. | 7 specificat |
| 8 Q. Now, if you look at the '204 patent, | 8 Q. I understand. But someone of ordinary |
| 9 we look at Claim 54. | 9 skill in the art would understand that we're |
| 10 A. I've got | 10 talking about the array substrate there, not the |
| 11 Q. And in Claim 54, we're talking about the | 11 counter substrate? |
| 12 array substrate when we look at the first use of | 12 A. Well, the language of array substrate is |
| 13 the word "substrate"? | 13 what's used in Shiba. I'm simply holding to the |
| 14 A. If the substrate has thin film | 14 language that the spec in -- in the ' 204 which |
| 15 transistors and pixel electrodes and it is an L | 15 refers to these two substrates as simply a |
| 16 device, then yes, it would correspond to the array | 16 substrate in Claim 54 which, yes, would include |
| 17 substrate in Shiba. | 17 the thin film transistors and the active matrix |
| 18 Q. All right. And we know in the very | 18 electronics and the counter substrate, which would |
| 19 bottom line on Column 19, it says a counter | 19 be the other one. And certainly nearly all of the |
| 20 substrate facing a substrate. | 20 limitations in Column 20 apply to the substrate |
| 21 Do you see that? | 21 which would have the active matrix on it. |
| 22 A. Yes, I do. | 22 Q. And would that also be the same for |
| 23 Q. Is there any other mention about what | 23 Claim 31? |
| 24 the counter substrate is going to be -- strike | 24 A. I'll have to |
| 25 that. | 25 Claim 31 includes in its first few |
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| 1 Is there any mention in the -- in | 1 limitations a very similar set that refers to the |
| 2 Claim 54 about what is going to be on the counter | 2 substrate having thin film transistors and a |
| 3 substrate? | 3 counter substrate facing that first substrate. So |
| 4 A. Claim 54 is silent on that | 4 what we just talked about in Claim 54 I think |
| 5 Q. So the limitations about what's on the | 5 applies to Claim 31. |
| 6 substrate -- strike that. | 6 MR. GIBSON: All right. Why don't we |
| 7 The limitations that follow in claim -- | 7 take a break? I want to check my notes and then |
| 8 in Column 20 apply to what is on the array | 8 I'll probably wrap up. |
| 9 substrate, correct? | 9 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. |
| 10 A. Except for the first limitation, I think | 10 The time is 4:13. |
| 11 that's correct, at least for Claim 54. | 11 (Short recess.) |
| 12 Q. And the limitation you're referring to | 12 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on record. |
| 13 is a liquid crystal material provided between the | 13 The time is $4: 24$. Please continue. |
| 14 substrate and the counter substrate? | 14 MR. GIBSON: I don't have any further |
| 15 A. That's correct. | 15 questions at this point, but I'll reserve my right |
| 16 Q. So we know there's going to be a liquid | 16 to ask additional questions if you ask questions. |
| 17 crystal material in between the two substrates | 17 MR. SCHLITTER: Okay. I have a topic. |
| 18 from that limitation, correct? | 18 EXAMINATION |
| 19 A. From that limitation, of course the | 19 BY MR. SCHLITTER: |
| 20 first one where it's called the liquid crystal | 20 Q. So would you please refer to the '204 |
| 21 display device. | 21 patent? |
| 22 Q. All right. And then everything after | 22 A. I've got it. |
| 23 that is applying to what's on the array substrate, | 23 Q. Do you recall what the objectives are of |
| 24 correct? | 24 the invention that's described in the '204 patent |
| 25 A. It applies to what's referred to here as | 25 or any of the inventions that's described in the |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 '204 patent? | 1 that region and that could be serving one of the |
| 2 A. In the '204 patent, there's multiple | 2 other objectives I mentioned. |
| 3 objectives and one of them certainly is to provide | 3 BY MR. SCHLITTER: |
| 4 a reduced resistance from the terminal portion to | 4 Q. You also mentioned unevenness. Is there |
| 5 the display portion. | 5 any limitation in Claim 31 that relates to the |
| 6 Q. Is there any oth | 6 objective of preventing unevenness of the gap? |
| 7 A. There's a -- another that involves the | 7 A. Yes, certainly. There's an adjustment |
| 8 objective of reducing the gap unevenness by means | 8 layer that's identified and that adjustment layer |
| 9 of the adjustment layers that are provided. | 9 must extend under the sealant and that goes to |
| 10 Q. Any other objectives that you can | 10 that objective. |
| 11 recall? | 11 Q. Are there any limitations in Claim 31 |
| 12 A. There are two other objectives, as best | 12 that relate to the objective of providing strong |
| 13 I recall. One of them is to provide a strong | 13 adhesion of the sealant? |
| 14 adhesion of the sealant to the lower substrate. | 14 MR. GIBSON: Objection, scope. |
| 15 And the last objective I can recall is to provide | 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, there is. There's a |
| 16 a reliable connection to the FPC in the terminal | 16 limitation that says the sealant is in direct |
| 17 portion. | 17 contact with the second insulating film and so |
| 18 Q. Would you refer, please, to Claim 31? | 18 that's toward that objective. |
| 19 A. I've got it. | 19 BY MR. SCHLITTER: |
| 20 Q. Do you see that one of the limitations | 20 Q. Is there any limitation -- well, are |
| 21 in Claim 31 is an auxiliary line? | 21 there any other limitations in Claim 31 that |
| 22 A. I do see in Claim 31 the auxiliary line | 22 relate to the objective of stronger adhesion? |
| 23 limitation | 23 MR. GIBSON: Objection, scope. |
| 24 Q. And another limitation is an external | 24 THE WITNESS: Well, at least indirectly, |
| 25 connection lin | 25 the transparent conductive film is expressly not |
| Page 179 | Page 181 |
| 1 A. Yes, and there's several limitations on | 1 in contact with the sealant. There's a limitation |
| 2 that external connection line. | 2 that says the flexible printed circuit over an |
| 3 . Q. What is the objective of the '204 patent | 3 electrical contact with the external connection |
| 4 with respect to the adjustment -- strike that. | 4 line through a transparent conductive film. |
| 5 What is the objective of the '204 patent | $5 \quad$ So there is a transparent conductive |
| 6 with respect to the auxiliary line and the | 6 film involved and the next limitation that I |
| 7 external connection line? | 7 already read about, the sealant, dictates that it |
| 8 MR. GIBSON: Objection, scope. | 8 should not -- it should not be under the seal. |
| 9 THE WITNESS: Well, the objective in | 9 BY MR. SCHLITTER: |
| 10 that case has to do with the -- reducing the | 10 Q. Are there any limitations in Claim 31 |
| 11 resistance of the connection from the terminal | 11 that relate to the objective of providing a |
| 12 portion at least partially into the sealant but | 12 reliable connection to the FPC? |
| 13 potentially beyond. | 13 MR. GIBSON: Objection, scope. |
| 14 BY MR. SCHLITTER: | 14 THE WITNESS: The limitation I just read |
| 15 Q. What -- are there any limitations in | 15 about the flexible printed circuit goes to that |
| 16 Claim 31 that relate to the objective of lowering | 16 end. It's meant to be an electrical contact with |
| 17 resistance? | 17 the external connection line through the |
| 18 A. Well, those -- those two lines and the | 18 transparent conductive film. |
| 19 connection that's formed between them, the | 19 BY MR. SCHLITTER: |
| 20 electrical connection, would serve that objective. | 20 Q. Is there any limitation in Claim 54 |
| 21 Q. Would they serve any other objective? | 21 relating to the objective of lowering resistance? |
| 22 MR. GIBSON: Objection, scope. | 22 MR. GIBSON: Objection, scope. |
| 23 THE WITNESS: They may. They also | 23 THE WITNESS: Well, after this long day, |
| 24 present a difference in height under the seal | 24 I think my answer is still the same, that there's |
| 25 region and so they could provide a given height in | 25 an auxiliary line that's provided, there's an |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 external connection line that needs to overlap it | 1 the sealant. |
| 2 and have electrical contact to it, and this can be | 2 BY MR. SCHLITTER: |
| 3 used toward that objective | 3 Q. Are there any limitations in Clain |
| 4 BY MR. | 4 the '204 |
| 5 Q. Are there any limitations in Claim | 5 providing strong adhesion of the sealant? |
| 6 relating to the objective of preventing unevenness | 6 MR. GIBSON: Objection, scope. |
| 7 of the gap between the substrates? | 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, there is. There is |
| 8 A. Yes, the adjustment layer that's | 8 sealant that is limited to be in direct contact |
| 9 provided in the limitation says an adjustment | 9 with the second insulating film. |
| 10 layer -- at least part of the adjustment layer | 10 BY MR. SCHLITTE |
| 11 extending under the sealant goes toward th | 11 Q. Do any of the other elements of Claim 54 |
| 12 objective. | 12 pertain to this objective -- |
| 13 I'm sorry. I just noticed | 13 MR. GIBSON: Objection, scope. |
| 14 second round of questions, you started to | 14 BY MR. SCHLITTER: |
| 15 about Claim 54 instead of Claim 31 and I didn't | 15 Q. -- of providing strong adhesion of the |
| 16 track that. So I apologize. Maybe you should -- | 16 sealant? |
| 17 Q. This is why I can't find it. | 17 MR. GIBSON: Objection, scope. |
| 18 A. -- re-ask -- ask me again. As I said, | 18 THE WITNESS: The other elements ref |
| 19 it's been a long day. I'm sorry. Could you | 19 to a transparent conductive layer that are over a |
| 20 perhaps ask me again? | 20 first region of the second conductive line and |
| 21 Q. Okay. With respect to Claim 54, | 21 it's clear that the FPC is meant to connect in |
| 22 there any limitations in Claim 54 that relate to | 22 that region as well, and that also corresponds to |
| 23 the objective of reducing resistance? | 23 allowing the sealant to connect directly to the |
| 24 MR. GIBSON: Objection, scope | 24 second insulating film without the ITO below it. |
| 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, there's a first |  |
| 83 | Page 185 |
| 1 conductive line, a second conductive line and | 1 BY MR. SCHLIT |
| 2 these are both mentioned that -- to have a | 2 Q. Are there any limitations in Claim 54 |
| 3 limitation where they are in electrical contact | 3 that relate to providing a reliable connection of |
| 4 and this is -- these are the elements that serve | 4 the FPC? |
| 5 that objective. | 5 MR. GIBSON: Objection, scope. |
| 6 BY MR. SCHLITTER: | 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, there is. There's a |
| 7 Q. Are there any elements of Claim 54 that | 7 limitation that reads the second conductive line |
| 8 relate to preventing unevenness of the gap between | 8 and the flexible printed circuit are in electrical |
| 9 the substrates? | 9 contact through the transparent conductive layer. |
| 10 A. Yes, there's a conductive layer over the | 10 MR. GIBSON: Why don't you go off the |
| 11 substrate that's provided for that purpose. | 11 record? |
| 12 Q . Is there any other limitation relating | 12 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the |
| 13 to the conductive layer that pertains to the | 13 record. The time is $4: 38$. |
| 14 objective of preventing unevenness of the gap? | 14 (Short recess.) |
| 15 MR. GIBSON: Objection, form. | 15 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on record. |
| 16 THE WITNESS: Well, the full claim | 16 The time is 4:40. Please continue. |
| 17 limitation that mentions a conductive layer reads | 17 BY MR. SCHLITTER: |
| 18 a conductive layer over the substrate. And then | 18 Q. Earlier today you were asked about |
| 19 an additional limitation says wherein the | 19 Example 3 of the '204 patent and Figs. 4A and 4B |
| 20 conductive layer is electrically isolated from the | 20 and you said that redundancy was implicit in the |
| 21 other conductive elements that are listed. | 21 structure that's disclosed in the '204 patent. |
| 22 And there's another limitation that | 22 Do you recall that? |
| 23 identifies that the sealant should overlap | 23 A. I vaguely recall that discussion. |
| 24 least part of the conductive layer to provide | 24 Q. Are there any limitations in the -- |
| 25 that -- a reduction of the unevenness underneath | 25 Claim 31 that relate to redundancy? |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. There are no limitations in Claim 31 or | 1 well, in 1997 it was well-known to one of ordinary |
| 2 Claim 54 that require that, but if the tor | 2 skill in the art that if you had two lines running |
| 3 conductors in both of those claims are connecte | 3 in parallel, that could lower the resistance |
| 4 through the first inter-layer | 4 versus just having one l |
| 5 insulating film, there will be at least partia | 5 A. That general principle was well-known by |
| 6 redundancy by that connection | 61997 and Shiba shows a good example of that. |
| 7 Q. Is it necessary to know the | 7 Q. And in terms of the -- we covered som |
| 8 which a structure is made in order to determin | 8 of this in the '403 (sic) -- the '204 doesn't |
| 9 whether it is covered by Claim 31 or Claim 54? | 9 describe any sort of problem with sealant |
| 10 MR. GIBSON: Objection, form. | 10 connections, correct? |
| 11 THE WITNESS: It is certainly not | 11 A. In your question you referred to '403. |
| 12 required to know the process by which it's m | 12 I assume you mean the '413? |
| 13 determine if it meets Claim 51 (sic) or the | 13 Q. I'm sorry. The '413 we talked about |
| 14 structure in Claim 54 | 14 yesterday. |
| 15 MR. SCHLITTER: What specifically was | 15 A. Well, the specification is the sam |
| 16 your objection? | 16 both of those patents. So whatever I said about |
| 17 MR. GIBSO | 17 that applies to the '204 |
| 18 MR. SCHLITTER: In what respect? In | 18 Q. Right. There's nothing in the '204 |
| 19 what respect? | 19 patent that discusses that somehow the prior art |
| 20 MR. GIBSON: The -- let me | 20 as having trouble having the sealant connect |
| 21 the question. The question was compound and | 21 the substrate or bond with the substrate? |
| 22 vague. | 22 A. There is -- as I've said in ou |
| 23 BYMR. S | 23 discussion about the ' 413 specification, that |
| 24 Q. Let me restate the question | 24 there is no explicit discussion of that in the |
| 25 Is it necessary to know the process by | 25 '204 specification. |
| Pag | Page 189 |
| 1 which a structure is -- has been made in order to | 1 Q. And, in fact, it was well-known in the |
| 2 determine whether it is covered by Claim 31? | 2 art that a sealant would bond better with an |
| 3 MR. GIBSON: Objection, form. | 3 insulating layer than a transparent conductive |
| 4 THE WITNESS: That is not required. | 4 layer as of 1997, correct? |
| 5 BY MR. SCHLITTER: | 5 A. As a matter of general principle, that |
| 6 Q. When I say "covered by Claim 31," do you | 6 was known, but as we talked about also in that |
| 7 understand that to mean whether it infringes | 7 discussion, that's subject to other constraints |
| 8 Claim 31? | 8 and Shiba shows an example of that as well. |
| 9 A. That's how I'm understanding your | 9 Q. All right. But you would agree with me |
| 10 question, yes | 10 that it was well-known to people of ordinary skill |
| 11 Q. Do you need to know the process by which | 11 in the art in 1997 that a sealant would bond |
| 12 a structure is made in order to determine whether | 12 better with an insulating layer than an ITO lay |
| 13 it infringes Claim 54? | 13 correct? |
| 14 MR. GIBSON: Objection, form. | 14 A. As a general matter, yes. |
| 15 THE WITNESS: A person of ordinary skill | 15 MR. GBSSON: I have nothing furthe |
| 16 does not need to know that to determine | 16 MR. SCHLITTER: I have nothing further. |
| 17 infringement of Claim 54. To be clear, does not | 17 VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the |
| 18 necessarily need to know that. | 18 videotaped deposition of Dr. Michael Escuti and |
| 19 MR. SCHLITTER: I have no further | 19 the end of Media Unit Number 4. The time is 4:47. |
| 20 questions. | 20 We're now off record. |
| 21 MR. GIBSON: I have a coup | 21 (Whereupon, the following proceedings |
| 22 follow-up questio | 22 were had off the video record:) |
| 23 EXAMINATION (Further) | 23 MR. GIBSON: So after discussing a |
| 24 BY MR. GIBSO | 24 couple of the exhibits that were marked, we |
| 25 Q. Would you agree with me that if you -- | 25 decided to mark them with additional numbers next |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 in order. The '204 patent, which the witness made | 1 CERTIFICATE OF CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER |
| 2 some annotations on, we're going to mark as | 2 I, Sandra L. Rocca, a State of Illinois |
| 3 Exhibit 1012. And the Shiba patent, which is | 3 licensed Certified Shorthand Reporter, License No. |
| 4 Patent No. 5,684,555, where the witness made some | 4 084-003435, do hereby certify: |
| 5 markings on, we are going to mark that as | 5 That on the 6th day of September, 2013, |
| 6 Exhibit 1013. | 6 at 9:49 a.m., 115 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, |
| 7 MR. MANZO: You hadn't used those | 7 Illinois, the deponent MICHAEL J. ESCUTI, Ph.D. |
| 8 numbers before. | 8 personally appeared before me; |
| 9 MR. GIBSON: Not today. | 9 That the said MICHAEL J. ESCUTI, Ph.D. |
| 10 MR. SCHLITTER: That's fine. | 10 was duly sworn by me to testify and that the |
| 11 (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded | 11 foregoing was stenographically recorded and |
| 12 at 4:50 p.m.) | 12 constitutes a true record of the testimony given |
| 13 | 13 and the proceedings had at the aforesaid |
| 14 | 14 deposition; |
| 15 | 15 That the deposition terminated at |
| 16 | 16 4:50 p.m.; |
| 17 | 17 That the reading and signing of the |
| 18 | 18 deposition was not waived, and the deposition was |
| 19 | 19 submitted for signature. Pursuant to Rule 30(e) |
| 20 | 20 of the Rules of Civil Procedure, if deponent does |
| 21 | 21 not appear or read and sign the deposition within |
| 22 | 2230 days, or make other arrangements for reading |
| 23 | 23 and signing, the deposition may be used as fully |
| 24 | 24 as though signed, and this certificate will then |
| 25 | 25 evidence such failure to appear as the reason for |
| : Page 191 | Page 193 |
| 1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD | 1 signature not being obtained; |
| $2$ | 2 That I am not counsel for nor related to |
| 3 INNOLUX CORPORATION, ) | 3 any of the parties herein, nor a relative or |
| 4 Petitioner, ) | 4 employee of such attorney or counsel for any of |
| 4 Petitioner, ) ) | 5 the parties hereto, nor am I interested directly |
| 5 vs. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ IPR2013-00068 | 6 or indirectly in the outcome hereof. |
| ) U.S. Pat. No. | 7 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set |
| 6 SEMCONDUCTOR ENERGY ) $8,066,204$ LABORATORY CO., LTD., ) | 8 my hand and seal of office this day of |
| $7$ ) | 9 , 2013. |
| 8 Patent Owner. ) | 10 |
| 9 I, MICHAEL J. ESCUTI, Ph.D., being first | 11 |
| 10 duly sworn, on oath say that I am the deponent in | 12 SANDRA L. ROCCA, CSR, RPR, RMR, CRR |
| 11 the aforesaid deposition taken on September 6, | CSR License No. 084-003435 |
| 12 2013; that I have read the foregoing transcript of 13 my deposition, consisting of pages 1 through 193 | 13 Expires May 31, 2015 |
| 14 inclusive, and affix my signature to same. | 14 |
| $15$ | 15 |
| 16 _ as it now appears with corrections | 16 |
| 17 | 17 |
| 18 M MCHAEL J. ESCUTI, Ph.D. | 18 |
| 20 | 19 |
| SUBSCRIBED and sworn to | 20 |
| 21 before me this_ day of | 21 |
| $22 \longrightarrow$ | 22 |
|  | 23 |
| 23 Notary Public | 24 |
| 25 | 25 |
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