

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INNOLUX CORPORATION
(formerly CHI MEI INNOLUX CORPORATION)

Petitioner

v.

SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY
LABORATORY CO., LTD.

Patent OWNER

Case IPR2013-00066

Patent 7,876,413

**PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION
PRO HAC VICE OF STANLEY M. GIBSON
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.10**

Mail Stop "PA TENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.10 and the Board's "Order Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission – 37 C.F.R. §42.10," entered on December 5, 2012, Petitioner Chi Mei Innolux Corporation (now known as Innolux Corporation) requests that the Board admit Stanley M. Gibson *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

37 C.F.R. §42.10(c). The facts, supported by the attached Declaration of Stanley M. Gibson in Support of Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* ("Gibson Decl."), establish good cause to admit Mr. Gibson *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

1. Lead counsel Scott A. McKeown is a registered practitioner and is experienced in *inter partes* proceedings in the USPTO.
2. Backup counsel Gregory S. Cordrey is a registered practitioner and is experienced in *inter partes* proceedings in the USPTO.

3. Stanley M. Gibson is an experienced litigation attorney. Mr. Gibson has been a litigating attorney for more than 20 years. (Gibson Decl. ¶ 1.) Mr. Gibson has been litigating patent cases for approximately twelve of those years. (*Id.* ¶ 2.) Mr. Gibson is a member in good standing of the California State Bar, with no suspensions or disbarments from practice, nor any application for admission to practice denied, nor any sanctions or contempt citations, and is admitted to practice in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and the United States District Courts for the Southern, Central and Northern Districts of California. (*Id.* ¶¶ 3-6.)

4. Mr. Gibson has familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding based on his work as lead counsel in the pending district court case *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chi Mei Innolux Corp., et al.*, SACV12-0021-JST (C.D. Cal.), which involves the same patent at issue in this proceeding. (*Id.* ¶ 7.) Mr. Gibson has been actively involved in all aspects of the pending district court case, including the issue of validity of the patents-in-suit. (*Id.* ¶¶ 7-8.)

5. Mr. Gibson has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules for Practice for Trials set forth

in part 42 of the C.F.R., and he agrees to be subject to the USPTO Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§10.20 *et seq.*, and to disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. §11.19(a). (*Id.* ¶¶ 9-10.)

6. Patent Owners Yoshiharu Hirakata and Shunpei Yamazaki, have indicated that this Motion will not be opposed.

7. On March 29, 2013, Mr. Gibson applied to appear pro hac vice before the Office in Inter Partes Review Cases IPR2013-00028 and IPR2013-00038. On April 2, 2013, the Office granted these motions for pro hac vice admission.

III. ANALYSIS

The facts contained in the Statement of Facts above, and contained in the Gibson Declaration, establish that there is good cause to admit Mr. Gibson *pro hac vice* in this proceeding under 37 C.F.R. §42.10. Lead counsel are registered practitioners, Mr. Gibson is an experienced litigation attorney, and Mr. Gibson has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully request that the Board admit Stanley M. Gibson *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

No. 22850).

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: April 30, 2013

/Scott A. McKeown/

Scott A. McKeown (Reg. No. 42,866)

Lead Attorney for Petitioner

Innolux Corporation

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.

1940 Duke Street

Alexandria, Virginia 22314

703-413-3000

Gregory S. Cordrey (Reg. No. 190,144)

Back-up Counsel for Petitioner

Innolux Corporation

JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER &

MITCHELL LLP

3 Park Plaza, Suite 1100

Irvine, CA 92614

Tel: (949) 623-7236

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.