

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INNOLUX CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY LABORATORY CO., LTD.
Patent Owner

Cases IPR2013-00060 (Patent 7,697,102 B2) (SCM)
IPR2013-00064 (Patent 7,923,311 B2)
IPR2013-00065 (Patent 7,923,311 B2)¹

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KARL D. EASTHOM, and KEVIN F. TURNER,
Administrative Patent Judges.

MEDLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

On May 28, 2013, the following individuals participated in the initial

¹ This order addresses the initial call held for all three cases. We exercise discretion to issue one order to be filed in each of the three cases. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading in subsequent papers since

conference call:²

- (1) Mr. Scott McKeown and Mr. Gregory Cordrey, counsel for Innolux;
- (2) Mr. Sean Flood, Mr. Stanley Schlitter, and Mr. Douglas Peterson, counsel for SEL; and
- (3) Sally Medley, Karl Easthom, and Kevin Turner, Administrative Patent Judges.

Motions List

In preparation for the initial call, SEL filed a motions list for IPR2013-00060 (Paper 16), but not for IPR2013-00064 and IPR2013-00065. Innolux did not file a motions list for any of the cases. Counsel for Innolux confirmed that Innolux does not seek to file any motions.

Motion to Amend

During the call, counsel for SEL represented that at this time, SEL does not intend to file a motion to amend in any of the three related proceedings. As discussed, if SEL determines that it will file a motion to amend in any of IPR2013-00060, IPR2013-00064 or IPR2013-00065, SEL must arrange a conference call soon thereafter with the Board and opposing counsel to discuss the proposed motion to amend.

Schedule

Counsel for the respective parties indicated that they have agreed to extend

doing so may cause confusion.

² The initial conference call is held to discuss the Scheduling Order and any motions that the parties anticipate filing during the trial. Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 *Fed. Reg.* 48756, 48765 (Aug. 14, 2012).

Due Dates 1-3 by a week for IPR2013-00060. The parties were informed that they should file promptly a notice indicating the change. No other issues were raised with respect to the Scheduling Orders entered for the three related proceedings.

Settlement

There was no report of settlement.

Order

It is

ORDERED that no motions are authorized at this time.

PETITIONER:

Scott A. McKeown
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, LLP
cpdocketmckeown@oblon.com

Gregory S. Cordrey
JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP
gcordrey@jmbm.com

PATENT OWNER:

Eric J. Robinson
Sean C. Flood
Robinson Intellectual Property Law Office, PC
erobinson@riplo.com
sflood@riplo.com

Mark J. Murphy
Edward D. Manzo
HUSCH BLACKWELL LLP
mark.murphy@huschblackwell.com
edward.manzo@huschblackwell.com

Stanley A. Schlitter
Douglas R. Peterson
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
sschlitt@steptoe.com
dpeterson@steptoe.com