

SEL EXHIBIT NO. 2011

INNOLUX CORP. v. PATENT OF SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY
LABORATORY CO., LTD.

IPR2013-00064

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INNOLUX CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

PATENT OF SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY LABORATORY CO., LTD.

Patent Owner

CASE IPR2013-00064; and

CASE IPR2013-00065

PATENT 7,923,311

DECLARATION OF ALEX Z. KATTAMIS PH.D. P.E.

I, Alex Z. Kattamis, do hereby declare and state that all statements made herein are based on my own personal knowledge and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true. I further do hereby declare and state that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements are punishable by fine or imprisonment or both under 18 U.S.C. § 1001.



Dated: July 29, 2013

Alex Z. Kattamis

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background and Qualifications	1
B. Compensation	2
C. Information Considered.....	3
II. LEGAL STANDARD OF PATENTABILITY	3
A. Anticipation.....	3
B. Obviousness.....	4
C. The Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	7
D. Claim Construction	8
III. The '311 Patent	9
A. The Background of the '311 Patent	9
B. The Invention of the '311 Patent.....	16
a) The “Step-Like” Structure	16
b) Etching to form Source and Drain Regions “without Removing said Resist” and “Using said Resist”	21
IV. Summary of Opinions	22
V. Summary of the Asserted Art.....	24
A. Taniguchi.....	24
B. Mori	29
C. Van Zant.....	34
D. Kato Reference	35
VI. Detailed Analysis	36

A. Taniguchi in view of Mori does not render Claims 9, 10, 15, 48, and 51 obvious.....	36
B. There is no motivation to combine Taniguchi with Mori.....	50
C. Taniguchi in view of Mori and Van Zant does not render Claim 11 obvious.....	78
D. Taniguchi in view of Mori and Kato does not render Claims 17, 18, 19, and 52 obvious.....	79
E. Taniguchi in view of Mori and Van Zant does not render claims 23, 24, and 26 obvious.....	80
F. Taniguchi in view of Mori and Van Zant does not render claim 27-30 obvious.....	81
G. Taniguchi in view of Mori and Van Zant does not render claims 31-34, and 53 obvious.....	82
H. Taniguchi in view of Mori and Van Zant does not render claims 35-38, and 54 obvious.....	82
I. Taniguchi in view of Mori and Van Zant does not render claims 39, 40, 42, and 49 obvious.....	83
J. Taniguchi in view of Mori and Van Zant does not render claims 43, 44, 46 and 50 obvious.....	84

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.