blacr@foster.com Registration No.: 40514

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

# ABB, INC. Petitioner

v.

ROY-G-BIV CORPORATION Patent Owner

Trial No.: IPR2013-00062

(pursuant to Joinder with IPR2013-00282) U.S. Patent No. 6,516,236

# PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT PURSUANT TO 37 CFR §42.70

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Patent Owner, Roy-G-Biv Corporation ("Roy-G-Biv Corporation" or "RGB") hereby requests oral argument be held before the Board pursuant to 37 CFR §42.70, on all of the instituted grounds of alleged unpatentability, and all issues briefed with respect thereto, including at least the following:

#### I. <u>ISSUES TO BE ARGUED</u>

1. Whether Petitioner ABB, Inc. ("ABB") has met its burden to prove that the Gertz Reference expressly or inherently teaches that:

- a. An "Action" is a "function" (as necessary to meet the claim limitation
  "Component <u>*Function*</u>").
- b. A "Configuration" is a "function" (as necessary to meet the claim limitation "Extended Driver <u>Function</u>").
- c. A "Control Task" is a "function" (as necessary to meet the claim limitation "Core Driver *Function*").
- d. Anything in Gertz is "Component Code"
- e. The operations "read trackball data" and "perform forward kinematics" meet the claimed limitation "primitive operations"

2. Whether Petitioner has met its burden to prove that a person of ordinary skill would have combined the teachings of the Gertz, Stewart and Morrow References, as alleged in the Petition.

3. Whether the Patent Owner Roy-G-Biv Corporation has met its burden of showing, under the required rule of reason analysis, that the Gertz and Morrow references do not qualify as prior art by virtue of RGB's substantial and unrebutted showings of prior conception and reasonable diligence from at least as early as July 24, 1994 through the constructive reduction to practice evidenced by the filing of Priority Application No. 08/454,736 on May 30, 1995.

4. Whether RGB's Motion to Exclude should be granted.

5. Any additional issues raised by ABB in Oral Argument.

6. Any additional issues subsequently raised or allowed by the Board in any future briefing or Orders.

The Patent Owner requests no more than 1.5 hours per side if combined with Trial No. IPR2013-00074.

Dated: December 12, 2013.

Respectfully submitted by:

/Richard T. Black/ **RICHARD T. BLACK** Foster Pepper PLLC 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, Washington 98101-3299 Tel: (206) 447-6251 Fax:(206) 749-2062 Email: blacr@foster.com Registration No.: 40514 /Richard S. Meyer/ **RICHARD S. MEYER** Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP 5301 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20015 Tel: (202) 237-2727 Fax: (202) 237-6131 Email: rmeyer@BSFLLP.com Registration No.: 32541 Attorneys for Patent Owner, **Roy-G-Biv** Corporation

Δ

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Request for Oral Argument was served on PETITIONER by placing a copy into U.S. EXPRESS MAIL directed to the attorneys of record for the petitioner at the following address:

Richard D. Mc Leod Klarquist Sparkman LLP One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salmon Street Portland, Oregon 97204 Michael D. Jones Klarquist Sparkman LLP One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salmon Street Portland, Oregon 97204

Dated: December 12, 2013

#### By:

/Richard T. Black/ RICHARD T. BLACK Foster Pepper PLLC 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, Washington 98101-3299 Tel: (206) 447-6251 Fax: (206) 749-2062 Email: blacr@foster.com Registration No.: 40514

RICHARD S. MEYER Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP 5301 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20015 Tel: (202) 237-2727 Fax: (202) 237-6131 Email: <u>rmeyer@BSFLLP.com</u> Registration No.: 32541

Attorneys for Patent Owner, Roy-G-Biv Corporation