IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

ROY-G-BIV Corporation, Plaintiff, v. Fanuc Ltd., Fanuc Robotics America, Inc., GE Fanuc Automation Americas, Inc., and GE Fanuc Intelligent Platforms, Inc., Defendants.	CASE NO. 2:07-CV-0418-DF-CE **PLAINTIFF ROY-G-BIV CORPORATION'S OPENING MARKMAN BRIEF**

PLAINTIFF ROY-G-BIV CORPORATION'S
OPENING MARKMAN BRIEF

ABB Inc.

EXHIBIT 1012

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND 2

A. Generally 2

B. The RGB Invention 4

C. The RGB Patents 6

III. LEGAL PRINCIPLES OF CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 6

IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 6

V. CONSTRUCTION OF TERMS 6

A. The Fundamental "Motion" Terms (nos. 5, 6, 11, and 34(a)-(b)) 7

1. "motion control operations" ('897 patent, 17; '236 patent, 1) (no. 5) 7

2. "primitive operations" ('897 patent, 17; '058 patent, 1; '236 patent, 1) (no. 6) 8

3. "motion control" (no. 11) ('897 patent, claim 17) 9

4. "motion control component" (nos. 34(a) -(b)) ('236 patent, 1) 9

a. "motion control component" (no. 34(a)) ('236 patent, 1) 10

b. "a motion control component for generating the sequence of control commands for controlling the selected motion control device based on the component functions of the application program, the component code associated with the component functions, and the driver code associated with the selected software driver" (no. 34(b)) ('236 patent, 1) 12

B. Additional "Motion Control" Terms Requiring Little-to-No Construction (nos. 10, 33(a)-(c), and 13) 13

1. "implementing the motion control operations" ('897 patent, 17; '236 patent, 1) (no. 10) 13

2. "operate the motion control device" ('543 patent, 5) / "operate the motion control device by sending the control commands to the motion control device" ('543 patent, 5) / "operating the selected motion control device [in accordance with the control command to move the object]" ('543 patent, 1) (nos. 33(a)-(c)) 14

3. "controlling the selected motion control device"('236 patent, 1) (no. 13) 14

C. "Component Function" and "Component Code" Terms (nos. 2, 3) 14

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1. "component function" ('058 patent, 1; '236 patent, 1; '543 patent, 3, 8; '897 patent, 17) (no. 2) 14

2. "component code" ('058 patent, claim 1; '236 patent, claim 1;'897 patent, claim 17) (no. 3) 15

D. "Driver" Terms 16

1. "software driver(s)"/ "driver(s)" ('236 patent, 1, 2, 3; '058 patent, 1; '543 patent, 1, 5; '897 patent, 17) (no. 4) 16

2. "selected software driver is the software driver associated with the selected motion control device" ('236 patent, 1) (no. 19) 18

3. "developing a set of software drivers"('897 patent, 17) (no. 14) 19

4. "driver functions" ('236 patent, 1, 2, 3; '058 patent, 1; '543 patent, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7; '897 patent, 17) (no. 7) 20

5. "core driver functions" ('897 patent, 25; '058 patent, 1; '236 patent, 1, 3) (no. 8) 21

6. "driver code"('236 patent, 1, 2, 3; '058 patent, 1; '543 patent, 1, 5; '897 patent, 17) (no. 9) 22

E. The "Stream" Terms 22

1. "streams" ('236 patent, 8, 9; '897 patent, 24, 25) (no. 18) 22

2. "transmit stream code" ('236 patent, 8; '897 patent, 24) and "response stream code" ('897 patent, 25; '236 patent, 9) (nos. 25-26) 22

3. "stream control means" limitations ('236 patent, claims 8 and 9) (nos. 35(a) and 35(b)) 23

a. "stream control means for communicating the control commands to the selected destination of control commands based on the transmit stream code contained by the stream associated with the selected destination of control commands" (claim 8) (no. 35(a)) 23

b. "the stream control means processes the response data based on the response stream code" (claim 9) (no. 35(b)) 24

F. The "Motion Step" Terms 24

1. "motion step(s)" ('897 patent, 17; '543 patent, 2, 4, 6, 7) (no. 30) 24

2. "incremental motion step(s)" ('543 patent, 4, 6, 7) (no. 31) 25

3. "identifies an incremental motion step" ('543 patent, 4, 7) (no. 32) 26

G. The "Defining" Terms (nos. 20(a), 20(b), and 20(c)) 27

H. The "Providing" Terms means "Making Available" (nos. 21-24) 27

I. The "Selecting" Terms (nos. 29(a) – 29(g)) 28

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1. The "selecting" limitations require choosing a driver/control command/device at run-time....28
2. The "selecting" terms do not require that a particular user does the selecting....29
3. "A" means "one or more," not "single"....30
J. "Control" Terms....30
1. "control commands" ('236 patent, 1, 8, 9; '058 patent, 1; '897 patent, 17, 24, 25; '543 patent, 1, 2, 5, 6)....30
2. "a control command generating module for generating control commands based on the component functions of the application program, the component code associated with the component functions, and the driver code associated with the software drivers" ('058 patent, 1) (no. 36)....30
K. The "Network" Terms....31
1. "Network" ('058 patent, 1) (no. 17)....31
2. "Network Communication Protocol" ('058 patent, 1) (no. 16)....32
L. The "Response Data" Terms....33
1. "generate response data" ('897 patent, 25; '236 patent, 9)....33
2. "processing the response data" ('897 patent, 25)....34
M. Miscellaneous Terms....34
1. "application program" (no. 1)....34
2. "hardware devices" ('058 patent, 1)....35
VI. CONCLUSION....36

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

ACTV, Inc. v. Walt Disney Co., 346 F.3d 1082, 1088 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 10

Amgen, Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc., 314 F.3d 1313, 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 2

Baldwin Graphic Sys., Inc. v. Siebert, Inc., 512 F.3d 1338, 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 27, 30

Ballard Medical Prods. v. Allegiance Healthcare Corp., 268 F.3d 1352, 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 2

Beckson Marine, inc. v. NFM, Inc., 292 F.3d 718, 723 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 9

Biovail Corp. Int'l v. Andrix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 239 F.3d 1297, (Fed. Cir. 2001) 2

Budde v. Harley-Davidson, Inc., 250 F.3d 1369, 1379-80 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 18, 20

Greenberg v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc., 91 F.3d 1580, 1583 (Fed. Cir. 1996) 12, 31

In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation, 483 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 1, 2, 16, 30

Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Systems, Inc., 381 F.3d 1111, 1119-20 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 13

Intamin Ltd. v. Magnetar Techs., Corp., 438 F.3d 1328, 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 2

Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc., 358 F.3d 898, 906 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 22

Lighting World, Inc. v. Birchwood Lighting, Inc., 382 F.3d 1354, 1359-60, 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 11, 12, 31

MBO Labs., Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co., 474 F.3d 1323, 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 32

Oatey Co. v. IPS Corp., 514 F.3d 1271, 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 32

Paice LLC v. Toyota Motor Corp., 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 45716, *4-14 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 28, 2005) 6

Personalized Media Communications v. International Trade Comm'n, 161 F.3d 696, 705 (Fed. Cir. 1998) 12

Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303, 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (*en banc*) 2, 6

R.F. Delaware, Inc. v. Pacific Keystone Tech., Inc., 326 F.3d 1255, 1264 (Fed. Cir. 2002) . 20, 27

Resonate Inc. v. Alteon Webssytems, Inc. 338 F.3d 1360, 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 19

Scanner Techs. Corp. v. ICos Vision Sys. Corp., 365 F.3d 1299, 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 26, 30

Vivid Techs., Inc. v. American Science & Engineering, Inc., 200 F.3d 795, 803 (Fed. Cir. 1999) 2

Wenger Mfg., Inc. v. Coating Mach. Sys., Inc., 239 F.3d 1225, 1233 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 9

Statutes

35 U.S.C. §112 ¶6 ..... 9, 11, 25, 35

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.