

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

<b>ROY-G-BIV CORP.</b>	§	
	§	
v.	§	<b>NO. 6:11-CV-622 (Lead Case)</b>
	§	
<b>ABB, Ltd., ABB INC., MEADWESTVACO TEXAS, LP, and MEADWESTVACO CORP.</b>	§	

---

<b>ROY-G-BIV Corp.</b>	§	
	§	
v.	§	<b>NO. 6:11-CV-623</b>
	§	
<b>HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. and MOTIVA ENTERPRISES, LLC</b>	§	

---

<b>ROY-G-BIV CORP.</b>	§	
	§	
v.	§	<b>NO. 6:11-CV-624</b>
	§	
<b>SIEMENS CORP., et al.</b>	§	

**ORDER ADOPTING ORDER OF  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The above entitled and numbered civil actions were referred to the United States Magistrate Judge Zack Hawthorn pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. The Memorandum Opinion and Order of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. No. 196) (“Opinion”), which contains his construction of disputed terms in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,513,058; 6,516,236; 6,941,543; and 8,073,557 has been presented for consideration. The Defendants move for reconsideration of the Court’s Opinion (Doc. Nos. 201, 202). The matter has been fully briefed (Doc. Nos. 208, 209, 213, 215, 219). Having reviewed the parties’ submissions, the Court is of the opinion that the Magistrate Judge’s constructions of the disputed terms are correct. Therefore, the Court **ADOPTS** the Opinion of the

**ABB v ROY-G-BIV  
TRIAL IPR2013-00062  
TRIAL IPR2013-00282  
ABB - EXHIBIT 1138**

United States Magistrate Judge as the opinion of this court. All objections are overruled and the Motions for Reconsideration (Doc. Nos. 201, 202) are **DENIED**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this 16th day of October, 2013.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Leonard Davis', written over a horizontal line.

**LEONARD DAVIS**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**