

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CHI MEI INNOLUX CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY LABORATORY CO., LTD.
Patent OWNER

Case IPR2013-00038
Patent 7,956,978 B2

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KARL D. EASTHOM, and
KEVIN F. TURNER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MEDLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Chi Mei Innolux Corporation (CMI) filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Stanley M. Gibson. Paper 11. The motion is unopposed. The motion is GRANTED.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause. In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice*, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in this proceeding. “Notice”; Paper 3.

In its motion, CMI states that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Gibson *pro hac vice* during this proceeding, since Mr. Gibson is an experienced litigating attorney with an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding. In addition, the motion states that Mr. Gibson is counsel for CMI in related litigation between CMI and the patent owner. Mr. Gibson made a declaration attesting to, and sufficiently explaining, these facts, which is attached to the motion. The declaration¹ complies with the requirements set forth in the Notice.

Upon consideration, CMI has sufficiently demonstrated that Mr. Gibson has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent CMI in this proceeding. Moreover, the Board recognizes that there is a need for CMI to have its related litigation counsel involved in this proceeding. Accordingly, CMI has also established that there is good cause for admitting Mr. Gibson.

It is

ORDERED that the CMI motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Stanley M. Gibson for this proceeding is GRANTED;

FURTHER ORDERED that CMI is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding; and

¹The declaration should have been submitted as an exhibit. 37 C.F.R. § 42.63.

IPR2013-00038
Patent 7,956,978 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Gibson is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of the C.F.R., and to be subject to the Office's Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 10.20 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

PETITIONER:

Scott A. McKeown
Gregory S. Cordery
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
cpdocketmckeown@oblon.com
gcordrey@jmbm.com

PATENT OWNER:

Eric J. Robinson
Sean C. Flood
ROBINSON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW OFFICE, P.C.
erobinson@riplo.com
sflood@riplo.com