UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSTRATEGY, INC. Petitioner,

v.

Patent of ZILLOW, INC. Patent Owner.

Case IPR2013-00034 Patent No. 7,970,674

PATENT OWNER'S OBSERVATIONS ON CROSS EXAMINATION OF DR. RICHARD A. BORST, Ph. D.

DOCKET

Δ

LIST OF EXHIBITS

ZILLOW 2016	Deposition of Dr. Richard A. Borst, Ph. D.,
	September 19, 2013
ZILLOW 2017	The Common Thread in Market Data Systems (1982)
	(referred to as "Borst Exhibit 7" during the Borst
	Deposition)
ZILLOW 2018	A Valuation and Value Updating of Geographically
	Diverse Commercial Properties Using Artificial
	Neural Networks (Outline of Paper) (1993) (referred
	to as "Borst Exhibit 8" during the Borst Deposition)
ZILLOW 2019	An Evaluation of Multiple Regression Analysis,
	Comparable Sales Analysis and Artificial Neural
	Networks for the Mass Appraisal of Residential
	Properties in Northern Ireland (1996) (referred to as
	"Borst Exhibit 9" during the Borst Deposition)
ZILLOW 2020	Use of GIS to Establish and Update CAMA
	Neighborhoods in Northern Ireland (referred to as
	"Borst Exhibit 10" during the Borst Deposition)
ZILLOW 2021	An Evaluation of MRA, Comparable Sales Analysis,
ZILLOW 2021	and ANNs for the Mass Appraisal of Residential
	Properties in Northern Ireland (1997) (referred to as
	"Borst Exhibit 11" during the Borst Deposition)
ZILLOW 2022	Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal: A New Growth
	Industry in the United States (1979) (referred to as
	•
	"Borst Exhibit 12" during the Borst Deposition)
	LLC Detert No. 7.070 (74 (IIChang II) (
MICROSTRATEGY 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,970,674 ("Cheng") (referred to as
	"Borst Exhibit 4" during the Borst Deposition) (not
	filed herewith)
MICROSTRATEGY 1003	U.S. Patent No. 5,847,174 ("Dugan") (referred to as
	"Borst Exhibit 2" during the Borst Deposition) (not
	filed herewith)
MICROSTRATEGY 1004	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0154657 ("Kim")
	(referred to as "Borst Exhibit 3" during the Borst
	Deposition) (not filed herewith)
MICROSTRATEGY 1014	Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice
	and Advisory Opinions 2005 Edition, Appraisal
	Standards Board, The Appraisal Foundation,
	Effective January 1, 2005 (referred to as "Borst

	Exhibit 5" during the Borst Deposition) (not filed herewith)
MICROSTRATEGY 1019	Standard on Automated Valuation Models (AVMs), International Association of Assessing Officers, Approved September 2003 (referred to as " Borst Exhibit 6 " during the Borst Deposition) (not filed herewith).
MICROSTRATEGY 1023	Declaration of Dr. Richard Borst (referred to as " Borst Exhibit 1 " during the Borst Deposition) (not filed herewith).

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

In exhibit ZILLOW 2016, at 60:2-5 and 61:5-6, the witness ("Dr. Borst") 1. testified that in Cheng "there was no description of some [automatic valuation] . . . process that isn't neither [sic] AVM or CAMA" and "the only thing that's disclosed is an AVM." This testimony is relevant to the assertion on page 6 of Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner Response to Petition filed on August 26, 2013 ("the Reply") that Cheng "does not use the term 'automatic valuation' in a context that would lead one of ordinary skill in the art to limit that term beyond the ordinary meaning of its constituent words, nor to otherwise establish that those words require the features of an AVM" and the assertion on page 5 of the Declaration of Dr. Richard Borst (Exhibit No. MICROSTRATEGY 1023) that "automatic valuation' would not be read by those of skill to require any particular type of model." The testimony is relevant because it contradicts the assertions on page 6 of the Reply and page 5 of the Declaration of Dr. Richard Borst (Exhibit No. MICROSTRATEGY 1023).

2. In exhibit ZILLOW 2016, at 145:21-149:10, Dr. Borst testified that several of his earlier publications related to mass appraisal and CAMA systems do not use the word "AVM" and that a CAMA system is fundamentally the same as an AVM. This testimony is relevant to the assertion on page 6 of the Reply that "the term of art 'Automated Valuation Model' was not included anywhere in the specification of [Cheng], nor was it ever before mentioned by the Patent Owner during the original prosecution of [Cheng]." The testimony is relevant because it illustrates that an

AVM system can be described, and has been described, without using the terms "AVM" or "Automated Valuation Model."

In exhibit ZILLOW 2016, at 27:15-28:13, Dr. Borst testified that a 3. comparable sales analysis ("CSA") AVM uses a computer to "proceed through [a] list [of properties] one property at a time and find the comparable sales," and at 50:9-53:10 and 113:11-22, Dr. Borst testified that Dugan specifically discloses the appraiser or user selecting the comparables on his own (or by accepting comparable properties recommended by Dugan's system) and that the portions of Dugan related to selecting comparables do not say that selecting comparable properties can be performed by a computer. This testimony is relevant to the assertion on page 9 of the Reply that "one of ordinary skill in the art would have understood Dugan... to describe [a] system[] that could be classified as [an] AVM." The testimony is relevant because it illustrates that Dugan's system does not automatically select comparables in the manner of a comparable sales analysis AVM.

4. In exhibit ZILLOW 2016, at 91:24-92:9 and 93:8-94:14, Dr. Borst testified that a comparable sales AVM has to have a computerized model for selecting comparables and that, after a human creates a specification for comparables for a comparable sales AVM, the computer program can select comparables for a large number of residences automatically. This testimony is relevant to the assertion on

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.