

EXHIBIT 2009

1 Brooke A. M. Taylor, WSBA 33190 (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
btaylor@susmangodfrey.com
2 Jordan W. Connors, WSBA 41649 (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
jconnors@susmangodfrey.com
3 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
4 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800
Seattle, WA 98101-3000
5 Telephone: (206) 516-3880
6 Facsimile: (206) 516-3883

7 Stephen E. Morrissey, CA Bar 187865
smorrissey@susmangodfrey.com
8 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950
9 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029
10 Telephone: (310) 789-3103
Facsimile: (310) 789-3150

11 *Plaintiff Vasudevan Software, Inc.*

12
13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 VASUDEVAN SOFTWARE, INC.,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 MICROSTRATEGY INCORPORATED,

19 Defendant.

Case No. 3:11-06637-RS-PSG

**PLAINTIFF VASUDEVAN SOFTWARE,
INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST
MICROSTRATEGY**

Date: January 24, 2013
Time: 1:30 pm
Location: 450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, California

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
PLAINTIFF VASUDEVAN SOFTWARE, INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- I. MicroStrategy Does not Deny That it Threatened VSi and its Counsel and Filed the Zillow Petition With a Vindictive and Improper Purpose to Extort a Settlement 2
- II. The First Amendment Does not Immunize MicroStrategy From Court Sanctions for Threatening VSi and its Counsel and For Seeking to Harm VSi in Bad Faith 5
 - A. The *Noerr-Pennington* Doctrine Relied on By MicroStrategy Does not Immunize Activity From the Court’s Inherent Sanctioning Authority 5
 - B. Binding Supreme Court and Ninth Circuit Precedent Establishes that Even Non-Frivolous Conduct Is Sanctionable if a Court Finds Bad Faith or an Improper Purpose 8
 - C. MicroStrategy’s Threats and Extortion Are not Petitioning Activity 10
 - D. MicroStrategy’s Proposed Rule Would Invite Abuse 12
- III. MicroStrategy’s Summary of PTO Procedure Is Inaccurate, and Zillow’s Right to Seek Further Relief Before the PTO Does Not Immunize MicroStrategy From Relief in This Court for the Harm and Threats it Has Inflicted on VSi 13
- IV. VSi’s Sanctions Motion Complies With the Local Rules and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures; MicroStrategy’s Request for Attorneys’ Fees Does Not 14
- V. Appropriate Sanctions 15

PLAINTIFF VSUS REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

1		
2		
3		
4	<i>B.K.B. v. Maui Police Dept.</i> ,	
	276 F.3d 1091(9th Cir. 2002).....	9
5	<i>BE & K Const. Co. v. N.L.R.B.</i> ,	
6	536 U.S. 516 (2002).....	6, 10
7	<i>Bridge C.A.T. Scan Assoc. v. Technicare Corp.</i> ,	
	710 F.2d 940 (2nd Cir. 1983).....	7
8	<i>Edwards v. Marin Park, Inc.</i> ,	
9	91 F. App'x 14 (9th Cir. 2004)	14
10	<i>Fink v. Gomez</i> ,	
	239 F.3d 989 (9th Cir. 2001).....	9
11	<i>George v. Industrial Maintenance Corp.</i> ,	
12	305 F. Supp. 2d (D. V. I. 2002)	7
13	<i>Globetrotter Software, Inc. v. Elan Computer Group</i> ,	
	362 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	7
14	<i>GP Indus., Inc. v. Eran Indus., Inc.</i> ,	
15	500 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	7, 11
16	<i>iLOR, LLC v. Google, Inc.</i> ,	
	631 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	8
17	<i>In re Intel Securities Litigation</i> ,	
18	791 F.2d 672 (9th Cir. 1986).....	9
19	<i>Kramer v. Tribe</i> ,	
	156 F.R.D. 96 (D.N.J. 1994).....	12
20	<i>Lipsig v. National Student Mktg. Corp.</i> ,	
21	663 F.2d 178 (D.C. Cir. 1980).....	9
22	<i>Panduit Corp. v. All States Plastic Mfg. Co.</i> ,	
	744 F.2d 1564 (Fed. Cir. 1984).....	8
23	<i>Prof'l Real Estate Investors, Inc. v. Columbia Pictures Indus., Inc.</i> ,	
24	508 U.S. 49 (1993).....	7, 11, 12
25	<i>Richardson–Merrell Inc. v. Koller</i> ,	
	472 U.S. 424 (1985).....	8
26	<i>Roadway Express, Inc.</i> ,	
27	447 U.S. 752 (1980).....	10
28	<i>Sosa v. DIRECTV, Inc.</i> ,	

PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR

1 437 F.3d 923 (9th Cir. 2006)..... 8, 11

2 *Summerfield v. Strategic Lending Corp.*,

3 No. 09-cv-02609-HRL, 2010 WL 509923, (N.D. Cal. June 17, 2010) 15

4 *U.S. v. Carmichael*,

5 326 F. Supp. 2d 1267, 1273 (M.D. Ala. 2004) 7

6 *Zaldivar v. Los Angeles*,

7 780 F.2d 823 (9th Cir. 1986)..... 7

8 **Other Authorities**

9 35 U.S.C. § 285 8

10 35 U.S.C.A. § 313 14

11 42 U.S.C. § 3613 14

12 Leahy–Smith America Invents Act, Pub.L.

13 No. 112–29, § 6, 125 Stat. 284, 299–305 (2011).....14

14 Sandra Day O'Connor, Professionalism,

15 76 Wash. U. L.Q. 5 (1998)..... 13

16 **Rules**

17 Federal Rules and Civil Procedures 11 7, 15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.