

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INNOLUX CORPORATION
Petitioner,

v.

SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY LABORATORY CO., LTD.
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2013-00028

U.S. Patent 6,404,480

CORRECTED PETITIONER'S REPLY TO
RESPONSE OF THE PATENT OWNER

Petitioner, Innolux Corporation, hereby submits a Corrected Petitioner's Reply to the Response of the Patent Owner. This corrected version replaces the first filed version that was timely filed on September 23, 2013, which included typographical errors. Specifically, the below listed corrections were made:

- On Page i , in the Table of Contents, the page number for section B3 was corrected from 5 to 6.
- Page 4, Line 2: ("Ex. 1011"), ¶ 36 – corrected to ("Ex. 1011"), ¶ 37, 42
- Page 4, Line 5: Ex. 1011, ¶ 36 – corrected to Ex. 1011, ¶ 33,34,39,40
- Page 4, Line 19: Ex. 1011, ¶ 48 – corrected to Ex. 1011, ¶ 37,42
- Page 5, Line 5: Ex. 1011, ¶ 75-76, 85 – corrected to Ex. 1011, ¶ 37, 42
- Page 5, Line 9: Ex. 1011, ¶ 50 – corrected to Ex. 1011, ¶ 37
- Page 6, Line 4: inserted “Ex. 1007, 32;” prior to “Ex. 1011”
- Page 6, Line 4: Ex. 1011, ¶ 52 – corrected to Ex. 1011, ¶ 39
- Page 6, Line 17: Ex. 1011, ¶ 53 – corrected to Ex. 1011, ¶ 40
- Page 7, Line 5: Ex. 1011, ¶ 53 – corrected to Ex. 1011, ¶ 38,40, 41, 46-48
- Page 9, Line 2: Ex. 1011, ¶ 46 – corrected to Ex. 1011, ¶ 33,34,41,45
- Page 10, Line 9: Ex. 1011, ¶ 54 – corrected to Ex. 1011, ¶ 40-41
- Page 11, Line 15: Ex. 1011, ¶ 35, 45-46 – corrected to Ex. 1011, ¶ 38-41,47
- Page 12, Line 3: Ex. 1011, ¶ 56 – corrected to Ex 1011, ¶ 41-43
- Page 12, Line 9: inserted “Ex. 1011, ¶ 44” after “Decision at 17.”
- Page 12, Line 18: Ex. 1011, ¶ 58 – corrected to Ex 1011, ¶ 45
- Page 13, Line 5: Ex. 1011, ¶ 46 – corrected to Ex. 1011, ¶ 45
- Page 13, Line 17: Ex. 1011 at ¶¶ 44-47 – corrected to Ex. 1011 at ¶¶ 38-41

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INNOLUX CORPORATION
Petitioner,

v.

SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY LABORATORY CO., LTD.
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2013-00028

U.S. Patent 6,404,480

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO RESPONSE OF THE PATENT OWNER

I.	CLAIMS 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, AND 15 OF THE '480 PATENT ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE APA IN VIEW OF MORIYAMA.....	1	
	A.	The claims are not restricted to a single common contact portion.....	1
	B.	The APA and Moriyama disclose "a second insulating film having at least two openings"	3
	1.	Moriyama discloses at least two openings in a second insulating film	3
	2.	The APA discloses at least two openings in the second insulating film	5
	3.	It would be obvious to modify the APA to use the multiple openings in the second insulating film shown in Moriyama.....	6
	C.	The Prior Art discloses "wherein at least one of said conductive spacers is held over said second interlayer insulating film"	8
	1.	Moriyama discloses conductive spacers held over an interlayer insulating film	9
	2.	The use of an interlayer insulating film below the first conductive film was disclosed in the APA	10
	3.	It is obvious to place the conductive spacers on the highest surface.....	12
	D.	The prior art discloses the claim limitation "wherein said conductive spacers are dispersed into a sealing material"	13
II.	CLAIMS 2, 7 AND 12 OF THE '480 PATENT ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE APA IN VIEW OF MORIYAMA AND OHNO	15	
III.	CONCLUSION	15	

EXHIBIT LIST

Previously Filed

- | | |
|--------------|---|
| Exhibit 1001 | U.S. Patent No. 6,404,480 to Hirakata |
| Exhibit 1004 | Unexamined Patent Application Publication H5-243333
("Moriyama") |
| Exhibit 1007 | Declaration of Miltiadis Hatalis, Ph.D. |
| Exhibit 1005 | U.S. Patent No. 4,600,273 to Ohno |

Currently Filed

- | | |
|--------------|---|
| Exhibit 1009 | Deposition transcript of Miltiadis Hatalis, Ph.D. dated May 20, 2013 |
| Exhibit 1010 | Deposition transcript of Paul A. Kohl, Ph.D. dated August 14, 2013 |
| Exhibit 1011 | Declaration of Miltiadis Hatalis, Ph.D. in support of Innolux Corp.'s Opposition to Amendment and Reply |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.