

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

INNOLUX CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

PATENT OF SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY LABORATORY CO., LTD.  
Patent Owner

---

CASE IPR2013-00028  
PATENT 6,404,480

---

**RESPONSE OF THE PATENT OWNER**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. BACKGROUND.....1

II. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS .....2

    A. CLAIMS 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, AND 15 OF THE ‘480 PATENT ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER THE ADMITTED PRIOR ART AND MORIYAMA .....2

    B. CLAIMS 2, 7, AND 12 OF THE ‘480 PATENT ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER THE ADMITTED PRIOR ART, MORIYAMA, AND OHNO .....5

III. THE ‘480 PATENT .....6

    A. CLAIMS OF THE ‘480 PATENT.....6

    B. CLAIMS OF THE ‘480 PATENT ARE DIRECTED TO A COMMON CONTACT PORTION  
    7

    C. THE INVENTION OF THE ‘480 PATENT .....10

IV. THE APA .....15

    A. THE APA DISCLOSES AN INTERNAL CONDUCTING LINE 21 .....15

    B. THE APA DISCLOSES A CONDUCTING PAD 22.....16

    C. THE STRUCTURE OF THE APA IS DESIGNED TO PLACE A CONDUCTING SPACER IN THE OPENING OF THE INTERLAYER DIELECTRIC FILM 18 IN THE COMMON CONTACT PORTION.....17

V. MORIYAMA .....23

    A. MORIYAMA DISCLOSES ONLY ONE INTERLAYER INSULATING FILM .....23

    B. MORIYAMA DOES NOT DISCLOSE “A SECOND CONDUCTIVE FILM” AS CLAIMED  
    25

    C. MORIYAMA DOES NOT DISCLOSE METAL PARTICLES DISPOSED IN A SEALING MATERIAL FOR SEALING LIQUID CRYSTAL .....35

VI. CLAIMS 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, AND 15 ARE PATENTABLE OVER THE APA IN VIEW OF MORIYAMA. ....36

    A. THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES DO NOT DISCLOSE A “SECOND INTERLAYER INSULATING FILM HAVING AT LEAST TWO OPENINGS” AS CLAIMED.....36

        1. *As recited in the claims, “at least two openings” must be in each common contact portion* .....36

        2. *The APA and Moriyama do not disclose a “second interlayer insulating film having at least two openings”* .....39

    B. THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES DO NOT DISCLOSE “WHEREIN AT LEAST ONE OF SAID CONDUCTIVE SPACERS IS HELD OVER SAID SECOND INTERLAYER INSULATING FILM” .....43

1. The claims require at least one conductive spacer to be held over the second interlayer insulating film in a common contact portion.....	43
2. The APA and Moriyama do not disclose “at least one conductive spacer is held over said second interlayer insulating film” as properly construed .....	44
3. It is not obvious to place conducting spacers on the highest surface.....	51
C. THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES DO NOT DISCLOSE “WHEREIN SAID CONDUCTIVE SPACERS ARE DISPERSED INTO A SEALING MATERIAL” .....	54
1. As recited in the claim 6, “sealing material” seals liquid crystal material	54
2. The APA and Moriyama do not disclose “conductive spacers are dispersed into a sealing material” as claimed .....	55
VII. CLAIMS 2, 7, AND 12 ARE PATENTABLE OVER THE APA IN VIEW OF MORIYAMA AND OHNO.....	57
VIII. DECISION INSTITUTING TRIAL SHOULD BE VACATED.....	58
A. THE PETITION IS BARRED BY THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS IN 35 U.S.C. §315(B).....	58
B. THE PETITION IS BARRED FOR NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE MANDATORY DISCLOSURE RULES OF 37 C.F.R. §42.8(B)(2) .....	59
C. THE PETITION IS BARRED BECAUSE IT FAILED TO IDENTIFY ALL REAL-PARTIES-IN-INTEREST.....	60
IX. CONCLUSION .....	60

## **EXHIBIT LIST**

### **Previously filed**

Exhibit 2001 – Complaint, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chimei Innolux Corp., et al.*, Case No. SACV 12-0021-JST (C.D. Cal) .

Exhibit 2002 – Complaint, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp., et al.*, Case No. C-04-04675-MHP (N.D. Cal).

Exhibit 2003 – Waiver of Service, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp., et al.*

Exhibit 2004 – Certificate of Service, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp., et al.*

Exhibit 2005 – Chimei Innolux Corp. Prospectus, filed with Securities and Exchange Commission on September 4, 2012 (retrieved via the SEC's EDGAR system).

Exhibit 2006 – Complaint, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Acer Inc., et al.*, Case No. C-02-02800-WHA (N.D Cal).

Exhibit 2007 – Defendants' Motion to Transfer Venue, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chimei Innolux Corp., et al.*

Exhibit 2008 – Defendants' Reply to Opposition to Motion to Transfer Venue, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chimei Innolux Corp., et al.*

Exhibit 2009 – Docket Sheet, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Acer Inc., et al.*

Exhibit 2010 – Memorandum and Order, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp., et al.*

Exhibit 2011 – Defendants' Motion to Stay Litigation Pending Outcome of Inter Partes Review, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chimei Innolux Corp., et al.*

Exhibit 2012 – Supplemental Declaration of Gregory S. Cordrey in Support of Defendants' Motion for Stay, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chimei Innolux Corp., et al.*

...

Exhibit 2013 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of their Motion to Stay, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chimei Innolux Corp., et al.*

Exhibit 2014 – Request for Ex Parte Reexamination (No. 90/007985)

Exhibit 2015 – Office Action in Ex Parte Reexamination, dated July 10, 2007, Reexamination No. 90/007985

Exhibit 2016 – Patent Owner’s Statement of the Interview (37 CFR § 1.560) and Supplemental Response, Reexamination No. 90/007985

Exhibit 2017 – Notice of Intent to Issue Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Reexamination No. 90/007985

Exhibit 2018 – Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, Reexamination No. 90/007985

Exhibit 2019 – Defendant Westinghouse Digital's Notice of Joinder, *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd. v. Chimei Innolux Corp., et al.*

Exhibit 2020 – Chi Mei Optoelectronics News Release, dated Nov. 20, 2009

Exhibit 2021 – [Proposed] Patent Owner’s Requests for Production To  
Petitioner

Exhibit 2022 – [Proposed] Patent Owner’s Interrogatories To Petitioner

Exhibit 2023 – [Proposed] Patent Owner’s Requests for Admission To  
Petitioner

**Currently filed**

Exhibit 2024 – PCT Application No. WO97/10530 to Yanagawa et al. (including translation)

Exhibit 2025 – U.S. Patent No. 5,636,329 to Sukegawa et al.

Exhibit 2026 – Declaration of Paul A. Kohl, Ph.D.

Exhibit 2027 – Stanley Wolf Ph.D., *Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era, Vol. 2: Process Integration*, pp. 124-126 (Lattice Press 1990).

Exhibit 2028 – U.S. Patent No. 6,219,124 to Lee et al.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.