1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	No. 400 400 400 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
3	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
4	
5	LKQ CORPORATION Petitioner
6	I GCT CTOWET
7	V •
8	CLEARLAMP, LLC Patent Owner
9	racenc owner
10	Case IPR2013-00020 Patent 7,297,364
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
!	
	1



```
1
2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
                         DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL ASSELTA
 8
                         Taken on behalf of Petitioner
 9
                              September 20, 2013
10
              (Starting time of the deposition: 1:51 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                     2
```



1	A. Pardon me. Could you restate that?
2	Q. Sure. What was American Bumper's business?
3	A. It's my understanding that Mo refinished
4	bumpers and headlamp assemblies and mirrors, I think.
5	Q. All under the title of the American Bumper
6	Company?
7	A. That's my understanding.
8	Q. Let's take a look at a document that's
9	marked as Exhibit 2012, which is several pages long.
10	And the document is called "SPO Headlamp Refinishing
11	Project," and then there's some codes underneath it.
12	Is this the document that you're referring to in
13	Paragraph 2, when you referenced Exhibit 2012?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And you say that Exhibit 2012 is a true and
16	correct copy of American Bumper testing package. Do
17	you see that in your Paragraph 2?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. What did you mean when you wrote "testing
20	package" in Paragraph 2?
21	A. Simply that these are various tests that
22	have been performed by outside parties.
23	Q. So it's your testimony that Exhibit 2012
24	contains the results of multiple different tests; is
25	that right?



,	
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And do you have any understanding about why
3	the supplier's submission is indicated to be American
4	Bumper here on 2012?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Why is that?
7	A. Some of these tests were in process before
8	Clearlamp, and as a point of fact, some of the
9	entities that perform testing still refer to Mo as
10	American Bumper, and they use his old e-mail that he
11	had.
12	Q. Okay. What company performed the testing in
13	Exhibit 2012?
14	A. Well, there are a number of companies in
15	this exhibit.
16	Q. Let's go through it, then. Where do you see
17	the first testing results?
18	A. General Motors.
19	Q. And that is on Page 5 of the document; is
20	that right?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. So what is the document at Pages 5, 6 and 7?
23	A. Five, 6 and 7 generally outline engineering
24	standards from General Motors.
25	O. So 5. 6 and 7 aren't actually the results of



1	
1	a test, right?
2	A. No, but they were presented to us at the
3	same time.
4	Q. Okay. So there you said, "They were
5	presented to us at the same time." What did you mean
6	by "us"?
7	A. I received them in an e-mail.
8	Q. From who?
9	A. It may have been forwarded from Mo, but
10	forwarded from a General Motors engineer.
11	Q. And then you also said in that answer a
12	couple of questions ago, you said "at the same time."
13	What did you mean when you said at the same time?
14	A. There were some other testing results that
15	General Motors sent, and at the same time, they sent
16	the standards, which they asked us to redact and
17	maintain those confidential.
18	Q. And so was this as did you receive that
19	e-mail during the course of this litigation with LKQ?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Do you remember approximately when?
22	MR. ROBINSON: I'm going to object as vague
23	as to that e-mail, because there's a couple of
24	potential e-mails that could be discussed there.
25	MR. WEED: There was only one e-mail that



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

