

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re U.S. Patent No.:	7,297,364)
)
Date of Issue:	November 20, 2007)
)
Name of Patentee:	Krause-Heringer et al.)
)
Serial No.:	11/311,852)
)
Filed:	December 19, 2005)
)
Patent Owner:	Clearlamp, LLC)
)
Petitioner:	LKQ Corporation)
)
)
Title:	METHOD FOR REFURBISHING)
	LAMP SERVICES)

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,297,364

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Petition For *Inter Partes* Review Of U.S. Patent No. 7,297,364

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Fee.....	1
III. Mandatory Notices.....	1
A. Real Party In Interest.....	1
B. Standing.....	1
C. Related Matters.....	1
D. Notice Of Lead And Backup Counsel.....	2
IV. Statement Of Precise Relief Requested.....	3
V. Background.....	4
A. The Alleged Invention Of The ‘364 Patent.....	4
B. Prosecution History Of The ‘364 Patent	6
VI. Full Statement Of The Reasons For The Relief Requested.....	8
VII. Detailed Claim Analysis In View Of The Applied References	14
A. Grounds 1 to 5: Claims 1 To 24 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Kuta In View Of Butt, Eastwood, SHO, Korsyn, Or Autopia	15
B. Grounds 6 to 10: Claims 1 To 3, 5 To 17 And 23 To 24 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Cole In View Of Butt, Eastwood, SHO, Korsyn, Or Autopia	32
C. Ground 11: Claims 1 To 3, 5 To 8, 13 To 17 And 24 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Autopia In View Of The Admitted Prior Art.....	45
VIII. Conclusion	58

Petition For *Inter Partes* Review Of U.S. Patent No. 7,297,364

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

In re Fulton,
391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004)10

In re Hall,
781 F. 2d 897 (Fed. Cir. 1986)11

KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
127 S.Ct. 1727 (2007) 13, 14

Monarch Knitting Mach. Corp. v. Sulzer Morat GmbH,
139 F.3d 877 (Fed. Cir. 1998)9

SRI Int’l, Inc. v. Internet Security Sys., Inc.,
511 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2008)11

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 102(a) 15, 16

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) 15, 16

35 U.S.C. § 102(e) 15, 32

35 U.S.C. § 1127, 8

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)8

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)14

37 C.F.R. §§ 42.151

37 C.F.R. §§42.1031

OTHER AUTHORITIES

MPEP § 212812

Petition For *Inter Partes* Review Of U.S. Patent No. 7,297,364

LIST OF EXHIBITS

- Ex. 1001: U.S. Patent No. 7,297,364 to Krause-Heringer et al.
- Ex. 1002: U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0208210 to Kuta
- Ex. 1003: U.S. Patent No. 6,106,648 to Butt
- Ex. 1004: Eastwood ShopTalk Forum Posts,
<http://forum.eastwood.com/showthread.php?t=118-Plastic-headlight-re-sealing&s=d3d5c104c4068d77bcc48e2e5ad49222>, last accessed
September 17, 2012
- Ex. 1005: SHOForum Posts,
<http://www.shoforum.com/showthread.php?t=38051>, last accessed
September 17, 2012
- Ex. 1006: U.S. Patent No. 4,497,755 to Korsyn
- Ex. 1007: Autopia Forum Posts, <http://www.autopia.org/forum/car-detailing/56737-another-plastic-headlight-restoration.html>, last
accessed September 17, 2012
- Ex. 1008: U.S. Patent No. 7,163,446 to Cole et al.
- Ex. 1009: Declaration of Francisco G. Yarde
- Ex. 1010: *Curriculum vitae* of Francisco G. Yarde
- Ex. 1011: Non-final Office Action dated January 12, 2007
- Ex. 1012: Final Office Action dated June 28, 2007

Petition For *Inter Partes* Review Of U.S. Patent No. 7,297,364

Ex. 1013: Notice of Allowance dated September 11, 2007

Ex. 1014: Patent And Trademark Office Trademark File History For “MAGNI”
Mark

Ex. 1015: Clearlamp, LLC’s Complaint Asserting U.S. Patent No. 7,297,364

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.