

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KYOCERA CORPORATION, and
MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC
Petitioners,

v.

SOFTVIEW LLC
Patent Owner.

PETITIONERS' CONSOLIDATED REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

CASE IPR2013-00007
CASE IPR2013-00256
Patent 7,461,353

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Response To SoftView Statement Of Material Facts..... 1

II. Pad++ And Zaurus Teach “Preserv[] The Original Page Layout”
Under The Broadest Reasonable Construction.....2

III. Claims 1, 33, 36, 43, 48, 51, 52, 58, 59, 66, 118, 138, 139, 149, 183,
252 And 283 Are Obvious Based On A Combination Of Zaurus And
Pad++5

 A. Zaurus and Pad++ Teach All Of The Claim Limitations.....5

 B. The Skilled Person Would Combine Zaurus And Pad++7

IV. Claims 48, 51, 52, And 317 Are Obvious Based On The Combination
Of Zaurus And Pad++.....10

V. Claims 1, 33, 36, 43, 51, 52, 58, 59, 66, 118, 138, 139, 149, 183, 252,
283 and 317 Of The ‘353 Patent Are Obvious Based On The
Combination Of Zaurus, Hara And Tsutsumitake.....10

VI. SoftView’s Proffered “Secondary Considerations” Do Not Overcome
The Prima Facie Case Of Obviousness11

VII. Conclusion15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Apple Inc. v. International Trade Comm'n</i> , ___ F.3d ___, 2013 WL 4007535 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	15
<i>ArcelorMittal France v. AK Steel Corp.</i> , 700 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	11
<i>Beckman Instruments v. LKB Produkter AB</i> , 892 F.2d 1547 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	9
<i>Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing Ltd.</i> , 851 F.2d 1387 (1988)	12
<i>Gambro Lundia AB v. Baxter Healthcare Corp.</i> , 110 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	12
<i>Hughes Tool Co. v. Dresser Industries, Inc.</i> , 816 F.2d 1549 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	12
<i>Impax Labs. Inc. v. Aventis Pharm.Inc.</i> , 468 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	9
<i>In re Academy of Science-Tech Center</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	4
<i>In re American Academy of Science-Tech Center</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	4
<i>In re Antor Media Corp.</i> , 689 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	9
<i>In re Buchner</i> , 929 F.2d 660 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	9
<i>In re Donohue</i> , 766 F.2d 531 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	9
<i>In re Sneed</i> , 710 F.2d 1544 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	8

..

<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	8
<i>McNeil-PPC, Inc. v. L. Perrigo Co.</i> , 337 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	13
<i>Ormco Corp. v. Align Tech., Inc.</i> , 463 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	11, 13
<i>Rasmusson v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.</i> , 413 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	9
<i>Richdel, Inc. v. Sunspool Corp.</i> , 714 F.2d 1573 (Fed.Cir.1983)	15
<i>Saffran v. Johnson & Johnson</i> , 712 F.3d 549 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	4
<i>Symbol Techs. Inc. v. Opticon Inc.</i> , 935 F.2d 1569 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	9
<i>Tokai Corp. v. Easton Enters.</i> , 632 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	12, 13, 15
<i>Winner Intern. Royalty Corp. v. Wang</i> , 202 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	13
Other Authorities	
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxycorr, Inc.</i> IPR2012-00026, Paper 32 (PTAB, March 8, 2013)	11

...

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION
RELEVANT PATENT MATERIALS ****	
PX 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,461,353 (“the ‘353 Patent”)
PX 1002	Prosecution History for the ‘353 Patent
PRIOR ART ****	
PX 1003	Nokia Unveils World’s First All-In-One Communicator for the Americas, Nokia Press Release, September 19, 1996 (“ <u>Nokia</u> ”)
PX 1004	Watanabe, Mituyoshi, How to Make the Most of the Power Zaurus, Computing Communication Multimedia Mobile - Computing Communication Multimedia, April 14, 1998 (“ <u>Zaurus_1</u> ”) (including partial English translation)
	Power Zaurus Specifications: User Manual - Mobile Business Tool – Model MI-106 / MI-106M / MI-110M, November 1997 (“ <u>Zaurus_2</u> ”) (including partial English translation)
	Power Zaurus MI-110 / M106 / M106 Brochure, December 1997 (“ <u>Zaurus_3</u> ”)
	Power Zaurus MI-610/DC Brochure, June 1998 (“ <u>Zaurus_4</u> ”)
	Power Zaurus MI-504/ MI-506/ MI-506DC Brochure, July 1997 (“ <u>Zaurus_5</u> ”)
	Power Zaurus Article, PCWatch, November 18, 1997 (“ <u>Zaurus_6</u> ”)
PX 1005	Japanese Application No. H10-21224 to Tsutsumitake et al., January 23, 1998 (“ <u>Tsutsumitake</u> ”) (including English translation)
PX 1006	Bederson, Benjamin B. and Hollan James D., <u>Pad++</u> : A Zoomable Graphical Interface System, CHI ‘95 Mosaic of Creativity, May 1995 (“ <u>Bederson-1</u> ”)
	Bederson, Benjamin B. and Furnas, George W, Space-Scale Diagrams: Understanding Multiscale Interfaces, CHI ‘95 Proceedings, 1995 (“ <u>Bederson-2</u> ”)
	Bederson, Benjamin B., et al, A Zooming Web Browser, SPIE, Vol. 2667, 260-271, May 1996 (“ <u>Bederson-3</u> ”)
	Bederson, Ben and Meyer, Jon, Implementing a Zooming User Interface: Experience Building Pad ++, Software-Practice and Experience, Vol. 28(1), 1101-1135, August 1998 (“ <u>Bederson-4</u> ”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.