Paper 59

Date: December 27, 2013

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SYNOPSYS, INC. Petitioner,

v.

MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2012-00042 Patent 6,240,376

Held: November 14, 2013

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, and JENNIFER S. BISK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

WILLIAM H. WRIGHT, ESQUIRE TRAVIS M. JENSEN, ESQUIRE Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025-1015



1	ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:
2	MARK E. MILLER, ESQUIRE
3	O'Melveny & Myers, LLP
4	Two Embarcadero Center
5	28 th Floor
6	San Francisco, California 94111-3823
7	
8	and
9	
10	CHRISTOPHER L. McKEE, ESQUIRE
11	MICHAEL S. CUVIELLO, ESQUIRE
12	Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.
13	1100 13 th Street, N.W.
14	Suite 1200
15	Washington, D.C. 20005-4051
16	
17	
18	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday,
19	November 14, 2013, commencing at 2:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and
20	Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.
21	
22	
23	DDOCEEDINGS
24	PROCEEDINGS
25	
26	
27	JUDGE MEDLEY: Good afternoon. Please be seated.
28	This is the hearing for IPR2012-0042 between Petitioner
29	Synopsys and Patent Owner Mentor Graphics.
30	At this time we'd like the parties to introduce
31	themselves starting with Petitioner



Case Nos. IPR2012-00042 Patent 6,240,376

1	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Your Honor. William
2	Wright of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe on behalf of Petitioner
3	Synopsys. With me today is my colleague Travis Jensen and also
4	with me is David Pursley, Associate General Counsel for
5	Synopsys.
6	JUDGE MEDLEY: Thank you. And for Patent
7	Owner?
8	MR. McKEE: Good morning, Your Honors, or good
9	afternoon, Your Honors, Christopher McKee, lead counsel for
10	Mentor Graphics, and with me is backup counsel, Mark Miller
11	with O'Melveny & Myers who will be presenting the argument
12	today for Mentor Graphics, and also backup counsel, Michael
13	Cuviello with Banner & Witcoff, and we also have with us Tom
14	Evans, corporate counsel for Mentor Graphics.
15	JUDGE MEDLEY: Great. Thank you very much.
16	Okay. Per the order we sent out October 31st, you know that
17	each side has 60 minutes total time to present your arguments,
18	and we'd like the Petitioner to begin with its case with regard to
19	the challenge claims on which the Board instituted trial, and then
20	the Patent Owner can respond to the Petitioner's case and then at
21	that point we'd also like the Patent Owner to discuss their motion
22	to amend claims.
23	Then, Petitioner, you can take the rest of your time to



24

respond to all issues, and then, lastly, the Patent Owner, you can

1	respond, but only address the issues in connection with your
2	motion to amend.
3	So is that clear on how we're going to proceed?
4	MR. MILLER: Yes.
5	JUDGE MEDLEY: And also one other administrative
6	matter, when you're discussing your demonstratives so that we
7	can follow through the transcript, when we look back on the
8	transcript, if you could just refer to the slide number you're
9	discussing when you're up there, so then it will make sense in the
10	context of the transcript. That would be great, a big help for us.
11	Okay. So we'll begin with the Petitioner, and if you
12	could let us know how much time, rebuttal time, you'd like to
13	have.
14	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Your Honor. I would like
15	to reserve 15 minutes for rebuttal time. It may be 20 minutes,
16	but certainly reserve 15 minutes for rebuttal.
17	Your Honor, if I could, we have copies of the
18	materials that we'll be discussing. Could Mr. Jensen approach
19	and provide you those copies?
20	JUDGE MEDLEY: Yes, please. Thank you.
21	MR. WRIGHT: We have provided a copy of the
22	materials to the court reporter and we'll provide copies to
23	counsel as well.
24	JUDGE MEDLEY: And we did receive both parties'
25	demonstratives in the record, so we appreciate that.



Case Nos. IPR2012-00042 Patent 6,240,376

1	MR. WRIGHT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. If it
2	may please the Court, we'd like to discuss the Petition for Inter
3	Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 6,240,376. Trial was
4	granted on Claims 1 through 9, 11, 28 and 29 over U.S. Patent
5	Number 6,132,109 to Gregory, et al. Gregory, et al. is a
6	Synopsys patent that predates the 376 Patent by at least by
7	for filing date by several years.
8	The technology at issue here is related to what's
9	called EDA, design of integrated circuits using programming
10	language called HDL or RTL. It looks a great deal like a
11	computer language, but it is, instead, translated into integrated
12	circuit designs.
13	The specific patents, the 376 Patent and the 109
14	Patent, are both directed to our debugging integrated circuits
15	relating the initial high-level design language or registered
16	transfer level design language to the circuitry within a
17	simulation or emulation environment.
18	The Gregory Patent is directed to also towards
19	debugging. You can see debugging in its title. Debugging is
20	discussed throughout the background of the invention. And the
21	specific challenge that Gregory was addressing was the fact that
22	in optimizing HDL code to form an integrated circuit, you lose a
23	great deal of information, and it be confusing or difficult to track
24	circuitry back to the original text of the HDL language.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

