UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION Petitioner

v.

PROXYCONN, INC.
Patent Owner

Cases: IPR2012-00026, IPR2013-00109

Patent No.: 6,757,717 B1

Filed: September 16, 1999

Issued: June 29, 2004

Inventor: Leonid Goldstein

Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR DATA ACCESS

CORRECTED PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	.2
A.	Anticipation by Perlman of claims 1, 3 and 22-24	.2
B.	Anticipation by Yohe of claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 10, 22 and 23	.2
C.	Obviousness over the combination of <i>Perlman</i> and <i>Yohe</i> of claims 1, 3, 10 and 22-24.	.3
D.	Anticipation by Santos of claims 1, 3 and 22-23	.3
E.	Anticipation by DRP of claims 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, and 14	.4
F.	Obviousness over the combination of <i>Mattis</i> and <i>DRP</i> of original claims 6, 7, 9, 11, 12 and 14	
II.	ARGUMENT	.5
A.	Art Cited in The Petitions	.5
1.	Perlman	.5
2.	Yohe	.7
3.	Santos	.8
4.	DRP	.9
5.	Mattis1	0
B.	Construction of Claim Terms	11
1.	Data Access	11
2.	Permanent Storage Memory	12
3.	Sender/Computer and Receiver/Computer	12
C.	Perlman does not Anticipate Original Claims 1, 3 and 22-24	17
1.	Original Claim 1 and 3	17
2.	Original Claims 22-24	19
D.	Yohe Does Not Anticipate Original Claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 10, 22 and 23	21
1.	Original Claims 1, 3 and 102	21
2.	Original Claims 6 and 72	24
3.	Original Claims 22 and 232	27
E.	Perlman and Yohe do not make the Invention Claimed in Original Claims 1 3, 10 and 22-24 Obvious	
1. re	Perlman is not Analogous Art to the '717 Patent, and therefore cannot ender Obvious Original Claims 1, 3, 1, and 22-24	28



2. The Proposed Combination of Perlman and Yohe is Improper, and	
therefore cannot render Obvious Original Claims 1, 3, 10 and 22-24	31
F. Santos does not Anticipate Original Claims 1, 3, 22 and 23	32
1. Original Claims 1, 3, 22 and 23	33
G. DRP does not Anticipate Original Claims 6, 7, 9, 11, 12 and 14	36
1. Original Claims 6, 7 and 9	36
2. Original Claim 7	38
3. Original Claim 9	39
4. Original Claims 11, 12 and 14	40
5. Original Claim 14	41
H. Mattis and DRP do not make Original Claims 6, 7, 9, 11, 12 and 14 Obvious.	41
1. The Proposed Combination of Mattis and DRP is not supported by	
Sufficient Motivation	41
2. Original Claims 6, 7 and 9	42
3. Original Claims 11, 12 and 14	44
4. Original Claim 14	45
III. CONCLUSION	45



STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN DISPUTE

Petitioner did not submit statements of material facts in its petitions for *inter* partes review. Accordingly, no response is due pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.23(a), and no facts are admitted.



I. INTRODUCTION

The '717 Patent is directed to data access, and specifically, methods, systems, and apparatuses for increasing the speed of data accessing in communication networks. IPR2012-00026 and IPR2013-00109, EX1002 at 1:13-15. "Data access" is obtaining data from a remote server (a sender/computer) on a network in response to a request from a client (a receiver/computer).

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the "Board") has granted review of the '717 Patent on the following grounds:

A. ANTICIPATION BY *PERLMAN* OF CLAIMS 1, 3 AND 22-24

Patent Owner responds that Perlman is directed to data synchronization, rather than data access. *See* Sections II.C.1.a and II.C.2.a, *infra*. Perlman lacks permanent storage memory. *See* Section II.C.1.b, *infra*. Perlman further lacks the step of searching for data having the same digital digest, as required by claims 22-24. *See* Section II.C.2.b, *infra*. Thus, Perlman cannot anticipate challenged claims 1, 3, 22-24.

B. ANTICIPATION BY YOHE OF CLAIMS 1, 3, 6, 7, 10, 22 AND 23

Patent Owner responds that Yohe lacks a sender/computer, as required by Original Claims 1, 3, and 10. *See* Section II.D.1.a, *infra*. Yohe lacks a sender/computer having permanent storage memory and means for creating a digital digest on data, as required by Original Claims 1, 3 and 10. *See* Section



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

