

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision


Consultative Document

The Internal Ratings-Based Approach

Supporting Document
to the New Basel Capital Accord

Issued for comment by 31 May 2001

January 2001



BANK FOR INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1: OVERVIEW AND ORIENTATION OF IRB APPROACH.....	1
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. ORIENTATION OF THE IRB APPROACH	3
III. SIMPLE SCHEMATIC OF IRB APPROACH	4
A. CATEGORISATION OF EXPOSURES	5
B. RISK COMPONENTS	5
(i) <i>Probability of Default</i>	6
(ii) <i>Loss Given Default</i>	6
(iii) <i>Exposure at Default (EAD)</i>	6
(iv) <i>Maturity</i>	7
C. RISK WEIGHTS	7
D. MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS	7
(i) <i>Adherence to minimum requirements</i>	7
CHAPTER 2: IRB FRAMEWORK FOR CORPORATE EXPOSURES.....	9
I. DEFINITION OF CORPORATE EXPOSURES.....	9
II. RANGE OF PRACTICE.....	9
A. THE NUMBER OF GRADES BOTH FOR PERFORMING AND NON-PERFORMING LOANS	9
B. THE DECISION WHETHER TO FOCUS THE RATING ON THE BORROWER OR THE FACILITY	10
C. THE MEANS BY WHICH RATINGS ARE ASSIGNED	10
D. THE RISK FACTORS CONSIDERED IN THE RATING ASSIGNMENT PROCESS	11
E. THE TIME HORIZON OVER WHICH THE RATING IS CONSIDERED A VALID RISK INDICATOR	12
F. USE OF INTERNAL RATINGS	12
III. RISK COMPONENTS.....	13
A. OVERVIEW	13
B. PROBABILITY OF DEFAULT (PD)	15
(i) <i>Average PD per grade</i>	15
(ii) <i>One year PD</i>	16
(iii) <i>Methods for quantifying PD</i>	16
(iv) <i>Impact of credit derivatives and guarantees on estimation of PD</i>	16
C. LOSS GIVEN DEFAULT (LGD)	18
(i) <i>Foundation approach</i>	19
(ii) <i>LGD under the advanced approach</i>	21
D. EXPOSURE AT DEFAULT	22
(i) <i>Transactions with uncertain future drawdown</i>	23
(ii) <i>OTC derivatives</i>	24
E. MATURITY	25
(i) <i>Introduction</i>	25
(ii) <i>Treatment of maturity under the foundation IRB approach</i>	26
(iii) <i>Treatment of maturity under the advanced IRB approach</i>	27
(iv) <i>Definition of ‘effective maturity’</i>	27
(v) <i>Information required by banks</i>	28
F. DISCUSSION ON DEFINITION OF DEFAULT	29
(i) <i>Current practice for corporate exposures</i>	29
(ii) <i>Reference definition of default</i>	30
(iii) <i>Prospects of a “mapping” for estimates based on other definitions</i>	31
IV. RISK WEIGHTS FOR CORPORATE EXPOSURES	31
A. DETERMINATION OF RISK WEIGHTED ASSETS	31

B.	FORMULA FOR RWA FOR CORPORATE EXPOSURES	32
C.	CALIBRATION OF BENCHMARK RISK WEIGHTS FOR CORPORATE EXPOSURES	33
	(i) <i>Introduction</i>	34
	(ii) <i>Survey-based evidence</i>	35
	(iii) <i>Direct estimates of risk weights</i>	35
D.	MATURITY-ADJUSTMENTS TO CORPORATE RISK WEIGHTS	36
	(i) <i>Maturity-adjustments based on MTM approach</i>	37
	(ii) <i>Maturity-adjustments based on adjusted DM approach</i>	38
E.	EXPECTED LOSS AND THE DETERMINATION OF CORPORATE RISK WEIGHTS	40
V.	MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR CORPORATE EXPOSURES UNDER THE FOUNDATION APPROACH	41
A.	INTRODUCTION.....	41
B.	CRITERIA TO ENSURE MEANINGFUL DIFFERENTIATION OF RISK	42
	(i) <i>Overall rating system structure</i>	42
	(ii) <i>Rating grade structure</i>	43
C.	COMPLETENESS AND INTEGRITY OF RATING ASSIGNMENTS	43
	(i) <i>Introduction</i>	43
	(ii) <i>Coverage of ratings</i>	43
	(iii) <i>Independent assignment or review</i>	44
D.	OVERSIGHT OVER THE RATING SYSTEM AND PROCESSES.....	44
	(i) <i>Introduction</i>	44
E.	CRITERIA AND ORIENTATION OF RATING SYSTEM	45
	(i) <i>Introduction</i>	45
	(ii) <i>Assessment horizon</i>	45
F.	MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR ESTIMATION OF PD	46
G.	DATA COLLECTION AND IT SYSTEMS.....	48
H.	USE OF INTERNAL RATINGS	48
I.	INTERNAL VALIDATION.....	49
J.	DISCLOSURE OF KEY INTERNAL RATINGS INFORMATION	49
K.	USE OF SUPERVISORY ESTIMATES OF EAD, LGD, AND GUARANTEES/CREDIT DERIVATIVES.....	49
	(i) <i>Requirements for LGD</i>	49
	(ii) <i>Standards for supervisory estimates of EAD</i>	50
	(iii) <i>Standards for supervisory treatment of guarantees/credit derivatives</i>	50
VI.	MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ADVANCED APPROACH TO CORPORATE EXPOSURES.....	50
A.	REQUIREMENT FOR LOSS GIVEN DEFAULT	50
B.	REQUIREMENTS FOR EXPOSURE AT DEFAULT	51
C.	REQUIREMENTS FOR GUARANTEES AND CREDIT DERIVATIVES	51
VII.	CORPORATE EXPOSURES: KEY ISSUES WHERE FEEDBACK IS SOUGHT	52
A.	MATURITY.....	52
B.	REFERENCE DEFINITION OF DEFAULT.....	53
C.	CALIBRATION OF CORPORATE RISK WEIGHTS	53
D.	MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS	54
	CHAPTER 3: IRB FRAMEWORK FOR RETAIL EXPOSURES.....	55
I.	DEFINITION OF RETAIL EXPOSURES	55
II.	RANGE OF PRACTICE.....	56
III.	RISK COMPONENTS.....	57
A.	TWO FAMILIES OF INPUTS: PD/LGD, OR EL.....	57
B.	OWN ESTIMATES APPROACH TO INPUTS	58
IV.	RISK WEIGHTS FOR RETAIL EXPOSURES	59
A.	INTRODUCTION.....	59
B.	CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE CALIBRATION OF RISK WEIGHTS	60

(i)	Introduction.....	60
(ii)	Industry survey results.....	60
(iii)	Qualitative results.....	60
(iv)	Quantitative results.....	62
C.	BENCHMARK RISK WEIGHTS FOR RETAIL EXPOSURES.....	62
D.	TRANSLATION OF EL INPUTS INTO RISK WEIGHTS.....	65
E.	MATURITY.....	66
V.	MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR RETAIL PORTFOLIOS.....	66
A.	INTRODUCTION.....	66
B.	RATING STRUCTURE.....	67
C.	SEGMENTATION.....	67
(i)	Introduction.....	67
(ii)	Proposed minimum requirements for risk segmentation.....	68
D.	REQUIREMENTS FOR ESTIMATION OF RISK COMPONENTS.....	70
VI.	RETAIL EXPOSURES: KEY ISSUES WHERE FEEDBACK IS SOUGHT.....	71
A.	DEFINITION OF RETAIL EXPOSURES.....	71
B.	DERIVATION OF RISK WEIGHTS.....	71
C.	MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS.....	72
	CHAPTER 4: IRB APPROACH TO BANK EXPOSURES.....	73
I.	DEFINITION OF BANK EXPOSURES.....	73
II.	RANGE OF PRACTICE.....	73
III.	RISK COMPONENTS.....	73
A.	PROBABILITY OF DEFAULT (PD).....	73
B.	LOSS GIVEN DEFAULT (LGD).....	73
C.	MATURITY.....	73
D.	EXPOSURE MEASUREMENT.....	74
IV.	RISK WEIGHTS FOR BANK EXPOSURES.....	74
V.	MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR BANK EXPOSURES.....	74
A.	RATING CRITERIA.....	74
	CHAPTER 5: IRB APPROACH TO SOVEREIGN EXPOSURES.....	75
I.	DEFINITION OF SOVEREIGN EXPOSURES.....	75
II.	RANGE OF PRACTICE.....	75
III.	RISK COMPONENTS.....	75
A.	PROBABILITY OF DEFAULT (PD).....	76
B.	LOSS GIVEN DEFAULT (LGD).....	76
C.	MATURITY.....	76
D.	EXPOSURE MEASUREMENT.....	76
IV.	CALIBRATION OF RISK WEIGHTS.....	76
V.	MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR SOVEREIGN EXPOSURES.....	76
A.	DEFINITION OF DEFAULT.....	76
B.	RATING GRADE STRUCTURE.....	77
C.	RATING CRITERIA.....	77
D.	OVERSIGHT OVER RATING SYSTEM AND PROCESS.....	77
E.	REQUIREMENTS FOR USE OF OWN ESTIMATES OF LGD UNDER THE ADVANCED APPROACH.....	77

CHAPTER 6: KEY ISSUES IN DEVELOPING AN APPROACH TO EQUITY EXPOSURES FOR IRB BANKS.....	79
I. OVERVIEW.....	79
II. DISCUSSION OF APPROACHES	80
A. INTERNAL RATINGS FRAMEWORK.....	80
(i) <i>Pros of a corporate debt framework for equity</i>	81
(ii) <i>Cons of a corporate debt framework for equity</i>	81
B. A MARKET RISK OR STRESS TEST APPROACH TO EQUITY POSITIONS	82
(i) <i>Pros of a market risk/stress test approach</i>	82
(ii) <i>Cons of a market risk/stress test approach</i>	82
III. EQUITY EXPOSURES: KEY ISSUES WHERE FEEDBACK IS SOUGHT.....	82
CHAPTER 7: KEY ISSUES IN DEVELOPING AN IRB APPROACH TO PROJECT FINANCE.....	84
I. OVERVIEW.....	84
II. RANGE OF PRACTICE.....	84
A. DEFINITION OF PROJECT FINANCE PORTFOLIOS	85
B. DIFFICULTIES IN MEASUREMENT OF PD, LGD, AND EAD, AND ASSOCIATED DATA LIMITATIONS	85
C. THE HIGHER CORRELATIONS AMONG PD, LGD, AND EAD.....	85
III. POTENTIAL INPUTS INTO AN IRB FRAMEWORK FOR PROJECT FINANCE	86
A. OPTION 1: SEPARATE ANALYSES OF PD, LGD, AND EAD.....	86
B. OPTION 2: SEPARATE ANALYSES OF EL AND EAD.....	86
C. OPTION 3: PROVIDE FOR BOTH OPTIONS 1 AND 2	87
D. CONSIDERATION OF FURTHER DIMENSIONS.....	87
IV. ISSUES RELATED TO A RISK WEIGHT STRUCTURE.....	87
V. PRELIMINARY THOUGHTS ON MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS.....	88
VI. PROJECT FINANCE: KEY AREAS WHERE FEEDBACK IS SOUGHT	88
CHAPTER 8: GRANULARITY.....	89
I. IMPORTANCE OF THE GRANULARITY ADJUSTMENT	89
II. CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND	89
III. SCOPE OF APPLICATION.....	90
IV. METHODOLOGY FOR THE GRANULARITY ADJUSTMENT	91
V. EXPOSURE AGGREGATION AND CREDIT RISK MITIGATION	93
VI. TECHNICAL DERIVATION.....	93
CHAPTER 9: IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES.....	100
I. TRANSITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS	100
II. ADOPTION OF THE IRB APPROACH ACROSS ALL EXPOSURES.....	101
III. ADOPTION OF ELEMENTS OF THE ADVANCED APPROACH FOR IRB.....	102

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.