UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE			UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
15/661,955	07/27/2017	Kenneth P. Weiss	W0537-701324FT	5899
37462 7590 09/13/2017 LANDO & ANASTASI, LLP			EXAMINER	
ONE MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100 IMMANUEL, ISIDORA I CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142				, ISIDORA 1
C/ MIDKIDGL	, 1111 02142		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3685	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2017	ELECTRONIC

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@LALaw.com CKent@LALaw.com

DOCKET

Δ

APPLE 1016

D\_\_\_\_ 1 \_f 10

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	15/661,955	Weiss, Kenneth P.				
Chice Action Summary		Art Unit	AIA Status			
	ISIDORA I IMMANUEL	3685	No			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc	e address			
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY</li> <li>DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period V</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	— 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed I the mailing date of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133	f this communication.			
Status						
1)  ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07/27						
A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under <b>37 CFR 1.1</b>						
, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3) An election was made by the applicant in response , the restriction requirement and election	have been incorporated into this	action.	-			
4) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			o the merits is			
Disposition of Claims*						
5) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
5a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-28</u> is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7)✔ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	tion and/or election requirement					
<ul> <li>9) Claim(s) See office action are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> <li>* If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a</li> </ul>						
participating intellectual property office for the corresponding an	-	-	,, <b>,</b>			
http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send						
Application Papers						
10) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
	in a required in the drawing(a) is obje		or it 1.121(u).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign Certified copies:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some** c) None of th	e.					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur</li> </ol>		eived in this N	National Stage			
** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certifi	ed copies not received.					
Attachment(s)						
1) V Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/27/2017	Paper No(s)/Mail D B/08b) 4) 🗌 Other:		1 -f 10			
OCKET						
L A K M Find authenticated court doc	uments without watermarks at <u>o</u>	docketalarm.c	<u>com</u> .			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Acknowledgements

- 1. This office action is in response to the claims filed 07/27/2017.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are elected.
- 3. Claims 1-28 are pending.

RM

- 4. Claims 22-28 are non-elected
- 5. Claims 1-21 have been examined.

### Notice of Pre-AIA or AIA Status

6. The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

### **Restriction/Election Acknowledgement**

7. During a telephone conversation with Applicant's representative John Anastasi on 08/17/2017 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group 1, claims 1-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 22-28 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

### **Examiner's Comments**

8. Regarding claims 1, and 15, "code is generated... responsive to successful authentication...", and claim 10, "code is generated using..." are optional language because if there is no successful authentication the generating will not occur. The

limitations are optional language and therefore do not have patentable weight. *Ex parte Schulhauser,* Appeal No. 2013-007847 at 7-9 (P.T.A.B. April 28, 2016) See MPEP 2103(I)(c ).

9. Regarding claim 1, with respect to claim language "interface configured to receive...", "processors configured to retrieve...", claims 6 and 20, "sensor is configured to capture...", recites intended use and therefore does not have patentable weight. See MPEP 2114.

10. Regarding claims 2 and 16, "authentication code comprises a code…", claims 8 and 15, "input comprising a personal…", claim 9, "code comprises…", and claim 10, "code is generated…" are nonfunctional descriptive material and therefore do not have patentable weight. See *In re Gulack*, 217 USPQ 401 (Fed. Cir. 1983), *In re Ngai*, 70 USPQ2d (Fed. Cir. 2004), *In re Lowry*, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994); MPEP 2111.05.

11. Regarding claim 8, the language "code is generated...", "input is received...", claim 11, "account is communicated...", and claim 12, "code are encrypted...", does not disclose a positively recited step and therefore does not patentable weight. See MPEP 2103 (I) (C), MPEP 2114.

12. Regarding claim 13, the language "sensor is configured to capture...", claim 14, "computer system comprises one or more..." is a structural limitation in a method claim and has no patentable weight. *Ex parte Pfeiffer*, 135 USPQ 31 (Bd. App. 1961).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

13. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

14. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

### Subject Matter Eligibility Standard

15. When considering subject matter eligibility under 35 U.S.C. 101, it must be determined whether the claim is directed to one of the four statutory categories of invention, i.e., process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter. If the claim does fall within one of the statutory categories, it must then be determined whether the claim is directed to a judicial exception (i.e., law of nature, natural phenomenon, and abstract idea), and if so, it must additionally be determined whether the claim is a patent-eligible application of the exception. If an abstract idea is present in the claim, any element or combination of elements in the claim must be sufficient to ensure that the claim amounts to significantly more than the abstract idea itself. Examples of abstract ideas include fundamental economic practices; certain methods of organizing human activities; an idea itself; and mathematical relationships/formulas. (*Alice Corporation Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank International, et al. US Supreme Court, No. 13-298, June 19, 2014*).

#### <u>Analysis</u>

16. In the instant case, claim 1 is directed to a system, claim 8 is directed to a method and claim 15 is directed to a storage medium.

17. The claims recite "receiving identification information…", "retrieving account information…", and "using the retrieved account information…" Additionally, the claim is directed towards a fundamental economic practice, in this case, authenticating a user

Daga 5 of 20

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.