

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
VISA INC., and VISA U.S.A. INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2018-00812 (Patent 8,856,539 B2)  
CBM2018-00024 (Patent 8,577,813 B2)  
CBM2018-00025 (Patent 8,577,813 B2)

---

Before PATRICK R. SCANLON, GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, and  
JASON W. MELVIN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MELVIN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER  
Trial Hearing  
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

IPR2018-00812 (Patent 8,856,539 B2)  
CBM2018-00024 (Patent 8,577,813 B2)  
CBM2018-00025 (Patent 8,577,813 B2)

Patent Owner, Universal Secure Registry, LLC, and Petitioner Apple Inc., requested oral argument. Papers 37, 38.<sup>1</sup> The requests are granted.

Patent Owner requests sixty (60) minutes per side for the combined hearing, while Petitioner requests ninety (90) minutes per side. *Id.* We determine that ninety (90) minutes per side will allow sufficient time.

**Oral argument will commence at 12:00 PM CT on AUGUST 27, 2019. The hearing will be conducted at the USPTO Texas Regional Office, 207 South Houston St., Suite 159, Dallas, Texas.<sup>2</sup> Each side will receive ninety (90) minutes of presentation time (including any rebuttal).**

The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance, which will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing, and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. For planning purposes, it is not required, but it would be greatly appreciated, if the parties could indicate to the Board by email to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov), at least two (2) business days prior to the hearing, approximately how many people they expect to be present at the hearing for each side.

The hearing will proceed as follows. Petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its case. Patent Owner then will respond to Petitioner's presentation. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time (of no more than half its total argument time) to reply to Patent Owner's arguments. Patent Owner

---

<sup>1</sup> The cited papers were filed in IPR2018-00812. Corresponding papers were filed in the other captioned cases.

<sup>2</sup> See <https://www.uspto.gov/about-us/uspto-locations/dallas-tx/dallas-texas> for additional information.

IPR2018-00812 (Patent 8,856,539 B2)  
CBM2018-00024 (Patent 8,577,813 B2)  
CBM2018-00025 (Patent 8,577,813 B2)

may reserve sur-rebuttal time (of no more than half its total argument time) to respond to Petitioner's rebuttal. *See Trial Practice Guide August 2018 Update*, 20. No live testimony from any witness will be taken at the oral argument.

A pre-hearing conference call will be held at either party's request. The request must be made no later than **August 6, 2019**. Prior to making such a request, the parties shall meet and confer and, when possible, send a joint request to the Board with an agreed upon set of limited issues for discussion. A request for a pre-hearing conference may be made by email to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov), and shall include a list of issues to be discussed during the call and proposed times for the call, which should be no later than three business days prior to the oral hearing.

At least one member of the panel will be attending the hearing electronically from a remote location and will not be able to view the projection screen in the hearing room. Thus, if a demonstrative exhibit is not made available in advance or visible to the judge(s) presiding over the hearing remotely, that demonstrative exhibit will not be helpful. Each presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript and for the benefit of the judge(s) presiding over the hearing remotely. A hard copy of the demonstratives, if filed, should be provided to the court reporter at the hearing. Also, the parties are reminded that, at the oral argument, they "may rely upon evidence that has been previously submitted in the proceeding and may only present arguments relied upon in the papers previously submitted." *Office Patent Trial Practice Guide*, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768

IPR2018-00812 (Patent 8,856,539 B2)  
CBM2018-00024 (Patent 8,577,813 B2)  
CBM2018-00025 (Patent 8,577,813 B2)

(Aug. 14, 2012). “No new evidence or arguments may be presented at the oral argument.” *Id.*

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served on the opposing party seven (7) business days prior to the hearing.

Demonstrative exhibits used at the final hearing are aids to oral argument and not evidence, and should be clearly marked as such. For example, each slide of a demonstrative exhibit may be marked with the words “DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE” in the footer. *Trial Practice Guide August 2018 Update*, 21.

The Board expects that the parties will meet and confer in good faith to resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits, but if such objections cannot be resolved, the parties may raise any dispute over the propriety of each party’s demonstrative exhibits during the pre-hearing conference call, if requested as set forth above. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not presented timely will be considered waived. The Board asks the parties to confine demonstrative exhibit objections to those identifying egregious violations that are prejudicial to the administration of justice. The parties may refer to *CBS Interactive Inc. v. Helferich Patent Licensing, LLC*, IPR2013-00033 (PTAB October 23, 2013) (Paper 118), and *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Div., Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, IPR2013-00041 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (Paper 65) regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits. The parties are directed to **file** their demonstrative exhibits, marked as noted above, in the record at least three (3) business days prior to the hearing.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. Any counsel of record, however, may present the party’s

IPR2018-00812 (Patent 8,856,539 B2)  
CBM2018-00024 (Patent 8,577,813 B2)  
CBM2018-00025 (Patent 8,577,813 B2)

argument. If either party anticipates that its lead counsel will not be attending the oral argument, the parties should request a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than two (2) business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

A party may request remote video attendance for one or more of its other attendees to view the hearing from any USPTO location. The available locations include the USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia; the Midwest Regional Office in Detroit, Michigan; the Rocky Mountain Regional Office in Denver, Colorado; and the Silicon Valley Office in San Jose, CA. To request remote video viewing, a party must send an email message to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov) ten business days prior to the hearing, indicating the requested location and the number planning to view the hearing from the remote location. The Board will notify the parties if the request for video viewing is granted. Note that it may not be possible to grant the request due to the availability of resources.

Any special requests for audio-visual equipment should be directed to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov). A party may also indicate any special requests related to appearing at an in-person oral hearing, such as a request to accommodate physical needs that limit mobility or visual or hearing impairments, and indicate how the PTAB may accommodate the special request. Any special requests must be presented in a separate communication not less than five (5) days before the hearing.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is:

ORDERED that oral argument will commence at 12:00 PM CT on August 27, 2019.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.