Unit	ed States Patent 2	and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/768,729	06/26/2007	Kenneth P. Weiss	W0537-700620	3536
37462 7590 10/02/2013 LANDO & ANASTASI, LLP ONE MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100			EXAMINER	
			GYORFI, THOMAS A	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2435	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2013	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@LALaw.com gengelson@LALaw.com

	Application No. 11/768,729	Applicant(s) WEISS, KENNETH P.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Thomas Gyorfi	Art Unit 2435	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	corresponden	ce address
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of D (35 U.S.C. § 13	this communication.
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/20</u> A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1 			
2a) This action is FINAL . $2b)$ This			
		oot forth durir	a the interview on
3) An election was made by the applicant in res ; the restriction requirement and election	•		IS THE THE MEW ON
·	•		o the morite is
4) Since this application is in condition for allows			
closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 43	00 U.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
5)X Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,9-16,19-21,24-30,32-39 and 4</u>	<u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
7)🔀 Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,9-16,19-21,24-30,32-39 and 4</u>	<u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.		
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
* If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be	-	secution High	way program at a
participating intellectual property office for the corresponding	application. For more information, plea	ase see	
http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or sen	d an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@uspto.c</u>	<u>qov</u> .	
Application Departs			
Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examin	or		
, <u> </u>		—	
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac			()
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • •		. ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See	37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Certified copies:			
a) All b) Some * c) None of the:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		tion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			
application from the International Burea	-		ional olage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of			
	of the centiled copies not received.		
Attackmont(c)			
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			
	3) Interview Summary		
2) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ale	
OCVET			
OCKET			
L A R M Find authenticated court do	cuments without watermarks at g	docketalarm	com.

Application/Control Number: 11/768,729 Art Unit: 2435

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3-5, 9-16, 19-21, 24-30, 32-39, & 41-48 remain for examination. The amendment filed 5/20/13 amended claims 1, 16, 47, & 48.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/20/13 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5/20/13 was filed after the mailing date of the Final Rejection on 12/18/12. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 10-13 of the amendment filed 5/20/13, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-48 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 11/768,729 Art Unit: 2435

However, upon further search and consideration of the art, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the newly discovered reference U.S. Patent 7,742,967 (hereinafter, "Keresman").

Claim Objections

5. Applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claim 47 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

7. Claims 1, 3-5, 9-16, 19-21, 24-30, 32-39, & 41-48 are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giordano (U.S. Patent 7,571,139) in view of Keresman (U.S. Patent 7,742,967).

Regarding claims 1, 47, and 48:

Giordano teaches a secure registry system comprising: a database including secure data for each entity, wherein each entity is associated with a [*time-varying*] multi-

Application/Control Number: 11/768,729 Art Unit: 2435

OCKE.

character code for each entity having secure data in the secure registry system, respectively, each [time-varying] multi-character code representing an identity of one of the respective entities (col. 18, lines 14-47); and a processor configured to receive, from the first party, at least the [time-varying] multi-character code for the entity on whose behalf a transaction is to be performed, configured to map the [time-varying] multicharacter code to the identity of the entity in the database using the [time-varying] multicharacter code, and to allow or not allow access to secure data associated with the entity including information required to enable the transaction, the information including account identifying information, wherein the account identifying information is not provided to the first party, and the account identifying information is provided to a third party to enable the transaction with the first party and without providing the account identifying information to the first party (i.e. note that the POS system does not get access to customers credit/debit account information: col. 18, lines 5-47). Specific to claim 48, Giordano further discloses wherein enabling the transaction without providing the account identifying information to the first party includes limiting transaction information provided by the secure registry system to the first party to transaction approval information (the buyer either succeeds in purchasing his desired products or is declined, with no other information being provided: col. 18, line 65 – col. 19, line 15).

Giordano does not disclose wherein his multi-character code is a time-varying multi-character code; nor [specific to claims 1 & 47] does Giordano disclose a restriction mechanism configured to determine compliance with any access restrictions for the first party to secure data for completing the transaction. However, Keserman discloses a

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.