Filed: May 26, 2017

Filed on behalf of HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc.

By: Irfan A. Lateef

Brian C. Claassen

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

2040 Main Street, 14th Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

Telephone: 949-760-0404 Facsimile: 949-760-9502

Email: BoxHTC57@knobbe.com

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC CORPORATION and HTC AMERICA, INC., Petitioners,

V.

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES INC., Patent Owner.

Case No. CBM2017-00054 U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page No.		
I.	INT	INTRODUCTION				
II.	OVI	OVERVIEW				
III.	MANDATORY NOTICES PURSUANT TO C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)					
	A.	Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))				
	B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))1				
	C.	Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))2				
	D.	Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))				
IV.	PAY	MEN	T OF FEES	3		
V.	SUN	SUMMARY OF THE '941 PATENT4				
	A.	Specification4				
	B.	Prosecution History of the '941 patent				
		1.	Original Application Prosecution	6		
		2.	Ex Parte Reexamination Prosecution	8		
VI.	REQUIREMENTS FOR CBM REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.3048					
	A.	Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a))				
		1.	Eligibility Requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.302	9		
		2.	Timing Requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.303	9		
	B.	3. The '941 Patent Is Directed to a Covered Business Method				
		1.	Claims of the '941 Patent Are Financial in Nature	10		
		2.	The '941 Patent Does Not Include a Technolog			



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

(cont'd.)

Page No.

			a.	The '941 Patent Does Not Solve a Technical Problem Using a Technical Solution	14
			b.	Claims 1, 2, and 10 Do Not Recite a Novel and Non-Obvious Technological Invention	16
	C.	Supp	orting l	Evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(5))	20
	D.	Perso	on Of C	Ordinary Skill In The Art	21
VII.	CLA	IM CC	NSTR	UCTION	21
	A.	"Lice	ense Re	ecord"	22
	B.	Indef	inite L	imitations	23
VIII.	THE	CH	IALLE	E THAN A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT ENGED '941 PATENT CLAIMS ARE E	24
	A.			The '941 Patent Is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C.	26
		1.	Contr	Step One: The Claims Cover the Abstract Idea of colling Software Access Based On Data Stored in a cular Location	27
			a.	Data Storage and Manipulation Claims Have Been Found to Constitute Abstract Ideas	29
			b.	The Claims Do Not Provide A Specific Technical Solution To A Technical Problem	31
			c.	Any Novelty In Implementing The Abstract Idea Is Considered Only In <i>Alice</i> Step Two	32
		2.		Step Two: The Claims Do Not Provide An ntive Concept"	34



## TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd.)

Page No.


		a.	Setting Up a Verification Structure in BIOS Does Not Transform the Abstract Idea	34
		b.	The Other Claim Limitations Also Recite Well-Known, Conventional Computer Technology	37
		c.	The Ordered Combination of Limitations Provides No Inventive Concept	40
B.			Claims 1-18 Are Indefinite Under 35 U.S.C. § 112,	42
	1.	"An	Agent To Set Up a Verification Structure " lers Claims 1-19 Indefinite	
		a.	"Agent" Is a Means-Plus-Function Limitation	44
		b.	"Agent" Lacks Corresponding Structure in the Specification and Thus Is Indefinite	46
	2.		r Indefiniteness Issues with Respect to Dependent ns 5, 8, 16, and 17	49
		a.	Claim 5—"The Unique Key" Is Indefinite	50
		b.	Claim 8 Is Indefinite	51
		c.	Claim 16—"Second Non-Volatile Memory" Is Indefinite	52
		d.	Claim 17—"The License Record" Is Indefinite	52
C.			Claims 1-19 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § Lack of Written Description	53
D.			Hasebe in View of DMI Specification Renders aims 1-3, 5-14, and 16-17	57



### TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd.)

Page No.

1.	Claim 1	.60
2.	Claim 2	.69
3.	Claim 3	.69
4.	Claim 5	.72
5.	Claim 6	.72
6.	Claim 7	.73
7.	Claim 8	.75
8.	Claim 9	.77
9.	Claim 10	.78
10.	Claim 11	.78
11.	Claim 12	.79
12.	Claim 13	.79
13.	Claim 14	.80
14.	Claim 16	.81
15.	Claim 17	.82
	nd 5: Hasebe in View of the DMI Specification and Changers Obvious Claims 1-3, 5-14, and 16-17	.82
	nd 6: Hasebe in View of the DMI Specification and ugh Renders Obvious Claims 1-3, 5-14, and 16-17	.86
	nd 7: Hasebe in View of the DMI Specification and Isikoff ers Obvious Claims 1-3, 5-14, an 16-17	.88



E.

F.

G.

# DOCKET A L A R M

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

#### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

