

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EBAY INC. AND
PAYPAL, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

XPRT VENTURES, LLC
Patent Owner.

CBM2017-Unassigned
U.S. Patent No. 7,610,244

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,610,244
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.304**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b).....	2
III. PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	5
IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING - 37 C.F.R. § 42.204(a)	5
A. At Least One Challenged Claim Is Unpatentable	5
B. Claims 1, 2, 15, 19, 20, 34, 40, 47, 48, 52, and 53 Are Directed To A Covered Business Method	5
C. Claims 1, 2, 15, 19, 20, 34, 40, 47, 48, 52, and 53 Are Not Directed To A “Technological Invention”	7
D. Petitioners Have Been Charged With Infringement Of This Patent	10
V. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED	11
A. Identification Of Claims For Which Review Is Requested And Relief Requested– 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.204(b)(1) And 42.22(a)(1)	11
B. Statutory Grounds Of Challenge – 37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(2).....	11
VI. THE ’244 PATENT	11
A. Purported Invention.....	11
B. Prosecution Of The ’244 Patent	17
C. <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination Of The ’244 Patent.....	20
D. <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination Of The ’244 Patent	20
VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION - 37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(3)	21
VIII. IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE - 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.204(b)(4)-(5) AND 42.22(a)(2)	21
A. Legal Standard.....	22
1. Step One: Abstract Idea	23
2. Step Two: Inventive Concept.....	26
B. The ’244 Patent Claims an Abstract Idea.....	28

C.	The '244 Patent Claims Do Not Contain An “Inventive Concept” Sufficient To Transform The Abstract Idea Into A Patent-Eligible Invention.....	33
1.	Individually, The '244 Patent Claims Do Not Contain An “Inventive Concept” Sufficient To Transform The Abstract Idea Into A Patent-Eligible Invention	35
2.	As An Ordered Combination, The '244 Patent Claims Do Not Contain An “Inventive Concept” Sufficient To Transform The Abstract Idea Into A Patent-Eligible Invention	73
D.	The '244 Patent Preempts all Effective Uses of the Abstract Idea of Using Previously-Funded Accounts to Effect Payments for Electronic Auctions	75
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	76

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. DirecTV, LLC,
2016 WL 5335501 (Fed. Cir. Sep. 23, 2016)..... 17, 30

Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l,
134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014) passim

American Express Co. v. MetaSearch Systems, LLC,
CBM2014-00001, Paper No. 70 (PTAB Mar. 13, 2015)..... 36, 43, 52, 55

Bilski v. Kappos,
130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010) 1, 24

buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc.,
765 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 24, 27, 29, 42

CMB Financial Services, Inc. v. Pacific Trust Bank, F.S.B.,
11-cv-10344 PSG, Dkt. No. 164 (C.D. Cal. August 29, 2014).....60

eBay, Inc. v. Paid, Inc.,
CBM2014-00128, Paper No. 15 at 12-14 (Sept. 30, 2014).....10

Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.,
822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016)33

FairWarning IP, LLC v. Iatric Systems, Inc.,
No. 2015-1895, 2016 WL 5899185 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 11, 2016).....25

Fidelity Nat’l. Info. Servs., Inc. v. CheckFree Corp.,
CBM2013-00031, Paper No. 49 (PTAB Dec. 22, 2014) 55, 68

Google Inc. v. Performance Price Holdings, LLC,
CBM2016-00049, 2016 WL 5787365 (PTAB Sep. 21, 2016)9

In re Yamamoto,
740 F.2d 1569 (Fed. Cir.1984)21

Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp. (“Symantec”),
2016 WL 5539870 (Fed. Cir. Sep. 30, 2016) 25, 28

LinkedIn Corp v. AVMarkets, Inc.,
CBM2013-00025, Paper No. 13 (PTAB Nov. 12, 2013)..... 41, 63

Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.,
132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012) passim

Petition for Covered Business Method Review of U.S. Pat. No. 7,610,244

McRO, Inc. v. Bandai Namco Games America,
2016 WL 4896481 (Fed. Cir. Sep. 13, 2016).....32

Morg. Grader, Inc. v. First Choice Loan Servs. Inc.,
811 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 25, 29

OIP Techs., Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.,
788 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 25, 29, 40

Planet Bingo, LLC v. VKGS LLC,
576 Fed. Appx. 1005 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 37, 70

SAP America, Inc. v. Arunachalam,
CBM2014-00018, Paper No. 33 (PTAB Mar. 6, 2015) passim

Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC,
772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014) passim

XPRT Ventures, LLC v. eBay Inc. et al.,
Case No. 1:10-cv-595-SLR (D. Del.).....3

Statutes and Regulations

35 U.S.C. § 101 passim

35 U.S.C. § 102 3, 20

35 U.S.C. § 103 3, 19, 20

35 U.S.C. § 12017

35 U.S.C. § 324 5, 11, 76

37 C.F.R. § 1.11148

37 C.F.R. § 1.25(b)1

37 C.F.R. § 42.155

37 C.F.R. § 42.2035

37 C.F.R. § 42.300(b)21

37 C.F.R. § 42.3015, 7

37 C.F.R. § 42.302(b)10

37 C.F.R. § 42.8 2, 3, 4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.