### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

ALFONSO CIOFFI, MEGAN ELIZABETH ROZMAN, MELANIE ANN ROZMAN, AND MORGAN LEE ROZMAN Patent Owners

> Proceeding No. CBM2017-00015 Patent No. RE43,528

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE43,528



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Table	e of Co	ontents	•••••		i		
Table	e of A	ıthoriti	ies		viii		
List	of Exh	ibits	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ix		
Man	datory	Notice	es Und	er 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	xii		
	Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))						
	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))						
	Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))						
	Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))						
I.	Intro	duction	n		1		
II.	Standing to Seek Covered Business Method Review						
	A.	Petitioner Has Been Sued for Infringement of the '528 Reissue and Is Not Estopped					
	B.	The '	528 R	eissue Is a Covered Business Method Patent	2		
		1.		528 Reissue Is Directed to a "Financial Product or ice"	3		
		2.		528 Reissue Is Not Directed to a "Technological ntion"	10		
			a.	The Challenged Claims Do Not Recite a Technological Feature That Is Novel and Nonobvious	10		
			b.	The Challenged Claims Do Not Solve a Technical Problem Using a Technical Solution	13		
	C.	Chall	lenged	Claims and Grounds for Challenge	15		
III.	The Challenged Patent and Prosecution History						
	A.	Effective Filing Date of the '528 Reissue			16		
	B.	The Original '247 Patent					
	C.	The '528 Reissue					
	D.	Perso	on of C	Ordinary Skill in the Art	26		
IV.	Cons	nstruction of Terms in the Challenged Claims					



V.	The Challenged Claims Are Obvious in Light of the Prior Art					
	A.	Identification of the Primary Prior Art References				
		1.	Ioann	nidis-2002 (Ex. 1025)	29	
		2.	Ioann	nidis-2001 (Ex. 1026)	30	
		3.	Abso	lute OpenBSD (Ex. 1027)	31	
		4.	Comp	plete FreeBSD (Ex. 1028)	31	
	В.	Overview of the Ioannidis Secure Multi-Process Web Browse Disclosed in Ioannidis-2002 (Ex. 1025) and Ioannidis-2001 (Ex. 1026)				
	C.	Claims 21-24, 30, 44, 64, and 67 Are Rendered Obvious by Ioannidis in View of Absolute OpenBSD [Ground 1]				
		1.	instru	n 21: A portable computer capable of executing actions using a common operating system, prising:	37	
			a.	a network interface device configured to exchange data across a network of one or more computers and access at least one website;	37	
			b.	at least a first memory space and a second memory space, the first memory space containing at least one system file;	38	
			c.	at least one electronic data processor communicatively coupled to the network interface device, the first and second memory space, and to a user interface, wherein the user interface is configured to receive input from a computer user;	39	
			d.	the at least one electronic data processor configured to execute a first web browser process, capable of accessing data of the at least one website via the network, in a first logical process within the common operating system, wherein the first logical process is capable of accessing data contained in the first memory space;	41	



	e.	the at least one electronic data processor further configured to execute a second web browser process in a second logical process within the common operating system, wherein the second logical process is capable of accessing data contained in the second memory space and is further capable of generating video data from the at least one website accessed via the network; and42		
	f.	a video processor configured to process video data from the second web browser process for display;44		
	g.	wherein the first web browser process is capable of opening the second web browser process and is further capable of passing data to the second web browser process;		
	h.	wherein further the portable computer is configured such that the at least one system file residing on the first memory space is protected from corruption by a malware process downloaded from the network and executing within the second web browser process		
2.	Claim 22: The portable computer of claim 21 wherein the first web browser process is capable of directly exchanging data with the network interface device and with the second web browser process			
3.	Claim 23: The portable computer of claim 22 wherein the first web browser process is capable of passing data downloaded from the network to the second web browser process			
4.	Claim 24: The portable computer of claim 21 wherein the second web browser process is capable of directly exchanging data with the network interface device and with the first web browser process.			
5.	such t execu down	that the first web browser process is protected from thing instructions initiated by a malware process loaded from the network and executing as part of econd web browser process		



6.	Claim 44: A method of operating a portable computer capable of executing instructions using a common operating system and comprising a network interface device, at least a first memory space and a second memory space, and at least one electronic data processor communicatively coupled to the network interface device, the first and second memory space, and to a user interface, comprising:				
	a.	exchanging data across a network of one or more computers with the network interface device and accessing at least one website;	51		
	b.	storing at least one system file in the first memory space;	51		
	c.	opening a first web browser process capable of accessing data of the at least one website via the network, wherein the first web browser process is capable of accessing data contained in the first memory space;	52		
	d.	opening a second web browser process, wherein the second web browser process is capable of accessing data contained in the second memory space, and is further capable of generating data for video display;	52		
	e.	passing data from the first web browser process to the second web browser process;	52		
	f.	wherein the portable computer is configured such that the at least one system file residing on the first memory space is protected from corruption by a malware process downloaded from the network and executing as part of the second web browser process.	53		



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

