

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

ALFONSO CIOFFI, MEGAN ELIZABETH ROZMAN,
MELANIE ANN ROZMAN, AND MORGAN LEE ROZMAN
Patent Owners

Proceeding No. CBM2017-00015
Patent No. RE43,528

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE43,528**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	i
Table of Authorities	viii
List of Exhibits.....	ix
Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	xii
Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	xii
Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	xii
Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))	xii
Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	xiii
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Standing to Seek Covered Business Method Review	2
A. Petitioner Has Been Sued for Infringement of the '528 Reissue and Is Not Estopped	2
B. The '528 Reissue Is a Covered Business Method Patent.....	2
1. The '528 Reissue Is Directed to a “Financial Product or Service”	3
2. The '528 Reissue Is Not Directed to a “Technological Invention”	10
a. The Challenged Claims Do Not Recite a Technological Feature That Is Novel and Nonobvious.....	10
b. The Challenged Claims Do Not Solve a Technical Problem Using a Technical Solution.....	13
C. Challenged Claims and Grounds for Challenge.....	15
III. The Challenged Patent and Prosecution History	16
A. Effective Filing Date of the '528 Reissue	16
B. The Original '247 Patent	16
C. The '528 Reissue	22
D. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	26
IV. Construction of Terms in the Challenged Claims	26

V.	The Challenged Claims Are Obvious in Light of the Prior Art	29
A.	Identification of the Primary Prior Art References	29
1.	Ioannidis-2002 (Ex. 1025)	29
2.	Ioannidis-2001 (Ex. 1026)	30
3.	Absolute OpenBSD (Ex. 1027).....	31
4.	Complete FreeBSD (Ex. 1028).....	31
B.	Overview of the Ioannidis Secure Multi-Process Web Browser Disclosed in Ioannidis-2002 (Ex. 1025) and Ioannidis-2001 (Ex. 1026).....	32
C.	Claims 21-24, 30, 44, 64, and 67 Are Rendered Obvious by Ioannidis in View of Absolute OpenBSD [Ground 1].....	36
1.	Claim 21: A portable computer capable of executing instructions using a common operating system, comprising:.....	37
a.	a network interface device configured to exchange data across a network of one or more computers and access at least one website;.....	37
b.	at least a first memory space and a second memory space, the first memory space containing at least one system file;.....	38
c.	at least one electronic data processor communicatively coupled to the network interface device, the first and second memory space, and to a user interface, wherein the user interface is configured to receive input from a computer user;	39
d.	the at least one electronic data processor configured to execute a first web browser process, capable of accessing data of the at least one website via the network, in a first logical process within the common operating system, wherein the first logical process is capable of accessing data contained in the first memory space;.....	41

- e. the at least one electronic data processor further configured to execute a second web browser process in a second logical process within the common operating system, wherein the second logical process is capable of accessing data contained in the second memory space and is further capable of generating video data from the at least one website accessed via the network; and42
 - f. a video processor configured to process video data from the second web browser process for display;44
 - g. wherein the first web browser process is capable of opening the second web browser process and is further capable of passing data to the second web browser process;45
 - h. wherein further the portable computer is configured such that the at least one system file residing on the first memory space is protected from corruption by a malware process downloaded from the network and executing within the second web browser process.....46
- 2. Claim 22: The portable computer of claim 21 wherein the first web browser process is capable of directly exchanging data with the network interface device and with the second web browser process.....48
 - 3. Claim 23: The portable computer of claim 22 wherein the first web browser process is capable of passing data downloaded from the network to the second web browser process.....49
 - 4. Claim 24: The portable computer of claim 21 wherein the second web browser process is capable of directly exchanging data with the network interface device and with the first web browser process.49
 - 5. Claim 30: The portable computer of claim 21 configured such that the first web browser process is protected from executing instructions initiated by a malware process downloaded from the network and executing as part of the second web browser process.50

6. Claim 44: A method of operating a portable computer capable of executing instructions using a common operating system and comprising a network interface device, at least a first memory space and a second memory space, and at least one electronic data processor communicatively coupled to the network interface device, the first and second memory space, and to a user interface, comprising:.....51
- a. exchanging data across a network of one or more computers with the network interface device and accessing at least one website;.....51
 - b. storing at least one system file in the first memory space;51
 - c. opening a first web browser process capable of accessing data of the at least one website via the network, wherein the first web browser process is capable of accessing data contained in the first memory space;52
 - d. opening a second web browser process, wherein the second web browser process is capable of accessing data contained in the second memory space, and is further capable of generating data for video display;.....52
 - e. passing data from the first web browser process to the second web browser process;52
 - f. wherein the portable computer is configured such that the at least one system file residing on the first memory space is protected from corruption by a malware process downloaded from the network and executing as part of the second web browser process.53

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.