

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

ALFONSO CIOFFI, MEGAN ELIZABETH ROZMAN,
MELANIE ANN ROZMAN, AND MORGAN LEE ROZMAN
Patent Owners

Patent No. RE43,528

**DECLARATION OF WILLIAM ARBAUGH, PH.D. REGARDING
U.S. PATENT NO. RE43,528 IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR COVERED
BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Background and Qualifications	1
II.	Materials Considered	4
III.	Legal Standards for Patentability	6
	A. Obviousness Analysis	7
	B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	11
IV.	Technical Background.....	12
	A. An Operating System Process.....	13
	B. Inter-Process Communications	14
	C. Process Creation.....	15
	D. Process and File Permissions	16
	E. Processor Affinity	18
V.	The '528 Reissue	19
	A. Effective Filing Date	19
	B. Overview of the '528 Reissue	19
	C. Construction of Terms Used in the '528 Reissue	26
VI.	Overview of Primary Prior Art References.....	28
	A. Overview of Ioannidis-2002 (Ex. 1025) and Ioannidis-2001 (Ex. 1026).....	28
	1. The Ioannidis SubOS Architecture.....	30
	2. The Ioannidis Secure Multi-Process Web Browser.....	33
	B. Overview of Absolute OpenBSD (Ex. 1027)	34
	C. Overview of Complete FreeBSD (Ex. 1028).....	35
VII.	All of the Challenged Claims Are Obvious in Light of the Prior Art.....	35
	A. Claims 21, 22, 23, 24, 30, 44, 64, and 67 Are Obvious Based on the Ioannidis Papers Alone or in Combination with Absolute OpenBSD	35
	1. Claim 21	37
	2. Claim 22.....	52
	3. Claim 23.....	53

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

4.	Claim 24.....	53
5.	Claim 30.....	54
6.	Claim 44.....	55
7.	Claim 64.....	59
8.	Claim 67.....	62
B.	Claims 1, 5, and 8 Are Obvious Based on the Ioannidis Papers Alone or in Combination with Absolute OpenBSD and Complete FreeBSD.....	63
1.	Claim 1.....	65
2.	Claim 5.....	69
3.	Claim 8.....	70
VIII.	Secondary Considerations	70
IX.	Conclusion.....	71

I, William Arbaugh, Ph.D., declare as follows:

1. I make this declaration based upon my own personal knowledge and, if called upon to testify, would testify competently to the matters stated herein.

2. I have been asked by Google Inc. to provide technical assistance in connection with the covered business method review of U.S. Patent No. RE43,528 (which I will refer to as the “’528 Reissue”). This declaration is a statement of certain opinions I have formed on issues related to the patentability of claims 1, 5, 8, 21, 22, 23, 24, 30, 44, 64, and 67 of the ’528 Reissue.

3. I have also been retained as an expert witness by Google Inc. in connection with the district court lawsuit involving the ’528 Reissue, and I have provided certain opinions in that proceeding. I have not been asked to restate all of my opinions on the patentability of claims in the ’528 Reissue. I continue to hold the opinions that I have expressed in the district court lawsuit. The fact that I have not restated a particular opinion in this declaration does not mean that I have changed my previously expressed opinion.

4. I am compensated at \$350 per hour for working on this matter. My compensation is not contingent in any way on the outcome of this matter.

I. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

5. In formulating my opinions, I have relied on my knowledge, training, and experience in the relevant field, which I will summarize briefly.

6. I am a U.S. citizen residing in Ellicott City, Maryland.
7. I am a computer scientist consulting in the area of computer security, operating systems, and networking.
8. I earned a Bachelor of Science degree with a concentration in Computer Science in 1984 from the United States Military Academy at West Point. In 1985, I obtained a Master of Science in Computer Science degree from Columbia University. And, in 1999, I earned a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the University of Pennsylvania. For my Ph.D. dissertation I developed a system for securely starting a computer system.
9. I worked for the U.S. Army from 1987 to 1990 as a senior software engineer. I developed data intensive applications in support of the Army staff. From 1990 to 2000, I worked at the National Security Agency (NSA) in a variety of research, development, and operational roles. In one role, I was a team chief in the information security research group from approximately 1992-1994. My team was responsible for performing and funding both malware detection and advanced intrusion detection research. When I departed from the NSA in 2000, I was a senior technical advisor to the chief of the Office of Advanced Network Programs.
10. I joined the University of Maryland at College Park (UMCP) in 2000 as an Assistant Professor. While at UMCP, I built a research group focused on Information Systems Security. My research group was responsible for the creation

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.