

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3 MARSHALL DIVISION
4

5 ALFONSO CIOFFI, an individual,)
6 MELANIE ROZMAN, an individual,)
7 MEGAN ROZMAN, an individual, and)
8 MORGAN ROZMAN, an individual,)
9 Plaintiffs,)
10 v.) No. 2:13-cv-103
11 GOOGLE, INC.,) VOLUME II
12 Defendants.)
13 -----
14
15
16

17 Continued Videotaped Deposition of ALFONSO
18 CIOFFI, taken at 5956 Sherry Lane, Suite 1000,
19 Dallas, Texas, commencing at 9:08 a.m.,
20 Friday, November 7, 2014, before James M. Shaw,
21 RMR, CSR No. 1694.
22
23

24 JOB No. 1956298
25 PAGES 300 - 513

Page 300

1 area. You didn't invent a memory storage area? 10:11

2 A. No. 10:11

3 Q. Okay. And 130 is a second memory data storage 10:11

4 area. Again, you didn't invent a memory storage area? 10:12

5 A. We did not invent a memory storage area, no, 10:12

6 we did not. 10:12

7 Q. Okay. And did you invent the idea of having 10:12

8 more than one memory storage area in a computer system? 10:12

9 A. No, we did not. 10:12

10 Q. Okay. And 140 is a second processor. You 10:12

11 didn't invent a processor? 10:12

12 A. No, we did not. 10:12

13 Q. Did you invent the idea of having more than 10:12

14 one processor in a system -- computer system 10:12

15 architecture? 10:12

16 A. No, we did not. 10:12

17 Q. Okay. So none of these individual elements 10:12

18 you invented? 10:12

19 A. No. 10:12

20 Q. What about this configuration, Figure 1, was 10:12

21 new or novel, in your mind? 10:12

22 A. 100. 10:12

23 Q. Was it the way it was organized? 10:12

24 A. 100, the entire system. The way in which it 10:12

25 was organized, the way in which we specified that these 10:12

Page 358

1 combinations of elements were to operate -- were to 10:12
2 interoperate. 10:12
3 Q. Okay. So was the way -- 10:12
4 A. And that's what's -- I'm sorry, but that's 10:12
5 what's contained in the specification and in the -- and 10:12
6 in the claims. I believe it's very clear. 10:12
7 Q. Okay. So what you understood to be new and 10:13
8 novel was the way this whole piece was organized 10:13
9 together? 10:13
10 A. That -- that is a way of -- That's a layman's 10:13
11 way of characterizing, yes. 10:13
12 Q. Okay. So let's talk about how some of the 10:13
13 things are connected. Again, 195 to 190, you didn't 10:13
14 create the -- the idea of connecting a network interface 10:13
15 device to a network? 10:13
16 A. Certainly not. 10:13
17 Q. Okay. And, again, the video display to the 10:13
18 video processor, 180 to 170, you didn't create that 10:13
19 configuration? 10:13
20 A. Certainly not. 10:13
21 Q. Okay. And the user using the user interface, 10:13
22 150, you didn't create that connection? 10:13
23 A. Certainly not. 10:13
24 Q. Okay. And you didn't also invent the user 10:13
25 interface interfacing with 120, a processor -- a first 10:13

Page 359

1 processor? 10:13

2 A. No, no. 10:13

3 Q. You didn't create the idea of a first 10:13

4 processor, 120, interfacing with a first memory storage 10:13

5 area; correct? 10:13

6 A. No. 10:13

7 Q. Okay. And did you create the idea of the 10:13

8 first processor and a second processor working together 10:14

9 to create a video display on a video processor, 170? 10:14

10 A. Generally, no. 10:14

11 Q. Okay. Did you create the idea or did you 10:14

12 invent the idea of a second processor, 140, having its 10:14

13 own memory storage area, 130? 10:14

14 A. That is an element of what we invented, yes. 10:14

15 Q. Okay. So you think -- 10:14

16 A. When taken into context of the way the 10:14

17 entire -- all of the pieces operate together, all the 10:14

18 communication links, all of the data flows, all of the 10:14

19 operation of the various system elements taken together, 10:14

20 yeah, certainly that is an element of it. 10:14

21 Q. So an element of what you invented is a second 10:14

22 processor having its own memory storage area? 10:14

23 A. Much more than that. I mean, certainly, 10:14

24 second processors having their own storage areas 10:14

25 certainly existed. How they are configured and how they 10:14

Page 360

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.