UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

ALFONSO CIOFFI, MEGAN ELIZABETH ROZMAN, MELANIE ANN ROZMAN, AND MORGAN LEE ROZMAN Patent Owners

CBM2017-00010 Patent No. RE43,528

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE43,528



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table	e of Co	ontents	•••••		i	
Table	e of Au	uthoriti	ies		iv	
List	of Exh	ibits	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		vii	
Mana	datory	Notice	es Und	er 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	ix	
	Real	Party-	in-Inte	rest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	ix	
	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))					
	Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))					
	Servi	ice Info	ormati	on (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	ix	
I.	Intro	duction	n		1	
II.	Standing to Seek Covered Business Method Review					
	A.	Petitioner Has Been Sued for Infringement of the '528 Reissue and Is Not Estopped				
	B.	The '	528 R	eissue Is a Covered Business Method Patent	5	
		1.		528 Reissue Is Directed to a "Financial Product or ice"	5	
		2.		528 Reissue Is Not Directed to a "Technological ntion"	12	
			a.	The Challenged Claims Do Not Recite a Technological Feature That Is Novel and Nonobvious	12	
			b.	The Challenged Claims Do Not Solve a Technical Problem Using a Technical Solution	15	
	C.	Chall	lenged	Claims and Grounds for Challenge	17	
III.	The Challenged Patent and Prosecution History					
	A.	Effective Filing Date of the '528 Reissue				
	B.	The Original '247 Patent				
	C.	The '528 Reissue				
	D.			Ordinary Skill in the Art		
IV.	Cons	structio	n of T	erms in the Challenged Claims	28	



V.	Claims 21-24, 30, 44, 64, and 67 Are Invalid for Violating the						
	Recapture Rule of Section 251 [Ground 1]						
	A.	Recapture Step 1: The Reissue Claims Are Broader Than the '247 Patent Claims Because They Cover a Single Processor					
	B.	Recapture Step 2: Single Processor Was Surrendered During Prosecution of the '247 Patent to Overcome Prior Art					
	C.	Recapture Step 3: Reissue Claims Are Not Materially Narrowed Relative to the Original Claims in an Aspect Relevant to the Surrendered Subject Matter of a Single Processor					
	D.	Overlooked Aspects: The Originally Filed Claims of the '247 Patent Encompassed All Embodiments	37				
		1. The Original Claims Covered the Figure 6 Embodiment	38				
		2. The Original Claims Covered Portable Devices and Cell Phones	40				
VI.	All Challenged Claims Are Invalid for Violating the Original Patent						
	Requirement of Section 251 [Ground 2]						
	A.	The Original Patent Did Not "Clearly and Unequivocally" Disclose a First Web Browser Process Capable of Accessing Data on a Website As Claimed in the '528 Reissue					
	B.	The Patent Owner's Arguments in the Related Litigation Are Contradicted by the Intrinsic Record	44				
VII.	The Challenged Claims Are Not Enabled by the Specification						
	[Ground 3]						
	A.	Claims 21-24, 30, 44, 64, and 67 Recite a Single-Processor Configuration That Is Not Enabled					
	B.	All Challenged Claims Recite a First Web Browser Process Connected to the Internet and the First Memory Space, Which Is Not Enabled	53				
VIII.	The C	Challenged Claims Are Directed to Unpatentable Subject Matter					
	[[and 41	51				



Petition for Covered Business Method Review of Patent No. RE43,528

	A.	Alice Step 1: The Claims Are Directed to the Abstract Idea of Protecting Data by Isolating Software Threats in a Safe Space5		
	B.	Alice Step 2: The Asserted Claims Recite Conventional, Functional Elements That Fail to Add an Inventive Concept	59	
IX.	Cond	clusion	64	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Federal Court Cases

Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. Amazon.com Inc., 2016 WL 5335502 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 23, 2016)	61, 62
Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014)	passim
Antares Pharma, Inc. v. Medac Pharma Inc., 771 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	passim
Catalina Marketing International, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	30
Cioffi v. Google, Inc., 632 Fed.Appx. 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	29, 30
Electric Power Group, LLC v. Alstom S.A., 830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	62, 63
Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp., 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	58
FairWarning IP, LLC v. Iatric Systems, Inc., 2016 WL 5899185 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 11, 2016)	56, 57
Genentech, Inc. v. Novo Nordisk, A/S, 108 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	50
Hester Industries, Inc. v. Stein, Inc., 142 F.3d 1472 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	37
In re Clement, 131 F.3d 1464 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	35
In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC, 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	28
In re Mostafazadeh, 643 F 3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	nassim



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

