```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                        MARSHALL DIVISION
 3
     ALFONSO CIOFFI, et
 4
     al.,
                                )
 5
             Plaintiffs,
                                   Civil Action No.
                                   2:13-cv-103-JRG-
 6
        vs.
                                   RSP
 7
     GOOGLE INC.,
 8
             Defendant.
 9
             CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
10
             The videotaped deposition of HUBERT E.
11
12
     (BUSTER) DUNSMORE, called by the Defendant for
     examination, pursuant to Notice, and pursuant to the
13
     Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States
14
15
     District Courts, taken before Sandra L. Rocca, CSR,
16
     CRR, at One North Franklin Street, Chicago,
17
     Illinois, on the 10th of October, 2016, at the hour
     of 9:01 a.m.
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
2.4
     Job No.: 2446819
25
                                                    Page 1
```

DOCKET A L A R M

1	any that existed before this patent.	09:49:37
2	Q Do you believe that the asserted patents	09:49:39
3	invented the idea of a multi-process browser?	09:49:41
4	A They could have.	09:49:44
5	Q When did you first personally learn of	09:49:48
6	multi-process web browsers?	09:49:52
7	A Well, I knew about them before working on	09:49:56
8	this case, but of course I didn't start working on	09:49:59
9	this case until 2014.	09:50:02
10	Q When did you first personally learn of	09:50:05
11	multi-process browsers?	09:50:07
12	A It would have been a discussion of Google	09:50:09
13	Chrome, in fact, and I can't remember when that was,	09:50:14
14	but I'm pretty sure that it predates 2014.	09:50:18
15	Q Same question I asked about sandboxing, who	09:50:23
16	do you consider to have invented multi-process	09:50:26
17	browsers?	09:50:30
18	A I don't know.	09:50:30
19	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:50:31
20	of using sandboxing in web browsers, correct?	09:50:35
21	MR. BENISEK: Object to form.	09:50:39
22	THE WITNESS: Your question is that the	09:50:40
23	asserted patents did not invent the idea of using	09:50:50
24	sandboxing with web browsers. Again, I'm not aware	09:50:54
25	of any browsers before this patent that used the	
		Page 45



1	sandboxing concept, so perhaps they did invent it.	09:51:03
2	Q What is process isolation?	09:51:08
3	A The idea there is that a process is	09:51:11
4	typically running in a memory space and unable to	09:51:19
5	communicate with in the extreme, unable to	09:51:23
6	communicate with anything else or a process can be	09:51:28
7	isolated from certain other processes and other	09:51:31
8	things. So it depends upon the context as to what	09:51:35
9	it's isolated from. In its extreme, it would mean	09:51:41
10	isolated from everything else.	09:51:45
11	Q When did you first learn of process	09:51:46
12	isolation?	09:51:50
13	A Probably in talking about operating	09:51:50
14	systems, probably around 2010 or so, something like	09:51:58
15	that.	09:52:02
16	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:52:02
17	of process isolation, correct?	09:52:06
18	A No.	09:52:08
19	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:52:08
20	of graphic processors, correct?	09:52:13
21	A That is correct.	09:52:14
22	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:52:16
23	of video processors, correct?	09:52:18
24	A That is correct.	09:52:20
25	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	
		Page 46



1	of multi-core processors, correct?	09:52:24
2	A That is correct.	09:52:26
3	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:52:27
4	of a multi-processor system, correct?	09:52:29
5	A That is correct.	09:52:32
6	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:52:33
7	of pinning processes to certain processors, correct?	09:52:36
8	A That is correct.	09:52:41
9	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:52:42
10	of pinning processors to different processor cores	09:52:45
11	of the multi-core processor, correct?	09:52:49
12	A Would you repeat that question?	09:52:51
13	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:52:53
14	of pinning different processors to different cores	09:52:55
15	in a multi-core processor, correct?	09:52:58
16	A Of pinning processors	09:53:00
17	Q So my earlier question was about pinning	09:53:06
18	processes to different processors.	09:53:09
19	A Right.	09:53:12
20	Q Now my question is about pinning processes	09:53:12
21	to different cores of the multi-core processor. The	09:53:16
22	asserted patents did not invent that, right?	09:53:18
23	A They did not.	09:53:20
24	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:53:21
25	of a portable communication device, correct?	
		Page 47



1	A That is correct.	09:53:27
2	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:53:27
3	of operating systems, correct?	09:53:30
4	A That is correct.	09:53:31
5	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:53:32
6	of operating systems with multi-threading, correct?	09:53:34
7	A That's correct.	09:53:37
8	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:53:37
9	of multi-tasking, correct?	09:53:40
10	A That is correct.	09:53:42
11	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:53:42
12	of web browsers, correct?	09:53:45
13	A That is also correct.	09:53:47
14	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:53:48
15	of using a common operating system of four different	09:53:51
16	processes?	09:53:57
17	A That is correct.	09:53:58
18	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:53:58
19	of a portable communication device that had a common	09:54:00
20	operating system, correct?	09:54:04
21	A That is correct.	09:54:05
22	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	09:54:07
23	of network interface devices, correct?	09:54:10
24	A That is correct.	09:54:13
25	Q The asserted patents did not invent the idea	
		Page 48



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

