

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY

Petitioner,

v.

VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC.

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 8,805,825

CBM Case No.: 2016-00100

**FORD'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS
PETITION FOR REHEARING**

The Board should strike Versata's Response because it violates the Board's Order in two respects. *First*, Versata devotes just a page (Section II) to *Rembrandt*, disregarding the Board's Order limiting the Response to "addressing . . . *Rembrandt*." (Paper 14, p. 4.) *Second*, to gain more space, Versata used 28-point spacing rather than the required double-spacing for its Response (*compare* the line spacing in Paper 6 *with* that in Paper 15), flouting the Board's page limitation. (Ex. 2013 at 11:18-25; 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(2)(iii).)

In *Rembrandt*, the Federal Circuit held that a statutory disclaimer only enlarges the public's rights; a Patent Owner cannot use disclaimer to diminish those rights. *Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP v. Samsung Electrs. Co.*, 853 F.3d 1370, 1384 (Fed. Cir. 2017). *Rembrandt* is not merely about the effect of disclaimers on the marking statute, as Versata asserts. Rather, *Rembrandt* cites decisions from a range of litigation and administrative matters, all rejecting a patent owner's effort to limit others' rights by disclaiming claims. *Id.* The core principle in the cases is: ***Once rights are held by a member of the public, they cannot be rescinded by disclaimer.*** *Id.* Versata's disclaimer did not rescind Ford's rights to pursue the CBM.

By suing Ford for infringement, Versata gave Ford the right to seek CBM review. CBMs differ from the other post-grant reviews because a patent is insulated from CBM review until the Patent Owner has made a charge of infringement. AIA § 18(a)(1)(B). Once Ford had the right to seek CBM review, Versata could not—

retroactively—extinguish that right, especially where Versata made its disclaimer only *after* Ford filed its Petition.

Rembrandt is not inconsistent with 37 C.F.R. § 42.207(e), which states that “[n]o post-grant review will be instituted based on disclaimed claims.” The PTO’s explanation of that Rule clarifies that its purpose is simply to ensure that “no post-grant review will be instituted *to review disclaimed claims*.” 77 Fed. Reg. 48,680, 48,692 (Aug. 14, 2012) (emphasis added). Ford does not seek a “review [of] disclaimed claims” 5, 10, and 15—Ford seeks review of the remaining claims.

Because of its disclaimer, Versata can no longer pursue *infringement* with respect to the disclaimed subject matter (*i.e.*, configuration of “products from the group comprising: vehicles, computers, and financial products”); indeed, Judge Arpin was correct that the “disclaimer of claims 5, 10, and 15 also narrowed the scope of claims 1, 6, and 11.” (Paper 12, Arpin, APJ concurring, p. 7.). However, as *Rembrandt* makes clear, Versata’s disclaimer does not diminish Ford’s (and the public’s) rights—including the right to seek *CBM review*. Therefore, for purposes of determining whether to institute a CBM, the Board must treat the remaining claims as encompassing such “financial products” —which the claims encompassed before the disclaimer—irrespective of the “products” that Versata dedicated to the public.

Dated: May 16, 2017

/Christopher C. Smith/
Christopher C. Smith (Reg. No. 59,669)

Case No.: CBM 2016-00100
Patent No.: 8,805,825

Atty. Dkt. No.: FPGP0130CBMR1

John S. LeRoy (Reg. No. 48,158)
Thomas A. Lewry (Reg. No. 30,770)
Frank A. Angileri (Reg. No. 36,733)
John P. Rondini (Reg. No. 64,949)
Jonathan D. Nikkila (Reg. No. 74,694)
Brooks Kushman P.C.
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
Southfield, MI 48075

Attorneys for Petitioner

Certificate of Service

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing Ford's Reply in Support of its Petition for Rehearing, including all exhibits and supporting evidence, was served in its entirety on **May 16, 2017**, upon the following parties by electronic mail at PTAB@skgf.com, rsterne-PTAB@skgf.com; sbezos-PTAB@skgf.com; holoubek@skgf.com; jmutsche-PTAB@skgf.com; jtuminar-PTAB@skgf.com; kchambers@tcchlaw.com; sharoon.saleem@jonesspross.com:

<p><u>Lead Counsel</u> Robert Greene Sterne Reg. No. 28,912 rsterne-PTAB@skgf.com STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTERN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005</p>	<p><u>Back Up Counsel</u> Salvador M. Bezos, Reg. No. 60,889 Michelle K. Holoubek, Reg. No. 54,179 Joseph E. Mutschelknaus, Reg. No. 63,285 Jonathan Tuminaro, Reg. No. 61,327 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005</p>
<p><u>Back Up Counsel</u> Kent B. Chambers Reg. No. 38,839 kchambers@tcchlaw.com TERRILE, CANNATTI, CHAMBERS, HOLLAND, L.L.P. 11675 Jollyville Road, Suite 100 Austin, TX 78759</p>	<p><u>Back Up Counsel</u> Sharoon Saleem, Reg. No. 63,711 Sharoon.saleem@jonesspross.com JONES & SPROSS, P.L.L.C. 1605 Lakecliff Hills Ln., Suite 100 Austin, TX 78732-2437</p>

Respectfully submitted,

/Christopher C. Smith/
Christopher C. Smith (Reg. No. 59,669)
John S. LeRoy (Reg. No. 48,158)
Thomas A. Lewry (Reg. No. 30,770)
Frank A. Angileri (Reg. No. 36,733)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.