

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Art Unit: 2176 Examiner: Hutton Jr., William D

In Re:Ramakrishna SatyavoluCase:P3977CIPSerial No.:11/293,350Filed:12/01/2005Subject:Categorization of Summarized Information

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

DOCKE.

R

М

Δ

## **Response B**

Plaid 1042

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

#### In the Specification:

Page 44 delete the "Abstract" of the specification and replace it with the following paragraph, there for:

--A system for categorizing transactions includes a collection function gathering information concerning transactions, including at least date, description and amount of the transactions, for a particular person or enterprise, and a processing function categorizing individual ones of the collected transactions according to at least part of the transaction description. In preferred embodiments of the system a variety of categorization methods for collected information may be utilized including at least categorizing by providing individual categories according to category definition entered by a specific user or on behalf of an enterprise. Categorization may also be done for a first plurality of persons or enterprises according to category definition entered by a second plurality of persons or enterprises, or categories are developed from information taken from communication between users and the system. Probability algorithms may also be used in developing categories.--

#### In the claims:

All of the claims pending for examination are presented below.

1. (Currently amended) A system tangibly embodied on a computer-readable medium for categorizing transactions, comprising;

a collection function gathering information concerning transactions, including at least date, description and amount of the transactions, for a particular person or enterprise; and

a processing function categorizing individual ones of the collected transactions according to at least part of the transaction description;

wherein the collection function automatically retrieves the information concerning transactions from Internet-connected web sites adapted to provide account information to the person or enterprise.

2. (Original) The system of claim 1 further comprising a compilation function summarizing transactions in individual categories.

3.(Original) The system of claim 2 further comprising a reporting function reporting the summarized transactions to the particular person or enterprise.

4. (Previously presented) The system of claim 1 wherein categorization is done according to category definitions entered by the particular person or on behalf of the enterprise.

5. (Previously presented) The system of claim 1 wherein categorization is done for a first plurality of persons or enterprises subscribing to the system according to category definitions entered by a second plurality of persons or enterprises subscribing to the system.

6. (Original) The system of claim 1 wherein categories are developed from information taken from communication between clients and the system.

7. (Original) The system of claim 6 wherein a probability algorithm is used in developing categories.

8. (Previously presented) The system of claim 1 wherein identifiers for categories are periodically amended according to further information that is collected and processed.

9. (Canceled)

10. (Currently amended) The system of claim [[9]] <u>1</u>wherein the system reports to clients through the Internet network.

11. (Original) The system of claim 2 wherein the system further comprises a function storing past transaction history associated with the particular person or enterprise.

12. (Previously presented) The system of claim 11 wherein the past transaction history is used to predict future transaction statistical information.

13. (Currently amended) A method for categorizing transactions using proprietary software tangibly embodied on a computer-readable medium, comprising:

(a) <u>automatically</u> gathering information concerning transactions by a collection function of the software[[,]] <u>from Internet-connected web sites adapted to provide</u> <u>account information for a particular person or enterprise</u>, said information including at least date, description and amount of the transactions<del>, for a particular person or</del> <u>enterprise</u>; [[and]]

(b) categorizing individual ones of the collected transactions according to at least part of the transaction description by a processing function; and

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

(c) reporting the summarized transactions to the particular person or enterprise by a reporting function.

14. (Original) The method of claim 13 further comprising summarizing transactions in individual categories by a compilation function.

15. (Canceled)

16. (Original) The method of claim 13 wherein categorization is done according to category definition entered by the particular person or on behalf of the enterprise.

17. (Original) The method of claim 13 wherein categorization is done for a first plurality of persons or enterprises according to category definition entered by a second plurality of persons or enterprises.

18. (Original) The method of claim 13 wherein categories are developed from information taken from communication between clients and the system.

19. (Original) The method of claim 18 wherein a probability algorithm is used in developing categories.

20. (Previously presented) The method of claim 13 wherein identifiers for categories are periodically amended according to further information that is collected and processed.

21. (Canceled)

DOCKF

22. (Currently amended) The method of claim [[21]] <u>13</u> wherein the system reports to clients through the Internet network.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.