

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PLAID TECHNOLOGIES INC.
Petitioner

v.

YODLEE, INC. and YODLEE.COM, INC.
Patent Owner

Case CBM2016-00037
Patent 6,199,077

PATENT OWNERS' PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Plaid 1040

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED.....	3
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	3
A. “Gatherer” / “Gatherer Agent” / “Gathering Software Agent” / “Path Agent”.....	4
IV. THE BOARD SHOULD DENY THE PETITION BECAUSE THE ’077 PATENT IS NOT ELIGIBLE FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW.....	5
A. The ’077 Patent does not claim a method or apparatus for performing data processing or other operations used in the practice, administration, or management of a financial product or service.....	6
B. The ’077 Patent Claims a “Technological Invention”.....	12
V. PETITIONER’S PRIOR ART GROUNDS ALSO FAIL.....	18
A. The Zhao Reference Does Not Disclose “Information Specific to a Person”.....	19
B. The Zhao Reference Does Not Disclose a Gathering Agent.....	22
C. The VerticalOne Reference is not 102(a) Prior Art and Thus Cannot Provide a Reason to Modify the Zhao Reference.....	23
D. The Claims are not Obvious in view of Lowery, Brandt, and Zhao.....	27
E. Petitioner Failed to Address Two Limitations of Claim 7.....	28
VI. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT INSTITUTE PETITIONER’S REDUNDANT PRIOR ART CHALLENGES.....	28
A. The Board’s Precedent Required Petitioner to Distinguish Between Otherwise Redundant Prior Art References.....	30
B. The Petition Recites Multiple Redundant Grounds.....	31
C. Petitioner Has Not Made Any Argument of Non-Redundancy.....	31
VII. CONCLUSION.....	32

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.</i> , 314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	26
<i>Berk-Tek LLC v. Belden Technologies Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00057 (Paper 21, May 14, 2013).....	29
<i>Bloomberg L.P. v. Quest Licensing Corporation</i> , CBM2014-00205, Paper 16 (Apr. 7, 2015)	18
<i>Enfish Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 2015-1244 (Fed. Cir. May 12, 2016).....	5, 17
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	19
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003 (Paper 7, Oct. 25, 2012)	29, 30, 31, 32
<i>Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003 (Paper 7, Oct. 25, 2012)	30
<i>Oracle Corp. v. Patent of Clouding IP, LLC</i> , IPR2013- 00075 (Paper 15, June 13, 2013).....	30, 32
<i>PNC Bank NA v. Parus Holdings, Inc.</i> , CBM2015-00111, Paper 10 (Nov. 9, 2015)	8
<i>Qualtrics, LLC v. OpinionLab, Inc.</i> , CBM2015-00164, Paper 8 (Feb. 3, 2016)	6, 8, 9, 11
<i>ServiceNow, Inc. v. BMC Software, Inc.</i> , CBM2015-00107, Paper 12 (Sept. 11, 2015)	8
<i>Sony Corp v. Yissum Research Development Co. of the Hebrew Univ. of Jerusalem</i> , IPR2013-00219 (Paper 33, Nov. 21, 2013).....	29
<i>Taiwan Semiconductor Mfg. Co., Ltd.; TSMC N. Am. Corp. v. Ziptronix</i> , IPR2014-00114 (Paper 14, May 1, 2014).....	31

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103 3, 19
35 U.S.C. § 324 32

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b) 28
37 C.F.R. § 42.301 5
37 C.F.R. § 42.301(a) 6
37 C.F.R. § 42.301(b) 12, 18
AIA § 18(a)(1) 5
AIA § 18(d)(1) 5, 6, 12
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48734 (Aug. 14,
2012) 6

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Printout from http://www.cnn.com/TECH/9706/comdex/comdex.custom/press.r elease.html
2002	Document Entitled “Summarizing the web with AutoLogin” dated May 12, 1999.
2003	Portion of the April 19, 2016 Deposition of Donald Boys
2004	Report and Recommendation, <i>Yodlee, Inc. v. Plaid Technologies Inc.</i> , 1:14-cv-01445, D.I. 185.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.