## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

NADER ASGHARI-KAMRANI and KAMRAN ASGHARI-KAMRANI, Plaintiffs,	) ) ) ) Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00478-RGD-RJK )
v. UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION,	
Defendant.	

EXPERT REPORT OF Q. TODD DICKINSON, ESQ. February 28, 2017

Q. TODD DICKINSON, ESQ.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION			
II.	QUALIFICATIONS			
III.	SCO	PE OF REPORT	5	
IV.	LEG	LEGAL PRINCIPLES AND RELEVANT PATENT OFFICE PRACTICE		
	A.	Legal Standard for Inequitable Conduct	5	
	B.	Continuation and Continuation-in-Part Applications	7	
	C.	Non-Publication Request Certification	9	
	D.	Understanding of "Delay" in PTO	9	
	E.	Duty to Investigate	10	
	F.	PTO pro se assistance program	12	
	G.	Regularity of Mistakes in Patent Prosecution	13	
V.	REC	ITATION OF RELEVANT FACTS	13	
	A.	Prosecution of the '432 Patent	15	
	B.	Post-Issuance Filings Regarding the '432 Patent	17	
	C.	Prosecution of the '538 Patent	24	
	D.	Non-Publication Requests	27	
VI.		QUITABLE CONDUCT ALLEGATIONS CONCERNING THE NTINUATION" MISLABELING	28	
	A.	Opinion Regarding Alleged But For Materiality of the Mislabeling	28	
	B.	Opinion Regarding Alleged Evidence of Intent of Bijan Tadayon	30	
	C.	Opinion Regarding Alleged Evidence of Intent of Veronica-Adele Cao	32	
	D.	Opinion Regarding Alleged Evidence of Intent of Michael P. Fortkort	33	
	E.	Ultimate Opinion on Intent	34	



VII.	_	UITABLE CONDUCT ALLEGATIONS CONCERNING ALLEGATIONS	
		NTENTIONAL DELAY" REGARDING THE CORRECTION OF THE ITINUATION" MISLABELING	35
VIII.	OF "I	UITABLE CONDUCT ALLEGATIONS CONCERNING ALLEGATIONS NTENTIONAL DELAY" REGARDING THE PRIORITY CLAIM TO 400 APPLICATION IN THE CORRESPONDING CBM PROCEEDINGS	37
	A.	Opinion Regarding "Entire" Delay	37
	B.	Opinion Regarding Mr. Kim's July 27, 2016, Motion	38
	C.	Opinion Regarding Mr. Kim's August 30, 2016, Renewed Petition	39
IX.	INEQUITABLE CONDUCT ALLEGATIONS CONCERNING THE NON-PUBLICATION REQUEST		
	A.	Opinion Regarding Materiality	42
	B.	Opinion Regarding Intent	44
X.		ER ACTIVITIES ALLEGED TO BE RELEVANT TO INEQUITABLE DUCT	45
	A.	Mr. Nienstadt's Corrective Filings in the '538 Application	45
	B.	Alleged Failure to Inform PTO of USAA's Invalidity Allegations	46
XI.	OTHE	ER MATTERS	47



#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. I, Q. Todd Dickinson, have been retained by Nader Asghari-Kamrani and Kamran Asghari-Kamrani ("Plaintiffs") as an expert witness in the above-referenced matter.
- 2. I have been asked to provide information, opinion and expert testimony on practices and procedures governing the prosecution and examination of patent applications in the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO" or "PTO") relevant to and at the time of the prosecution of United States Patent No. 8,266,432 ("the '432 patent"). I have also been asked to address certain opinions and testimony made by an expert witness retained by the Plaintiff in this action, Mr. Nicholas P. Godici, relating to the same.
- 3. This report is submitted pursuant to Rule 26(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. I reserve the right to supplement or amend this report pursuant to Rule 26(e) if additional information that affects my opinions becomes available.

### II. QUALIFICATIONS

- 4. I am currently a Senior Partner in the law firm, Polsinelli, PC, and have worked as an attorney, U.S. government official and policy leader in the field of intellectual property ("IP") for almost 40 years. I have served as an expert witness or declarant more than 15 times.
- 5. I have previously served in the USPTO, beginning as a Senior Advisor to the U.S. Secretary of Commerce and culminating as the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), having been nominated by the President of the United States and confirmed by the U.S. Senate. As Director of the USPTO, I was the Chief Executive Officer of an agency of over 8,000 employees and a budget of approximately \$1.5 billion. I was also the principal advisor to the President of the United States on all aspects of intellectual policy and practice.



- 6. I reported directly to the Secretary of Commerce and was also responsible for the management and direction of all aspects of the work of the USPTO, including patent operations. Among my responsibilities were the oversight and final say on the drafting and implementation of all patent examination policies, regulations, and guidelines.
- 7. Both as Director and in private practice, I have testified before Congressional committees of both the House and Senate on various patent and USPTO related issues.
- 8. After leaving the USPTO, I joined the Washington, D.C. law firm of Howrey Simon Arnold & White, where I served as co-chair of its intellectual property practice and represented numerous companies in intellectual property matters, remaining there until 2004. Among other work, I acted as an expert witness in a number of matters, and routinely provided opinions on client matters referred to me.
- 9. I then served as the Vice President and Chief Intellectual Property Counsel of the General Electric Company from 2004-2008, having corporate-wide responsibility for all IP matters, which included oversight of the process and procedures used by a major corporation in the preparation, filing and maintenance of patents and patent applications, oversight of patent litigation and appellate matters, and development and implementation of intellectual property policy matters.
- 10. Until recently, I served as Executive Director of the American Intellectual Property Law Association (AIPLA), which is an independent bar association specializing in intellectual property matters. AIPLA has approximately 15,000 members and has been in existence for over 100 years. As Executive Director, I was the Chief Executive Officer, having overall management responsibility for the organization, including overseeing advocacy matters,



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

