

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Asghari-Kamrani et al.
U.S. Patent No.: 8,266,432 Attorney Docket No.: 36137-0007CP2
Issue Date: September 11, 2012
Appl. Serial No.: 12/210,926
Filing Date: September 15, 2008
Title: CENTRALIZED IDENTIFICATION AND
AUTHENTICATION SYSTEM AND METHOD

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,266,432 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321
AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R §§ 42.8(a)(1), 42.8(b)(1), 42.8(b)(2), 42.8(b)(3) AND PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.1031

II. REQUIREMENTS FOR CBM UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.304.....2

A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a).....2

B. Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b) and Relief Requested2

C. Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3).....4

D. The ‘432 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent.....8

E. The ‘432 Patent Is Not Directed to a Technological Invention, And Thus, Should Not Be Excluded From the Definition of a CBM Patent. 11

III. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘432 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE15

A. The Effective Priority Date for the ‘432 Patent is Sept. 15, 2008, Because the Parent Fails to Provide Written Description Support for the Claims of the ‘432 Patent.....15

1. The Parent Fails to Incorporate by Reference the Grandparent....17

2. The Parent Lacks Written Description Support for the Claims of The ‘432 Patent.18

3. The Patent Owners (POs) Admit that the Parent Discloses A Different Invention than the ‘432 Patent, Precluding Continuity of Disclosure.....25

B. GROUND 1 – Claims 1-55 Are Obvious Over Nicholson in view of Brown.....27

1. Overview of Nicholson and Brown.....28

2. Nicholson in view of Brown Renders Obvious Claims 1-55.....30

IV. CONCLUSION64

EXHIBITS

USAA-1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,266,432 to Asghari-Kamrani et al. (“the ‘432 Patent” or “‘432”)
USAA-1002	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ‘432 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
USAA-1003	Declaration of Dr. Seth Nielson re the ‘432 Patent (“Nielson”)
USAA-1004	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Seth Nielson
USAA-1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,356,837 (“the ‘837 Patent” or “‘837”)
USAA-1006	Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents— Definitions of Covered Business Method Patent and Technological Invention, 77 Fed. Reg. 157 (August 14, 2012)
USAA-1007	A Guide to the Legislative History of the America Invents Act; Part II of II, 21 Fed. Cir. Bar J. No. 4
USAA-1008	RESERVED
USAA-1009	<i>PNC Bank v. Secure Access, LLC</i> , CBM2014-00100 Paper 10 (entered September 9, 2014)
USAA-1010	RESERVED
USAA-1011	RESERVED
USAA-1012	RESERVED
USAA-1013	U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 12/210,926 (“the ‘926 Appln.” or “Child”)

Attorney Docket No. 36137-0007CP2
Petition for CBMR of U.S. Patent No. 8,266,432

USAA-1014	U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 11/239,046 (“the ‘046 Appln.” or “Parent”)
USAA-1015	U.S. Patent No. 7,444,676 (“the ‘676 Patent” or “‘676”)
USAA-1016	U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/940,635 (“the ‘635 Appln.” or “Grandparent”)
USAA-1017	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0046591 (“the ‘591 Pub.” or “Grandparent Pub.”)
USAA-1018	RESERVED
USAA-1019	<i>In re Zletz</i> , 893 F.2d 319 (Fed. Cir. 1989)
USAA-1020	<i>In re Hogan</i> , 559 F.2d 595; 194 USPQ 527 (CCPA 1997)
USAA-1021	<i>Advanced Display Sys., Inc. v. Kent State Univ.</i> 212 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2000)
USAA-1022	RESERVED
USAA-1023	<i>Cook Biotech Inc. v. Acell, Inc.</i> , 460 F.3d 1365, 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2006).
USAA-1024	<i>Reiffin v. Microsoft Corp.</i> 214 F.3d 1342, 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2000)
USAA-1025	<i>Informatica Corp. v. Protegrity Corp.</i> , CBM2015-00010, Paper 13
USAA-1026	<i>United Services Automobile Association v. NADER ASGHARI-KAMRANI and KAMRAN ASGHARI-KAMRANI</i> , IPR2015-01842, Paper 13
USAA-1027	<i>United Services Automobile Association v. NADER ASGHARI-KAMRANI and KAMRAN ASGHARI-KAMRANI</i> , IPR2015-01842, Paper 7

USAA-1028	PCT Application Publication WO2003021837 A1
USAA-1029	<i>Bradford Co. v. Conteyor North America, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1262 (Fed. Cir. 2010)
USAA-1030	<i>Accenture Global Services, GmbH v. Guidewire Software, Inc.</i> , 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013)
USAA-1031	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the '676 Patent (“the Prosecution History of the '676 Patent”)
USAA-1032	RESERVED
USAA-1033	RESERVED
USAA-1034	U.S. Patent Application Publication No.: US 2007/0022301 A1 (“Nicholson”)
USAA-1035	U.S. Patent No. 5,740,361 (“Brown”)
USAA-1036	RESERVED
USAA-1037	<i>In re Fulton</i> , 391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004)
USAA-1038	<i>VTech Comm. Inc. v. Shperix Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01431, Paper 50
USAA-1039	<i>Oracle v. Clouding IP</i> , IPR2013-00088, Paper 13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.