```
1
                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                            Norfolk Division
 3
 4
 5
        NADER ASGHARI-KAMRANI and
        KAMRAN ASGHARI-KAMRANI,
 6
               Plaintiffs,
                                              CIVIL ACTION NO.
 7
                                              2:15cv478
        V.
 8
        UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE
 9
        ASSOCIATION,
10
               Defendant.
11
12
                       TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13
                        Day 5 (Afternoon session)
14
                           Norfolk, Virginia
15
                             April 24, 2017
16
17
     BEFORE: THE HONORABLE ROBERT G. DOUMAR
18
              United States District Judge
19
20
     APPEARANCES:
21
               MEI & MARK LLP
               By: Krystyna Colantoni
22
                     Irene H. Chen
                    Reece Nienstadt
23
                    Laurence Sandell
                    Lei Mei
24
                     Jeff Pearson
                     Counsel for the Plaintiffs
25
```



```
1
 2
      APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
 3
                  FISH & RICHARDSON
                  By: Ahmed J. Davis
                       Michael T. Zoppo
Matthew C. Berntsen
 4
 5
                       Counsel for the Defendants
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



```
(Luncheon recess from 12:30 to 1:31 p.m.)
                                                                          01:31:16PM
 1
 2
               THE COURT:
                             All right. Who's going to argue?
                                                                          01:31:19PM
                                                                          01:31:21PM
 3
               MR. DAVIS:
                             Good afternoon, Your Honor. Mr. Zoppo
 4
     is going to begin with Mr. Tadayon.
                                                                          01:31:25PM
 5
                             What points is Mr. Zoppo going to
                                                                          01:31:30PM
               THE COURT:
 6
     arque?
                                                                          01:31:32PM
 7
               MR. DAVIS: He's going to argue the points
                                                                          01:31:33PM
 8
     specifically as it relates to Mr. Tadayon, Mr. Nader
                                                                          01:31:35PM
 9
     Asghari-Kamrani, and Mr. Kim.
                                                                          01:31:39PM
10
               THE COURT:
                             Who are you going to argue?
                                                                          01:31:41PM
11
                             I'm going to argue Mr. Kamran
                                                                          01:31:43PM
               MR. DAVIS:
12
     Asghari-Kamrani, Mr. Fortkort, and Mr. Nienstadt.
                                                                          01:31:45PM
1.3
               THE COURT:
                             Okay. Okay, Mr. Zoppo.
                                                         You're on
                                                                          01:31:50PM
14
     board.
                                                                          01:31:54PM
15
               MR. ZOPPO:
                             Thank you, Your Honor. Might I ask how
                                                                          01:31:57PM
16
     much time we're allotted?
                                                                          01:32:09PM
17
               THE COURT:
                             Give you an hour.
                                                                          01:32:13PM
18
               MR. ZOPPO:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                                          01:32:23PM
                                                                          01:32:24PM
19
               THE COURT:
                             Each get an hour, period.
20
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                                          01:32:26PM
               MR. ZOPPO:
2.1
                                                                          01:32:26PM
               THE COURT:
                             Okay.
22
               MR. ZOPPO:
                             So we'll start with Dr. Bijan Tadayon,
                                                                          01:32:28PM
23
     and Dr. Tadayon is who filed the application for the '432
                                                                          01:32:36PM
24
     patent, and he is who made the priority claims in the '432
                                                                          01:32:43PM
     patent. The question is whether those priority claims, as
25
                                                                          01:32:47PM
```



they were originally made, are they material and were they 01:32:54PM made with an intent to deceive. With respect to 01:32:59PM materiality, Mr. Pearson stated that there's no better prior 01:33:03PM art than the '837 patent, and that's a very important point, 01:33:09PM That's an important point because unless the Your Honor. 01:33:13PM plaintiffs are able to claim priority to the '837 patent, 01:33:17PM their patent is invalid. 01:33:23PM Okay. That's because the '837 patent has the same 01:33:26PM specification as the '432 patent. So if the '432 patent was 01:33:30PM in the public domain prior, a year prior to the filing date 01:33:35PM of the '432 patent, the patentees would never have been able 01:33:40PM to get the patent that's at issue in this case. That's what 01:33:43PM makes that material. 01:33:47PM 01:33:48PM THE COURT: Go over that again, Mr. Zoppo. It's an important point, Your MR. ZOPPO: Sure. 01:33:53PM The '837 patent published in 2003 as a published 01:33:56PM patent application, and it describes an invention. 01:34:03PM THE COURT: All right. 01:34:08PM And the '432 patent application was not 01:34:10PM filed until 2008, and that was several months after the '837 01:34:13PM patent issued, but also importantly, many years, several 01:34:18PM years after the '837 patent application became a 01:34:25PM publication, something public, something that the whole 01:34:30PM world then knew about, okay. Patent law does not allow you 01:34:33PM to get a patent on something the whole world knows about. 01:34:40PM



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

01:34:44PM

01:34:48PM

01:34:51PM

01:34:56PM

01:35:01PM

01:35:05PM

01:35:12PM

01:35:16PM

01:35:18PM

01:35:21PM

01:35:26PM

01:35:30PM

01:35:31PM

01:35:33PM

01:35:36PM

01:35:43PM

01:35:48PM

01:35:50PM

01:35:56PM

01:36:00PM

01:36:04PM

01:36:09PM

01:36:16PM

01:36:22PM

01:36:27PM

It has to be something new. Okay.

And because the '837 patent application was in the public domain more than a year before the '432 patent application was filed, it's what's called prior art. And prior art is what the patent examiners use to reject patent applications. Okay. And it may seem odd that the plaintiffs' own application can be used against them, but this is the law.

If the patentees had voluntarily published their invention a year before they filed their patent application, the statute does not allow you to then apply for a patent on it.

THE COURT: Prior art?

MR. ZOPPO: Yes, Your Honor. That's exactly right. And the issue to keep in mind here is that Dr. Tadayon is not a newbie. He is a sophisticated practitioner. This is the gentleman I described in my opening statement as having gone to Georgetown and a Ph.D. from Cornell, and the pre-credentials go on and on, a former patent examiner.

What's also important to report is the testimony that Mr. Nader Asghari-Kamrani gave at this trial, that it was their intention to file a continuation of the '837 patent, and that he relied on Dr. Tadayon's testimony -- I'm sorry, on Dr. Tadayon's advice in doing so. I have a cite for that testimony. That's Page 394, lines 3 through 12.

DOCKET A L A R M

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

