

Misc. No. 15-119
(Inter Partes Review Nos. IPR2014-00672 & IPR2014-00673)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

IN RE INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,
Petitioner.

Petition for Writ of Mandamus
United States Patent and Trademark Office,
Patent Trial and Appeal Board

**RESPONSE OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

NATHAN K. KELLEY
Solicitor

SCOTT C. WEIDENFELLER
Associate Solicitor

Mail Stop 8
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
(571) 272-9035

February 3, 2015

*Attorneys for the Director of
the United States Patent
and Trademark Office*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	ARGUMENT.....	4
	A. Mandamus is an extraordinary form of relief	4
	B. This Court already recognized that a challenge to the Director’s decision not to institute an inter partes review is not subject to mandamus review.....	5
	C. Even if the Director’s decision not to institute an inter partes review were reviewable, the type of discretionary decision challenged by IBM is not appropriate for mandamus review.....	8
	D. IBM cannot sidestep the rulemaking process via mandamus	12
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<u>Allied Chem. Corp. v. Daiflon, Inc.</u> , 449 U.S. 33 (1980).....	4, 7, 10
<u>Bankers Life & Casualty Co. v. Holland</u> , 346 U.S. 379 (1953).....	5
<u>Bluewater Network & Ocean Advocates, In re</u> , 234 F.3d 1305 (D.C. Cir. 2000)	4
<u>Cellnet Commc’n, Inc. v. FCC</u> , 965 F.2d 1106 (D.C. Cir. 1992)	15
<u>Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Court for the Dist. of Columbia</u> , 542 U.S. 367 (2004).....	4
<u>Dominion Dealer Solutions, LLC, In re</u> , 749 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	2, 6, 7, 12, 17
<u>Fahey, Ex parte</u> , 332 U.S. 258 (1947)	4
<u>Heckler v. Ringer</u> , 466 U.S. 602 (1984)	8, 9, 12, 14
<u>ICC v. New York, N. H. & H. R. Co.</u> , 287 U.S. 178, 204 (1932).....	15
<u>James B. Beam Distilling Co. v. Georgia</u> , 501 U.S. 529 (1991)	11
<u>Lujan v. Nat’l Wildlife Fed’n</u> , 497 U.S. 871 (1990)	15
<u>Mallard v. U.S. Dist. Court for the S. Dist. of Iowa</u> , 490 U.S. 296 (1989).....	5
<u>Norton v. S. Utah Wilderness Alliance</u> , 542 U.S. 55 (2004).....	14, 15
<u>Procter & Gamble Co., In re</u> , 749 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	6, 7
<u>Roche Molecular Sys., Inc., In re</u> , 516 F.3d 1003 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	4
<u>Shalala v. Guernsey Mem’l Hosp.</u> , 514 U.S. 87 (1995)	13

Cases	Page(s)
<u>United States ex rel. Dunlap v. Black</u> , 128 U.S. 40, 46 (1888).....	15
<u>Your Home Visiting Nurse Servs., Inc. v. Shalala</u> , 525 U.S. 449 (1999)	9
 Statutes and Legislative Material	
5 U.S.C. § 702.....	14
5 U.S.C. § 706.....	14
28 U.S.C. § 1361	8
28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	15
35 U.S.C. § 6(b)(4).....	1
35 U.S.C. § 141	6
35 U.S.C. § 311.....	1, 5
35 U.S.C. § 313.....	5
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)(B)	9
35 U.S.C. § 314.....	1, 5, 6, 7, 8
35 U.S.C. § 316.....	1, 13
35 U.S.C. § 318(a)	1, 6
35 U.S.C. § 319.....	1, 6
Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011)	5, 8, 9, 13
157 Cong. Rec. S1377 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011)	8

Regulations and Regulatory Material **Page(s)**

37 C.F.R. § 42.42, 5

37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(2).....3

37 C.F.R. § 42.1043, 9, 10, 11

37 C.F.R. § 42.1082, 5

Changes to Implement Inter Partes Review Proceedings, Post-Grant Review Proceedings, and Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,680 (Aug. 14, 2012).....14

Request for Comments on Trial Proceedings Under the America Invents Act Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, 79 Fed. Reg. 36,474, 36,474 (June 27, 2014).....12

Rules of Practice for Trials Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board and Judicial Review of Patent Trial and Appeal Board Decisions, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,612 (Aug. 14, 2012)13

Other Authority

Letter from Manny W. Schechter, Chief Patent Counsel & Marian Underweiser, Intellectual Property Law Counsel, to Scott R. Boalick, Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge (Acting), Patent Trial and Appeal Board (Sept. 19, 2014)13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.