

IBG LLC, INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC, TRADESTATION
GROUP INC., TRADESTATION SECURITIES, INC.,

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Case CBM2016-00054
Patent No. 7,693,768

PETITIONERS' DEMONSTRATIVES

Hearing: June 23, 2017

IBG 1088
IBG v. TT
CBM2016-00054

The '768 Claims are Ineligible Under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l

The Supreme Court expounded its two-step test for determining patent eligibility in *Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l*, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014). Step one asks whether the patent is directed to one of the judicial exceptions to patentable subject matter: laws of nature, natural phenomena, and abstract ideas. *Id.* at 2354-55. The abstract idea category “embodies the longstanding rule that an idea of itself is not patentable.” *Id.* at 2355 (internal citations omitted).

Step two asks whether the elements of each claim, considered both individually and as an ordered combination, recite any significant limitation or “inventive concept” transforming the abstract idea into a patent-eligible invention. *Id.* at 2357.

ALICE CORP. PTY. LTD. v. CLS BANK INT'L
2349
A Patent claim that recites an abstract idea, law, or natural phenomenon is not patentable unless it includes additional limitations that transform the abstract idea into a patentable invention. See, e.g., *Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l*, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).

2349
2350
2351
2352
2353
2354
2355
2356
2357
2358
2359
2360
2361
2362
2363
2364
2365
2366
2367
2368
2369
2370
2371
2372
2373
2374
2375
2376
2377
2378
2379
2380
2381
2382
2383
2384
2385
2386
2387
2388
2389
2390
2391
2392
2393
2394
2395
2396
2397
2398
2399
2400

2349
2350
2351
2352
2353
2354
2355
2356
2357
2358
2359
2360
2361
2362
2363
2364
2365
2366
2367
2368
2369
2370
2371
2372
2373
2374
2375
2376
2377
2378
2379
2380
2381
2382
2383
2384
2385
2386
2387
2388
2389
2390
2391
2392
2393
2394
2395
2396
2397
2398
2399
2400

ALICE CORP. PTY. LTD. v. CLS BANK INT'L
2387
The Supreme Court has held that an abstract idea, law, or natural phenomenon is not patentable unless it includes additional limitations that transform the abstract idea into a patentable invention. See, e.g., *Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l*, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).

The claims are directed to the abstract idea of placing an order based on observed (plotted) market information, as well as updating market information (*Alice* Step 1)

1. A method of placing a trade order for a commodity on an electronic exchange using a graphical user interface and a user input device, the method comprising:

receiving data relating to the commodity from the electronic exchange, the data comprising an inside market with a highest bid price and a lowest ask price currently available for the commodity;

dynamically displaying via a computing device a first indicator in one of a plurality of areas in a bid display region, each area in the bid display region corresponding to a price level along a price axis, the first indicator representing a quantity associated with at least one order to buy the commodity at the highest bid price;

dynamically displaying via the computing device a second indicator in one of a plurality of areas in an ask display region, each area in the ask display region corresponding to a price level along the price axis, the second indicator representing a quantity associated with at least one order to sell the commodity at the lowest ask price;

displaying an order entry region comprising a plurality of locations for receiving single action commands to send trade orders, the plurality of locations including:

(a) at least one first fixed location corresponding to a first price level along the price axis associated with the highest bid price currently available in the market, wherein upon receipt of new data representing an updated highest bid price currently available for the commodity, the at least one first fixed location continues to correspond to the first price level even if the first price level is no longer associated with the highest bid price currently available in the market; and

(b) at least one second fixed location corresponding to a second price level along the price axis associated with the lowest ask price currently available in the market, wherein upon receipt of new data representing an updated lowest ask price currently available for the commodity, the at least one second fixed location continues to correspond to the second price level even if the second price level is no longer associated with the lowest ask price currently available in the market;

updating the display of the first indicator such that the first indicator is moved relative to the price axis to a different area in the bid display region corresponding with a different price level along the price axis in response to receipt of new data representing an updated highest bid price currently available for the commodity;

updating the display of the second indicator such that the second indicator is moved relative to the price axis to a different area in the ask display region corresponding with a different price level along the price axis in response to receipt of new data representing an updated lowest ask price currently available for the commodity; and

setting a plurality of parameters for a trade order relating to the commodity and sending the trade order to the electronic exchange in response to a selection of a particular location of the order entry region by a single action of a user input device.

Fundamental Economic Practices Long Prevalent in Our System of Commerce

Jan. 27, 2017 Thomas Deposition

Q. I'll tell you what, I didn't want to interrupt you but let's limit it to when did commodity trading begin on an exchange?

A. Again, I have the same issue because I believe the first exchange was in Belgium or the Netherlands maybe in 16 something.

(Ex. 1066, 51:18-52:1)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.