

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

IBG LLC;
INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC;
TRADESTATION GROUP, INC.; and
TRADESTATION SECURITIES, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case CBM2016-0054
U.S. Patent 7,693,768

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. STANDARD.....1

III. TSE SHOULD BE EXCLUDED1

 A. TT Timely Objected1

 B. TSE Has Not Been Authenticated under FRE 9012

 1. The 2005 Kawashima deposition testimony is
 hearsay.....2

 2. Even if the 2005 Kawashima deposition testimony
 were admissible, the deposition testimony raises
 more doubt than it resolves.3

 C. Petitioners’ TSE Translation Copies From TT’s
 Translation But Omits Translator Notes5

IV. CONCLUSION.....6

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.64(c) and 42.61(a) and the Federal Rules of Evidence, Patent Owner Trading Technologies International, Inc. (“TT”) respectfully moves to exclude TSE (Ex. 1016) and the associated translation (Ex. 1017) because Petitioners have failed to meet the authentication requirements of FRE 901 and because the only purportedly authenticating evidence (Ex. 1019, the transcript of a 2005 deposition of Atshushi Kawashima) is hearsay under FRE 801. Further, the translation (Ex. 1017) should be excluded because it is incomplete, misleading, and inadmissible under FRE 106 & 403.

II. STANDARD

A Motion to Exclude must (a) identify where in the record the objection was made, (b) identify where in the record the evidence sought to be excluded was relied upon by an opponent, (c) address objections to exhibits in numerical order, and (d) explain the objection. Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,767 (Aug. 14, 2012).

III. TSE SHOULD BE EXCLUDED

A. TT Timely Objected

Petitioner introduced TSE Exhibits 1016 and 1017 in its Petition, contending that TSE is a printed publication and relying on TSE for all instituted prior art grounds. Petition, Paper 4 at, *e.g.*, 11-13, 31-71. Patent Owner timely objected to

the TSE exhibits on November 1, 2016 as unauthenticated, failing to comply with FRE. 602 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(b), being inadmissible hearsay evidence, and being incomplete, misleading, and inadmissible under FRE 106 & 403. Paper 15.

B. TSE Has Not Been Authenticated under FRE 901

The Federal Rules of Evidence apply to the current proceedings. 37 C.F.R. § 42.62. Fed. R. Evid. 901 requires parties to authenticate documents. To satisfy the requirement of authenticating or identifying an item of evidence, the proponent must produce evidence sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is. Fed. R. Evid. 901(a).

Petitioners can cite to no evidence sufficiently authenticating TSE. To the extent Petitioners rely on a transcript of a 2005 deposition of Atshushi Kawashima (Ex. 1019), this transcript is insufficient in multiple respects.

1. The 2005 Kawashima deposition testimony is hearsay

The 2005 deposition of Atshushi Kawashima (Ex. 1019), insufficiently authenticates TSE because this deposition was conducted in a district court case, not any of the CBM proceedings. Ex. 1019 at 1. As a result, the 2005 Kawashima deposition is, by definition, hearsay.

FRE 801 defines hearsay as “a statement that: (1) the declarant does not make while testifying at the current trial or hearing; and (2) a party offers in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted in the statement.” Since

testimony by Mr. Kawashima in the district court case was not made “while testifying at the current trial or hearing,” to the extent such testimony is used to prove the authenticity of TSE, it must be considered hearsay.

2. Even if the 2005 Kawashima deposition testimony were admissible, the deposition testimony raises more doubt than it resolves.

Rather than supporting a finding under FRE 901(a) that TSE is what Petitioners claim it is, the 2005 Kawashima deposition transcript ultimately raises additional doubts as to the authenticity of the document.

For example, in the deposition, Mr. Kawashima draws a conclusion about the authenticity of TSE, a document of hundreds of pages, based on the perceived absence of a mark in “looking briefly through” the document. Specifically, he testified:

Q Is this entire document, this document identified as Defendant’s Exhibit 179, from August 24 of 1998?

A Yes.

Q How do you know?

A Because when we replace sections there is a mark indicating a correction at the bottom of the page. And just looking briefly through this document, I didn’t see that mark and therefore I thought that was the original date.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.