UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VOLUSION, INC. Petitioner

v.

VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. AND VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC. Patent Owner

> Case CBM2013-00018 Patent 7,426,481 B1

Before HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, SALLY C. MEDLEY, and KEVIN F. TURNER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BLANKENSHIP, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

DECISION Institution of Covered Business Method Patent Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.208

SUMMARY

Petitioner Volusion, Inc. filed a petition seeking a covered business method patent review of Patent Owner Versata's 7,426,481 patent pursuant to section 18 of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (AIA).¹ The Petition ("Pet.") challenges all the claims (1-73) of the '481 patent as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Patent Owner filed a preliminary response opposing institution of the review. Paper No. 6 ("Prelim. Resp."). We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 324.

The standard for instituting a covered business method patent review is set forth in 35 U.S.C. § 324(a), which provides as follows:

THRESHOLD --The Director may not authorize a post-grant review to be instituted unless the Director determines that the information presented in the petition filed under section 321, if such information is not rebutted, would demonstrate that it is more likely than not that at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition is unpatentable.

We determine that the '481 patent is a covered business method patent. Petitioner has demonstrated that it is more likely than not that claims 1-73 are directed to non-statutory subject matter and, thus, unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Therefore, we institute a covered business method patent review for claims 1-73 of the '481 patent based upon Petitioner's challenge that the claims are unpatentable under § 101.

THE CHALLENGED PATENT

The '481 patent relates to a computer system and a database that stores product configurations and product configuration information. Ex. 1001,

¹ Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011).

RM

CBM2013-00018 Patent 7,426,481 B1

Abstract. Received product-related data can include different types of

information such as attribute information and product identifier information.

Id.

Figure 7 is reproduced below.




FIG. 7

Figure 7 of the '481 patent is said to be a plan view of a web page through which a user can access product-related information. Ex. 1001, col. 4, ll. 4-6. Figure 7 includes a number of hypertext links that enable access to a

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

database of information related to products such as vehicles. A hypertext link 710 accesses a database concerning the makes and models of available vehicles. Hypertext link 730 accesses the same database, but facilitates searching based upon the features associated with vehicles in the database. *Id.* at col. 10, ll. 44-54.

Illustrative Claim

DOCKE

ARM

50. A method of using a computer system to provide one or more product selections to a user in accordance with product related data provided by the user, the method comprising:

receiving the product related data from the user via a data processing system;

identifying products stored in a memory based on two different types, (A) and (B), of product identification, wherein the memory stores product configuration information for multiple products, the product configuration information includes product features, and the two different types of product identification comprise:

(A) searching for products in the memory based on product features included in the product related data if the product related data represents the one or more product features; and

identifying one or more products stored in the memory that each include the one or more features, if the product related data represents the one or more product features; and

(B) identifying one or more products stored in the memory that are identified by a product model identifier, if the product related data represents the product model identifier; and providing identified products to the user for display by the data processing system of the user.

COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT

Related Litigation

In compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.302(a), Petitioner certifies that it has been sued for infringement of the '481 patent. Pet. 2. Patent Owner does not challenge the certification.

Used in the Practice, Administration, or Management of Financial Products or Services

A covered business method patent "claims a method or corresponding apparatus for performing data processing or other operations used in the practice, administration, or management of a financial product or service, except that the term does not include patents for technological inventions." AIA § 18(d)(1). The legislative history of the AIA "explains that the definition of covered business method patent was drafted to encompass patents 'claiming activities that are financial in nature, incidental to a financial activity or complementary to a financial activity." 77 *Fed. Reg.* 48,735 (Aug. 14, 2012) (quoting 157 Cong. Rec. S5432 (daily ed. Sept. 8, 2011)).

Petitioner points out that the '481 patent explicitly states that the invention relates to "transacting commerce over a network, and, more particularly, to a method and apparatus for processing information related to such commercial transactions." Pet. 8; Ex. 1001, col. 1, ll. 34-36. Patent Owner alleges that the patent does not claim a method or corresponding apparatus used in the practice, administration, or management of financial products or services. Prelim. Resp. 24-27. Patent Owner does not address the explicit statement in the '481 patent concerning "transacting commerce over a network" that was pointed out in the Petition. *See id.*

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.