No. 2016-1616

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC., *Plaintiff-Appellee*,

v.

CQG, INC., CQG, LLC, fka CQGT, LLC,

Defendants-Appellants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois in No. 1:05-cv-04811, Judge Sharon Johnson Coleman

OPENING BRIEF OF APPELLANTS

Adam G. Kelly William J. Voller III John A. Cotiguala LOEB & LOEB LLP 321 North Clark Street Suite 2300 Chicago, IL 60654 (312) 464-3100 Kenneth R. Adamo Eugene Goryunov Meredith Zinanni Vishesh Narayen KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 300 North LaSalle Chicago, IL 60654 (312) 862-2000

John C. O'Quinn KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 655 15th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 (202) 879-5000

April 25, 2016

RM

DOCKF

Counsel for Defendants-Appellants

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for Appellant certifies the following:

1. The full name of every party represented by me is:

CQG, Inc. and CQG, LLC (f/k/a CQGT, LLC)

2. The name of the real party in interest is:

CQG, Inc. and CQG, LLC (f/k/a CQGT, LLC) are the real parties in interest.

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the party represented by me are:

CQG, Inc. does not have a parent company. CQG, LLC is a wholly-owned

subsidiary of CQG, Inc. No publicly held company owns 10 percent or more of

the stock of either CQG, Inc. or CQG, LLC.

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party now represented by me in the trial court or are expected to appear in this Court are:

Kirkland & Ellis LLP

Kenneth R. Adamo John O'Quinn Eugene Goryunov Meredith Zinanni Vishesh Narayen

Loeb & Loeb LLP

RM

Adam Glenn Kelly Christopher M Swickhamer John Anthony Cotiguala Laura A Wytsma Terry D Garnett William J. Kramer William Joshua Voller Melaina D. Jobs Johnnet Simone Jones

Chuhak & Tecson, P.C.

David Seth Argentar

Bell, Boyd & Lloyd, LLC (now K&L Gates)

Heather Ann Boice Jeana R. Lervick Kara Eve Foster Cenar

Faegre & Benson LLP (now Faegre Baker Daniels, LLP)

Jared B. Briant Nina Y. Wang Mark W. Fischer Neal S. Cohen

Welsh & Katz, Ltd.

Joseph E. Cwik Kara Eve Foster Cenar Robert B. Breisblatt

Bryan Cave LLP

DOCKET

Δ

Kara Eve Foster Cenar Mariangela M. Seale

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			- ugu			
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES viii						
INTRODUCTION						
JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT						
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES						
STATEMENT OF THE CASE						
STATEMENT OF FACTS						
I. Cor	. Commodities Trading					
II. The	The Patents-In-Suit					
III. The	The District Court's Ruling14					
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT15						
STANDARD OF REVIEW17						
ARGUMENT						
	THE DISTRICT COURT ERRED IN FINDING THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS PATENT-ELIGIBLE UNDER § 10118					
А.		Step One: The Claims Are Directed To An Abstract modity-Trading Idea	20			
	1.	The Challenged Claims Are Directed To Commodities Trading, A Fundamental Economic Practice And Abstract Idea.	21			
	2.	The "Vintage" Of An Abstract Idea Or Fundamental Economic Practice Is Irrelevant.	23			
	3.	The Details Of The Challenged Claims Are Similarly Abstract.	26			
	4.	Claims Directed To An Abstract Idea Remain Abstract Even If They Purportedly Solve A Problem In The Art	29			

Page

		5.	Claims Directed to an Abstract Idea Remain Abstract Even Without Total Preemption		
	B.	Alice Step Two: The Claim Elements, Individually And As An Ordered Combination, Add Nothing Inventive			
		1.	The "Static Price Index" Does Not Transform The Abstract Commodity-Trading Idea Into A Patent-Eligible Invention		
		2.	The Other Claim Elements, Individually, Do Not Transform The Abstract Commodity-Trading Idea Into A Patent-Eligible Invention		
		3.	The Claim Elements, Viewed As An Ordered Combination, Do Not Transform The Abstract Commodity-Trading Idea Into A Patent-Eligible Invention		
		4.	<i>DDR Holdings</i> , On Which The District Court Heavily Relied, Does Not Save The Claims		
	C.		Challenged Claims Also Fail The Machine-or- formation Test, Confirming They Are Not Patent-Eligible51		
II.	CON	E DISTRICT COURT ERRED IN IMPOSING A CLEAR AND VVINCING BURDEN OF PROOF ON CQG'S § 101 ALLENGE			
CON	ICLUS	ION			

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.