

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PLAID TECHNOLOGIES INC.,
Petitioner

v.

YODLEE, INC. and YODLEE.COM, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case CBM2016-_____
Patent 6,199,077

**PETITION FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW OF A
COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT**

Mail Stop **Patent Board**
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. OVERVIEW OF THE '077 PATENT	1
A. Summary of the Specification	1
B. Summary of the Relevant Prosecution File History	3
C. Priority Date	4
D. State of the Art	6
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	7
A. Proposed Claim Constructions	8
1. “Internet Portal (System)” (All claims)	8
2. “List of Addresses of Internet Sites” (Claims 1-6).....	8
3. “Gatherer” / “Gatherer Agent” / “Gathering Software Agent” / “Path Agent” (All Claims).....	9
4. “Dedicated to Each Site” (All Claims)	9
5. “Authenticating too Each Site Accessed as the Person” / “Authenticating to the Sites as the Person” (All Claims).....	10
6. “Gathering Cycle” (All Claims).....	10
IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING	11
A. The '077 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent	11
1. The '077 Patent Claims a Method or Apparatus Used in the Practice, Administration, or Management of a Financial Product or Service	11
2. None of the Claims of the '077 Patent Are Directed to a Technological Invention	17
B. Petitioner Has Been Sued for Infringement of the '077 Patent and Is Not Estopped From Challenging the '077 Patent Claims	22
V. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED	22
A. Claims for Which Review Is Requested	22
B. Statutory Grounds of Challenge	23

..

C.	Prior Art Offered for the Present Unpatentability Challenges	23
VI.	The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 101	24
A.	Claims 1-12 of the '077 Patent Are Directed to an Abstract Idea.....	24
B.	Claims 1-12 of the '077 Do Not Have An Inventive Concept	26
VII.	THE PRIOR ART RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1–12	33
A.	Claims 1–12 Are Rendered Obvious by Zhao Alone or in Combination with Vertical One	34
1.	Summary of Zhao	34
2.	Eligibility of Zhao As Prior Art.....	35
3.	Summary of VerticalOne	35
4.	Eligibility of VerticalOne As Prior Art.....	36
5.	Claim 1	36
6.	Claim 7	51
7.	Claim 2 and Claim 8	52
8.	Claim 3 and Claim 9	53
9.	Claim 4 and Claim 10	54
10.	Claim 5 and Claim 11	55
11.	Claim 6 and Claim 12	56
B.	Claims 1–12 Are Rendered Obvious by Lowery in View of Brandt and Zhao	57
1.	Lowery	57
2.	Brandt	59
3.	Proposed Modification of Lowery in view of Brandt and Zhao	60
4.	Claim 1	65
5.	Claim 7	74
6.	Claim 2 and Claim 8	74
7.	Claim 3 and Claim 9	76
8.	Claim 4 and Claim 10	76
9.	Claim 5 and Claim 11	77
10.	Claim 6 and Claim 12	78
VIII.	MANDATORY DISCLOSURES.....	79

...

A. Real Parties-in-Interest and Related Matters.....79
B. Lead and Back-Up Counsel and Service Information79
C. Certificate of Service on Patent Owner and Complete Fee.....80
IX. CONCLUSION.....80

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Alice Corp. Pty Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014)	1, 24, 27
<i>Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	7
<i>Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Eli Lilly Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (<i>en banc</i>).....	5
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc. v. Sequenom, Inc.</i> , 788 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	30
<i>buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc.</i> , 765 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	29
<i>Content Extraction, & Transmission, LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank</i> , 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	25
<i>CRS Adv. Techs., Inc. v. Frontline Techs., Inc.</i> , CBM2012-00005, Decision to Institute (Jan. 23, 2013)	18
<i>Cyberfone Sys., LLC v. CNN Interactive Grp., Inc.</i> , 558 F. App’x 988 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	26
<i>CyberSource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	28
<i>DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com</i> , 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	32
<i>Digitech Image Techs., LLC v. Elecs. for Imaging</i> , 758 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	25
<i>Go Med. Indus. Pty., Ltd. v. Inmed Corp.</i> , 471 F. 3d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	4
<i>Hyatt v. Boone</i> , 146 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	5
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC.</i> , 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	7

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.