UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC., Petitioner

v.

PATRICK ZUILI, Patent Owner.

Case CBM2016-00022 Patent 8,326,763 B2

Before JAMESON LEE, GLENN J. PERRY, and MIRIAM L. QUINN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

Order Conduct of Proceedings 37 C.F.R. § 42.5

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

CBM2016-00022 Patent 8,326,763 B2

On February 8, 2017, Patent Owner sent an email to the Board stating: "In the interest of justice and for the record, Patent Owner Patrick Zuili is respectfully soliciting both Boards covering the 3 [CBMs] to authorize the filing as Exhibits of all email communications dated 1/26/2017, 1/28/2017[,] between Patent owner and Petitioner with the PTAB." Ex. 3007. We understand the reference to "both Boards" as referring to the Board panel in CBM2016-00008, and the Board panel in CBM2016-00021 and CBM2016-00022.

The request provides no basis for determining that the email communications referenced by Patent Owner are of significance to any matter before the Board or that it would be in the interest of justice to file them as exhibits in this proceeding.¹

ORDER

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that Patent Owner's request is *denied*.

¹ This is not an indication that any party should be submitting substantive arguments in an email communication. To the contrary, substantive arguments should not be made in any email communication to the Board. The parties are on notice that substantive arguments in any further email communications to the Board may be denied consideration.

CBM2016-00022 Patent 8,326,763 B2

Counsel for Petitioner:

Robert g. Pluta rpluta@mayerbrown.com

Saqib Siddiqui ssiddiqui@mayerbrown.com

Patent Owner (pro se):

Patrick Zuili patrick@tenderbox.tv